# COURT OF APPEAL OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA FOURTH APPELLATE DISTRICT

DIVISION TWO

Ε

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA,

PLAINTIFF-RESPONDENT,

FWV 02293

VS.

CHUCK E. JOHNSON,

DEFENDANT-APPELLANT.

#### REPORTERS' TRANSCRIPT ON APPEAL

APPEAL FROM THE SUPERIOR COURT OF SAN BERNARDINO HON. ROBERT E. LAW, JUDGE PRESIDING

APPEARANCES:

FOR THE APPELLANT:

IN PRO PER

FOR RESPONDENT:

ATTORNEY GENERAL

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

110 WEST "A" STREET, SUITE 600 SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA 92101

DENNIS L. STOUT
DISTRICT ATTORNEY

316 NORTH MT. VIEW AVENUE

SAN BERNARDINO, CALIFORNIA 92415

REPORTED BY:

VOL 3 OF 4 PP 470 - 720 GAIL GREENLEE, C-8647 JANE FOLMER, C-2331, KATHRYNE MCNULTY, C-7651, OFFICIAL REPORTERS, AND KARIE MARTIN, C-9428, REPORTER PRO TEMPORE



## SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA FOR THE COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO

DEPT. NO. RC 4

HON. ROBERT E. LAW, JUDGE

THE PEOPLE OF THE

STATE OF CALIFORNIA,

) NO. FWV 02293

PLAINTIFF,

VS.

CHUCK E. JOHNSON,

DEFENDANT.

REPORTERS' TRANSCRIPT ON APPEAL
OCTOBER 19, 23, 24, 25, 26, 30, 31, 1995;
NOVEMBER 1, 2, 6, 7, 8, 14, 1995;
JUNE 7, 1996

**APPEARANCES:** 

FOR THE PLAINTIFF:

DENNIS L. STOUT
DISTRICT ATTORNEY

BY: KAREN SCHMAUSS, DEPUTY

8303 HAVEN AVENUE

RANCHO CUCAMONGA, CA 91730

FOR THE DEFENDANT:

GARY ABLARD

ATTORNEY AT LAW

10700 CIVIC CENTER DRIVE RANCHO CUCAMONGA, CA 91730

(909) 941-7881

REPORTED BY:

GAIL GREENLEE, C-8647,

JANE FOLMER, C-2331,

AND KATHY MCNULTY, C-7651, OFFICIAL REPORTERS, AND KARIE MARTIN, C-9428,

REPORTER PRO TEMPORE

#### INDEX OF APPEARANCES

THURSDAY	OCTOBER 19, 1995	A.M.	1
MONDAY	OCTOBER 23, 1995	A.M.	7
TUESDAY	OCTOBER 24, 1995	A.M.	70
TUESDAY	OCTOBER 24, 1995	P.M.	76
WEDNESDAY	OCTOBER 25, 1995	A.M.	90
THURSDAY	OCTOBER 26, 1995	A.M.	145
THURSDAY	OCTOBER 26, 1995	P.M.	199
MONDAY	OCTOBER 30, 1995	A.M.	289
MONDAY	OCTOBER 30, 1995	P.M.	376
TUESDAY	OCTOBER 31, 1995	A.M.	468
TUESDAY	OCTOBER 31, 1995	P.M.	539
WEDNESDAY	NOVEMBER 1, 1995	A.M.	601
WEDNESDAY	NOVEMBER 1, 1995	P.M.	616
THURSDAY	NOVEMBER 2, 1995	A.M.	634
THURSDAY	NOVEMBER 2, 1995	P.M.	690
MONDAY	NOVEMBER 6, 1995	A.M.	721
TUESDAY	NOVEMBER 7, 1995	AM	<del>7</del> 3-0_
TUESDAY	NOVEMBER 7, 1995	P.M.	795
WEDNESDAY	NOVEMBER 8, 1995	A.M.	854
WEDNESDAY	NOVEMBER 8, 1995	P.M.	857
TUESDAY	NOVEMBER 14, 1995	P.M.	859
FRIDAY	JUNE 7, 1996	A.M.	864

### INDEX

#### INDEX OF PROCEEDINGS

MOTION IN LIMINE	9,	71, 78, 92
 402 HEARING (DONLEY, VIDEO)		47
MOTION FOR MISTRIAL		97
PEOPLE'S CASE IN CHIEF		98
PROCEEDINGS RE JURY EXHIBITS AND JURY	INSTRUCTIONS	604, 616
DEFENSE CASE IN CHIEF		639
MOTION FOR DISMISSAL		711
1118 MOTION		778
PLAINTIFF'S OPENING ARGUMENT		779
DEFENSE CLOSING ARGUMENT		. 785
PLAINTIFF'S CLOSING ARGUMENT	•	805
JURY INSTRUCTIONS		825
 VERDICT		<del></del>
PRONOUNCEMENT OF JUDGEMNENT		864

	CHRONOLOGICAL INDEX OF WITHE (FOR THE PEOPLE:)	<u>SSES</u>
	MICHAEL DONLEY (402)  DIRECT EXAMINATION  CROSS-EXAMINATION  REDIRECT EXAMINATION	51 57 64
	JENNIFER RETHORN	
	DIRECT EXAMINATION DIRECT EXAMINATION, RESUMED DIRECT EXAMINATION, RESUMED CROSS-EXAMINATION, RESUMED CROSS-EXAMINATION, RESUMED CROSS-EXAMINATION, RESUMED REDIRECT EXAMINATION	98 116 153 163 179 201 205
_	MICHELLE PAMPLIN	
	DIRECT EXAMINATION CROSS-EXAMINATION	210 217
	DARIN RIGGS	
	DIRECT EXAMINATION CROSS-EXAMINATION REDIRECT EXAMINATION	220 250 260
	STEVE LOPEZ  DIRECT EXAMINATION  CROSS-EXAMINATION  REDIRECT EXAMINATION	263 283 287
	REOBERT KELLY  DIRECT EXAMINATION  CROSS-EXAMINATION  REDIRECT EXAMINATION  RECROSS-EXAMINATION	292 318 343 345
	BRADLEY JOE BALES  DIRECT EXAMINATION  CROSS-EXAMINATION  REDIRECT EXAMINATION  RECROSS-EXAMINATION	347 360 372 374
	PETE LOPEZ	
	DIRECT EXAMINATION CROSS-EXAMINATION REDIRECT EXAINATON RECROSS-EXAMINATION	376 384 390 391

CHRONOLOGICAL INDEX OF WITNESSE	S CONT'D	
<u> </u>	is y court b	
HENRY CARLOS		
DIRECT EXAMINATION	394	
FRANK SHERIDAN		
DIRECT EXAMINATION	398	
DIRECT EXAMINATION, RESUMED	437	
CROSS-EXAMINATION	438	
REDIRECT EXAMINATION	450	
REDIRECT EXAMANIATION, RESUMED	452	
MICHAEL DONLEY		
DIRECT EXAMINATION	455	
DONALD THOMAS JONES (402)		
EXAMINATION BY THE COURT	476	
DIRECT EXAMINATION	481	
CROSS-EXAMINATION	498	
DIRECT EXAMINATION	518	
ROBERT KELLY		
DIRECT EXAMINATION	542	
DONALD T. JONES	F 4 F	
DIRECT EXAMINATION, RESUMED CROSS-EXAMINATION	545 547	
REDIRECT EXAMINATION	551	
KIDIKESI HMBILMITON	331	
MICHAEL DONLEY		
DIRECT EXAMINATION, RESUMED	553	
ANGELA MARIE JOHNSON	FF0	
DIRECT EXAMINATION	558	
	•	
MICHAEL DONLEY		
DIRECT EXAMINATION, RESUMED	576	
CROSS-EXAMINATION	578	
REDIRECT EXAMINATION	595	
REDIRECT EXAMINATION, RESUMED	604	
(FOR THE DEFENSE:)		
MICHAEL DONLEY		
DIRECT EXAMINATION	639	
CROSS-EXAMINATION	666	
REDIRECT EXAMINATION	673	

			CHRONOLOGICAL	INDEX	OF	WITNESSES	(CONTD)
		STEVE LO	<u>)PEZ</u> DIRECT EXAMINATI	ron			678
	,		CROSS-EXAMINATION				681
			REDIRECT EXAMINA	NOITE			685
		DARIN RI	.ממפ				
-		DAICTIN ICI	DIRECT EXAMINAT	LON			686
			CROSS-EXAMINATIO	ON			696
		JENN I FEF	<u>R RETHORN</u> DIRECT EXAMINATI	[∩N]			698
			DIRECT DAMITIME.	LOIV			000
		BRADLEY	J. BALES				
			DIRECT EXAMINATION CROSS-EXAMINATION				704
Ì			REDIRECT EXAMINATION				707 709
			RECROSS-EXAMINAT				710
_				<u>.                                    </u>			
		MICHAEL	MARTINEZ DIRECT EXAMINAT	Γ∩ <b>N</b>			741
			DIRECT DAMITIME				741
		<u>JEFFREY</u>					
			DIRECT EXAMINATION CROSS-EXAMINATION				744 745
			CKOSS-EXAMINALIC	)IN			745
		MICHAEL					
			DIRECT EXAMINATI				746
			CROSS-EXAMINATIO	NΩ			750
		MONA CRO	<del></del>				
			DIRECT EXAMINATION				754 761
			CROSS-EXAMINATIO	)IN			/6I
		<u>JENNIFER</u>					
			DIRECT EXAMINATION				766
			CROSS-EXAMINATION REDIRECT EXAMINATION			•	772 775
			KEDIKECI EMMILINA	TT T OTA			

#### ALPHABETICAL INDEX OF WITNESSES

JEFFREY	AARON	
	DIRECT EXAMINATION	744
	CROSS-EXAMINATION	745
<u>BRADLEY</u>	_JOE_BALES	
	DIRECT EXAMINATION	347
	CROSS-EXAMINATION	360
	REDIRECT EXAMINATION	372
	RECROSS-EXAMINATION	374
BRADLEY	J. BALES	
	DIRECT EXAMINATION	704
	CROSS-EXAMINATION	707
	REDIRECT EXAMINATION	709
	RECROSS-EXAMINATION	710
HENRY C		204
	DIRECT EXAMINATION	394
MONA CRO	OCKER	4
	DIRECT EXAMINATION	754
	CROSS-EXAMINATION	761
NAT CHART	DOMEST (400)	
MICHAEL	DONLEY (402) DIRECT EXAMINATION	
		51 57
	CROSS-EXAMINATION REDIRECT EXAMINATION	
	REDIRECT EXAMINATION	64
MICHAEL	DONLEY	
	DTRECT EXAMINATION	455
	DIRECT EXAMINATION, RESUMED	553
	DIRECT EXAMINATION, RESUMED	576
	CROSS-EXAMINATION	578
	REDIRECT EXAMINATION	595
	REDIRECT EXAMINATION, RESUMED	604
	(FOR THE DEFENSE:)	
	DIRECT EXAMINATION	639
	CROSS-EXAMINATION	666
	REDIRECT EXAMINATION	673
	DIRECT EXAMINATION	746
		750
OENNIFE	<u>R FARRAR</u> DIRECT EXAMINATION	766
	CROSS-EXAMINATION	772
	REDIRECT EXAMINATION	775
	MDIMOI HAMIINATION	, , ,
ANGELA I	MARIE JOHNSON	
	DIRECT EXAMINATION	558

	ALPHABETICAL INDEX OF WITNESSES,	CONT'D	
	DONALD THOMAS JONES (402) EXAMINATION BY THE COURT DIRECT EXAMINATION CROSS-EXAMINATION	476 481 498	
-	DIRECT EXAMINATION	518	
	ROBERT KELLY		
	DIRECT EXAMINATION CROSS-EXAMINATION REDIRECT EXAMINATION RECROSS-EXAMINATION	292 318 343 345	
-	DIRECT EXAMINATION	542	
	STEVE LOPEZ	·	
	DIRECT EXAMINATION CROSS-EXAMINATION REDIRECT EXAMINATION	263 283 287	
	DIRECT EXAMINATION CROSS-EXAMINATION REDIRECT EXAINATON RECROSS-EXAMINATION STEVE_LOPEZ	376 384 390 391	
	DIRECT EXAMINATION  CROSS-EXAMINATION  REDIRECT EXAMINATION	678 681 685	
	MICHAEL MARTINEZ DIRECT EXAMINATION	741	
	MICHELLE PAMPLIN DIRECT EXAMINATION CROSS-EXAMINATION	210 217	
	JENNIFER RETHORN		
	DIRECT EXAMINATION DIRECT EXAMINATION, RESUMED DIRECT EXAMINATION, RESUMED CROSS-EXAMINATION CROSS-EXAMINATION, RESUMED CROSS-EXAMINATION, RESUMED REDIRECT EXAMINATION	98 116 153 163 179 201 205	
	DIRECT EXAMINATION	698	

#### ALPHABETICAL INDEX OF WITNESSES, CONT'D

#### DARIN RIGGS

	DIRECT EXAMINATION	220
	CROSS-EXAMINATION	250
<del></del>	REDIRECT EXAMINATION	2.6.0
	DIRECT EXAMINATION	686
	CROSS-EXAMINATION	696
	CHODD EMBILIMITION	000
FRANK S	<u>HERIDAN</u>	
	DIRECT EXAMINATION	398
	DIRECT EXAMINATION, RESUMED	437
	CROSS-EXAMINATION	438
	REDIRECT EXAMINATION	450
	REDIRECT EXAMANIATION, RESUMED	452

#### INDEX OF EXHIBITS

#### MARKED

	1			1.1521/1/17	יבו			
		100		FOR			IN	
	NO.		7		'ICATION		EVIDE	NCE:
	1.0.			VOL.	PG.		VOL.	PG.
	1	PHOTO		VOII.	FG.		3	622
_		VIDEO					3	622
	3	POSTER						621
	4	NECKTIE	7				ے د	621
			BLANKET	п			ა ე	621
	5 6	DEATH (		<b>L</b>			3 3 3 2 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3	396
	7	PHOTO	-EKI.				2	596 621
	8				•		3	
		PHOTO					3	621
	9	PHOTO					3	621
	10	PHOTO					3	621
	11	PHOTO					3	621
	12	PHOTO					3	621
_	13	PHOTO_	<del></del>	<del></del>				621
	14	PHOTO					3	621
	15	PHOTO					3	621
	16	PHOTO				* .	3	621
	17	PHOTO					3	621
	18	PHOTO					3	621
	19	PHOTO					3	621
- 1	20	PHOTO					3	621
i	21	PHOTO					3	621
	22	PHOTO					3	621
	23	PHOTO					3	621
	24	PHOTO					3	621
	25	PHOTO					333333333333333333333333333333333333333	621
	26	PHOTO					3 .	621
	27	PHOTO					3	621
	28	PHOTO					3	621
	29	PHOTO					3	621
	30	PHOTO					3	621
	31	PHOTO		•			3	621
	32	PHOTO					3	621
	33	PHOTO					3	621
	34	PHOTO					3	621
	35	PHOTO					3	621
	36	PHOTO					3	622
	37	PHOTO					3	622
	3.8	PHOTO					J	022
	39	PHOTO						
	40	PHOTO	•			•	3	623
	±0	PHOTO					3	023

~ N		INDEX OF EXHIBITS	(CONT'D.)		
	41				
	42	PHOTO	•		
	43 44	PHOTO PHOTO	3	621	
	45	PHOTO	3 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	621	
	46	PHOTO	ر ع	621	
	47	PHOTO	3 3	621	
	4-8	РНОТО			
	49	PHOTO			
	50	PHOTO			
	51	PHOTO	•		
	52 53	PHOTO	2	C01	
	54	PHOTO	3	621	
	55	PHOTO	3	621	
	56	PHOTO	3	621	
	57	PPR BAG W/PLASTIC BAGS	_		
	58	PHOTO	3	621	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	59	PHOTO	3	621	
	60	PHOTO			
	61 62	DIAGRAM W/PHOTOS			
	62 63	PHOTO BAG CONTAINING CLOTHING			
	64	PHOTO	3	621	
	65	PHOTO		621	
	66	PHOTO	3 3	621	
Ì	67				
,	68				
	69	ENVELOPE WITH PARTIAL BLANKET	3	555	
	70	BLOOD VIAL	3	555	
	71 72	BLOOD VIAL BLOOD VIAL	3	555 555	
	73	BLOOD VIAL	3	555	
	74	BLOOD VIAL	3	555 555	
	75	BLOOD VIAL	3	555	
	76	CASSETTE TAPE	3 3	625	
	77	CASSETTE TAPE	3	625	
•	78	CASSETTE TAPE	3	625	
	79	PG. FROM T.V. GUIDE	3	625	
	80	TRANSCRIPT (NOT REDACTED)	3	CO 0	
	81	REDACTED TRANSCRIPT	3	629	

Ì

	470
1	RANCHO CUCAMONGA, CALIFORNIA; TUESDAY, OCTOBER 31, 1995
2	10:40 A.M.
3	DEPARTMENT 4 HON. ROBERT E. LAW, JUDGE
4	
5	APPEARANCES: SET FORTH ON THE TITLE PAGE.
6	
7	(GAIL GREENLEE, C-8647, OFFICIAL REPORTER.)
8	###
9	(THE FOLLOWING PROCEEDINGS WERE HELD IN
10	OPEN COURT OUTSIDE THE PRESENCE OF THE JURY;)
11	THE COURT: GOOD MORNING. PEOPLE VS. JOHNSON. WE
12	HAVE ALL DISPLAY APPARENTLY THAT WE NEED TO THIS MORNING?
13	MS. SCHMAUSS: I NEED ABOUT TWO MORE SECONDS TO LOOK
14	THROUGH HIS REDACTING THING.
15	MR. ABLARD.
16	MS. SCHMAUSS: LOOKS LIKE ON THE REDACTING, THERE'S
17	ONLY ONE OF CONTENTION.
18	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT.
19	MS. SCHMAUSS: DO YOU WANT TO DO THAT NOW?
20	THE COURT: YES.
21	MR. ABLARD: WHAT IS IT, BY THE WAY.
22	MS. SCHMAUSS: ON TAPE ONE, SIDE TWO.
23	MR. ABLARD: WHERE?
24	MS. SCHMAUSS: FIRST OF ALL, ON PAGE 6.
25	THE COURT: JUST A SECOND, NOW. TAPE ONE, SIDE TWO,
26	PAGE 6.
27	MS. SCHMAUSS: VERY FIRST PARAGRAPH. THIS IS THE
28	NIGHTGOWN BUSINESS.

	471
1	THE COURT: LET'S SEE WHAT HAPPENS ON PAGE 5. THERE
2	IT IS.
,3	MS. SCHMAUSS: NO. THEN ON PAGE 8, LINES 13 THROUGH
4	17. I DON'T AGREE TO REDACTION. I FEEL EVEN MORE
5	STRONGLY ABOUT THAT THAN PAGE 6. BECAUSE THIS CAME OUT OF
6	LEFT FIELD FROM THE DEFENDANT HIMSELF.
7	THE COURT: YOU WANT A RULING?
8	MS. SCHMAUSS: YES.
9	MR. ABLARD: SHOULD I SAY ANYTHING? I HAVE SOMETHING
10	TO SAY IF I NEED TO. IF NOT, THEN.
11	THE COURT: PAGE 5 AND 6 OF THE TAPE ONE, SIDE TWO
12	DESCRIBES CONDUCT OF MR. JOHNSON IN HIS OWN WORDS THAT
13	OCCURRED ON THE MORNING IN QUESTION. THAT'S ADMISSIBLE.
14	MR. ABLARD: MY OBJECTION TO THAT WOULD BE WE HAD
15	RULED EARLIER REGARDING OTHER PEOPLE MENTIONED THAT
16	PARTICULAR PASSAGE. THE FACT THAT SHE SAID
17	THE COURT: NO. IT WAS A COMMENT MADE AT SOME OTHER
18	TIME.
19	MR. ABLARD: OKAY. WELL.
20	THE COURT: NOT RELATED TO THE EVENT OF THE DAY IN
21	QUESTION.
22	MR. ABLARD: OKAY, NOW.
23	THE COURT: I HAVE RULED.
24	MR. ABLARD: I UNDERSTAND.
25	THE COURT: YOU OBJECT ON ALL POSSIBLE GROUNDS.
26	THANK YOU.
27	MR. ABLARD: THAN YOU.
28	THE COURT: PAGE 8 REDACTED.

	1/2
1	MR. ABLARD: THANK YOU.
2	MS. SCHMAUSS: EXCUSE ME. IT IS REDACTED?
,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	THE COURT: IT IS. THERE IS NO EVIDENCE OF CHILD
4	MOLESTATION HAS ANYTHING TO DO WITH THIS CASE. SO, IT
5	DOESN'T AND IT DOES.
6	MS. SCHMAUSS: OKAY. THANK YOU.
7	THE COURT: IT IS NOT RELEVANT.
8	MS. SCHMAUSS: MY ONLY TECHNICAL DIFFICULTY I CAN SEE
9	IS MR. ABLARD WANTS SENTENCES TAKEN OUT OF PLACE. AND I
10	WILL DO MY BEST, YOU KNOW, HAVING VERY PRIMITIVE
11	EQUIPMENT, TO ACTUALLY REMOVE SENTENCES.
12	THE COURT: DON'T LOOK. THERE'S A LOT OF WAYS TO
13	SKIN THIS CAT. IF YOU AGREE, THAT OTHERWISE THE
14	SUGGESTION TO DO THE REDACTION YOU HAVE AGREED, ON YOU CAN
15	CREATE A TRANSCRIPT.
16	MS. SCHMAUSS: WE HAVE ONE.
17	THE COURT: ALL CLEANED UP, ORALLY, THE TAPE, IF YOU
18	WANT, IT WILL BE PLAYED. MAYBE YOU DON'T CARE. BUT IF
19	YOU DO, YOU HAVE TO REDACT THE TAPE IN CONFORMITY WITH MY
20	ORDER. AND YOU ARE AGREED ON THE OTHER REDACTION. AND
21	YOU SHOULD HAVE TO DO IT.
22	MS. SCHMAUSS: I UNDERSTOOD THE COURT TO SAY YOU DO
23	NOT WISH TRANSCRIPTS TO BE HANDED OUT TO THE JURY AND
24	THEY ARE JUST TO LISTEN.
25	THE COURT: THAT IS CORRECT. THE EVIDENCE IS THE
26	TAPE. THE TRANSCRIPT IS THERE TO ASSIST THEM. BUT ONLY
27	IF THEY WISH TO LISTEN TO THE TAPE LATER WHILE THEY ARE

DELIBERATING.

MS. SCHMAUSS: SO, I WILL REDACT THE AUDIO TAPE 1 RECORDS. AND WE WILL GET YOU A CLEANED UP TRANSCRIPT, PLUS 2 3 THE ONE THAT HAS BEEN MARKED SO THAT THE RECORD IS CLEAR. THE COURT: THANK YOU. THAT'S EASY. MS. SCHMAUSS: OKAY. 5 THE COURT: NOW, WHAT ELSE CAN WE DO THIS MORNING? 6 7 MS. SCHMAUSS: WE HAVE MR. JONES HERE FOR OUR 402 8 HEARING. 9 THE COURT: THAT'S FINE. DO WE AGREE THAT THE 10 PROSECUTION IS GOING TO OFFER EVIDENCE OF SOME SCIENTIFIC 11 TEST HAS GOT TO BE ESTABLISHED. THE TECHNIQUE, METHOD, TO 12 SUFFICIENTLY ESTABLISH, BEING ACCEPTANCE IN HIS FIELD, 13 TESTIMONY WITH RESPECT TO TECHNIQUE AND ITS APPLICATION 14 AS OFFERED BY AN APPROPRIATELY-QUALIFIED EXPERT AND IF THE CORRECT SCIENTIFIC PROCEDURES HAVE BEEN USED IN THIS 15 16 PARTICULAR CASE? 17 MS. SCHMAUSS: CORRECT. AND I HAVE GIVEN THE COURT 18 AND COUNSEL THE MOST RECENT CASES I COULD FIND. 19 THE COURT: SAYS WHAT IT IS, WHAT THE GENERAL RULES HAVE BEEN. 20 21 MS. SCHMAUSS: RIGHT. THE COURT: LAY THE FOUNDATION. SHOW IT IS 22 23 SCIENTIFICALLY ACCEPTED. SO, THE TECHNIQUE USED IS 24 APPROPRIATE. 25 MS. SCHMAUSS: WELL, ACTUALLY, THE CASE ITSELF SAYS 26 IT IS SCIENTIFICALLY ACCEPTED. I MEAN, WE DON'T HAVE TO 27 RE-INVENT THE WHEEL TODAY. 28

THE COURT: THAT'S NOT WHAT I SAID AT ALL. THE CASE

SAYS THAT THE APPELLATE COURT REVIEWS THE RECORD DE NOVO  TO SEE IF THERE'S SUFFICIENT EVIDENCE IN THE TRIAL TO  ESTABLISH THOSE THINGS TO SUPPORT THE ADMISSIBILITY OF THE
ESTABLISH THOSE THINGS TO SUPPORT THE ADMISSIBILITY OF THE
TECHNIQUE, THE PROCEDURE, PROPERLY APPLIED WITH THE
PROPER FOUNDATION. IT DOES NOT SAY THAT THE APPELLATE
COURT SAYS THAT BASED UPON THE TESTIMONY IN THAT TRIAL,
FOR ALL TIMES AND ALL PLACES THIS TECHNIQUE IS ACCEPTABLE.
MS. SCHMAUSS: BUT <u>KELLY</u> SAYS, <u>PEOPLE VS. KELLY</u>
SAYS
THE COURT: YES.
MS. SCHMAUSS: SAYS ONCE A TRIAL COURT HAS ADMITTED
EVIDENCE BASED ON A NEW SCIENTIFIC TECHNIQUE AND THAT
DECISION IS AFFIRMED ON APPEAL BY A PUBLISHED APPELLATE
DECISION, THE PRESEDENCE SO ESTABLISHED MAY CONTROL
GENERAL TRIALS.
THE COURT: YES.
MS. SCHMAUSS: AT LEAST UNTIL NEW EVIDENCE TO PRESENT
REFLECTING A CHANGE IN THE ATTITUDE OF THE SCIENTIFIC
COMMUNITY. SO
THE COURT: SO, YOU DON'T HAVE TO PROVE ONE.
MS. SCHMAUSS: I DON'T HAVE TO PROVE THE ACCEPTANCE
IN THE SCIENTIFIC COMMUNITY. THAT HAS BEEN ESTABLISHED.
I JUST NEED TO PROVE THAT MR. JONES HERE FOLLOWED PROPER
PROCEDURE IN CONDUCTING HIS DNA TESTING. SO, THE FIRST
PRONG IN KELLY IS NOT NECESSARY. BECAUSE
THE COURT: BUT TWO AND THREE ARE.
MS. SCHMAUSS: RIGHT. BUT I DON'T NEED TO BRING IN

A PARADE OF WITNESSES IN A THREE-WEEK HEARING.

	4,3
1	MR. ABLARD: TWO AND THREE ARE REQUIRED ASSUMING IT
2	IS IDENTICAL TO THAT AMMANSON CASE, AMADSON (PHONETIC) TWO
· 3,	AND THREE.
4	MS. SCHMAUSS: THAT HE FOLLOWED PROPER PROCEDURES AND
5	HE IS PROPERLY TRAINED. IS THAT WHAT THE COURT
6	THE COURT: TESTIMONY WITH RESPECT TO TECHNIQUE AND
7	ITS APPLICATION IS OFFERED BY IN OTHER WORDS, WHAT IT
8	IS AND WHAT IT DOES IS OFFERED BY QUALIFIED EXPERT AND
9	THAT THE SCIENTIFIC PROCEDURES HAVE BEEN FOLLOWED IN THIS
10	PARTICULAR CASE.
11	MS. SCHMAUSS: RIGHT. AND I AM PREPARED TO DO THAT.
12	THE COURT: BUT YOU DON'T HAVE TO ESTABLISH AGAIN
13	MS. SCHMAUSS: RIGHT.
14	THE COURT:THAT IF IT IS THE SAME PROCEDURE, IT IS
15	NOW GENERALLY ACCEPTED UNDER
16	MS. SCHMAUSS: EXACTLY.
1,7	THE COURT: GOT IT?
18	MS. SCHMAUSS: GOT IT.
19	THE COURT: OKAY. SO, ANY COMMENTS?
20	MR. ABLARD: YES. I WOULD OBJECT AND SUBMIT AND
21	THE COURT: I WILL RULE.
22	MR. ABLARD: THANK YOU.
23	MS. SCHMAUSS: I HAVE TWO EXHIBITS TO MARK FOR
24	PURPOSES OF THIS HEARING. ONE IS DONALD JONES CURRICULUM
25	VITAE SHOWING HIS QUALIFICATIONS.
26	THE COURT: IS HE HERE?
27	MS. SCHMAUSS: HE IS RIGHT THERE.
28	THE COURT: WHY DON'T YOU RAISE YOUR RIGHT HAND, FACE

THE CLERK, AND BE SWORN, PLEASE. 1 2 DONALD THOMAS JONES, 3 CALLED AS A WITNESS BY THE PLAINTIFF, WAS SWORN AND TESTIFIED AS FOLLOWS: THE CLERK: YOU DO SOLEMNLY SWEAR THE TESTIMONY YOU 6 7 MAY GIVE IN THE MATTER NOW PENDING BEFORE THIS COURT SHALL BE THE TRUTH, THE WHOLE TRUTH, AND NOTHING BUT 9 THE TRUTH, SO HELP YOU GOD. 10 THE WITNESS: I DO. THE CLERK: THANK YOU. PLEASE BE SEATED. PLEASE STATE 11 12 YOUR FULL NAME SPELLING YOUR LAST NAME FOR THE RECORD. THE WITNESS: MY NAME IS DONALD THOMAS JONES 13 D-O-N-A-L-D T-H-O-M-A-S J-O-N-E-S. 14 15 THE COURT: WHERE DO YOU WORK? THE WITNESS: SIR, I WORK WITH THE SAN BERNARDINO 16 COUNTY SHERIFFS IN THE CRIME LABORATORY. 17 THE COURT: WHAT DO YOU DO THERE? 18 19 THE WITNESS: I AM A CRIMINALIST. AND I HAVE BEEN 20 FOR ABOUT FIFTEEN YEARS. THE COURT: WHAT'S A CRIMINALIST? 21 22 THE WITNESS: CRIMINALIST IS A SCIENTIST WHO APPLIES GENERAL RULES OF SCIENCE TO THE ANALYSIS OF FORENSIC 23... 24 EVIDENCE. THE COURT: HOW DO YOU GET TO BE ONE OF THOSE GUYS? 25 THE WITNESS: YOU APPLY FOR THE JOB. YOU NEED 26 QUALIFICATIONS WHICH INCLUDE A BACHELOR OF SCIENCE DEGREE 27 28 AND IN A NATURAL SCIENCE, PRIMARILY USUALLY THERE'S SOME

	477
1	SORT OF APPLICATION PROCESS WHICH MAY INVOLVE AN ORAL OR
2	WRITTEN TEST.
3	THE COURT: DO YOU HAVE ONE OF THOSE DEGREES THAT IS
4	REQUIRED?
-5	THE WITNESS: YES, SIR. I HAVE A BACHELOR OF SCIENCE
6	DEGREE IN CHEMISTRY FROM THE CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY
7	AT NORTHRIDGE.
8	THE COURT: WHEN DID YOU GET THAT?
9	THE WITNESS: I BELIEVE IT WAS 1978.
10	THE COURT: GOT ANY FURTHER EDUCATION BY CHANCE?
11	THE WITNESS: YES, SIR. I HAVE COMPLETED COURSE
12	WORK IN THE MAJOR COURSE WORK IN THE CRIMINAL DIVISION
13	MASTERS PROGRAM AT CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY AT LOS
14	ANGELES; HOWEVER, DID NOT ATTEMPT A MASTERS DEGREE AT
15	THAT INSTITUTION. I AM CURRENTLY WORKING ON A MASTERS
16	DEGREE IN BIOLOGY AT THE CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY AT
17	SAN BERNARDINO.
18	THE COURT: WHAT DO YOU HAVE TO DO TO FINISH THAT?
19	THE WITNESS: WRITE MY THESIS. I HAVE COLLECTED ALL
20	THE DATA.
21	THE COURT: BIG ONE; RIGHT? BIG PROJECT.
22,	THE WITNESS: IT IS, YES.
23	THE COURT: CAN YOU TAKE AN ORAL TEST INSTEAD OF A
24	THESIS NOW IN SOME AREAS?
25	THE WITNESS: THERE ARE WHAT THEY CALL COMMENSURATE
26	EXAMINATION. I HAVE OPTED NOT TO DO THAT.
27	THE COURT: OKAY. HOW LONG HAVE YOU BEEN A
28	CRIMINALIST? DID YOU SAY FIFTEEN YEARS?
	· '

1 THE WITNESS: I HAVE BEEN SINCE OCTOBER 6 OF 1980. 2 THE COURT: HAVE YOU TESTIFIED BEFORE? THE WITNESS: I HAVE TESTIFIED IN NUMEROUS OCCASIONS 3 4 WITH REGARD TO DNA EXAMINATION. I HAVE TESTIFIED ONCE IN THE VICTORVILLE AREA ON WHAT IS CALLED RESTRICTION FRAGMENT LENGTH POLYMORPHISM, RFLP. AND I HAVE TESTIFIED 6 7 ONCE IN CENTRAL AND I BELIEVE ONCE IN THE RANCHO CUCAMONGA COURTS ON THE POLYMERASE CHAIN REACTION 9 APPLICATION USING THE DQ-ALPHA KITS. I HAVE ALSO RECENTLY TESTIFIED IN A MODIFIED KELLY-FRYE HEARING IN THE IN 10 RIVERSIDE COUNTY. 11 12 THE COURT: WELL, DID YOU DO SOMETHING IN THIS CASE? THE WITNESS: YES, SIR, I DID. 13 14 THE COURT: WHAT DID YOU DO? THE WITNESS: I EXAMINED A STAIN THAT WAS HAD BEEN CUT 15 OUT OF A BABY BLANKET. STAIN APPEARED TO BE A DILUTED 16 17 BLOOD STAIN. 18 I EXTRACTED DNA FROM IT, DETERMINED THAT THE DNA WAS 19 IN FACT HUMAN DNA, AND THEN TYPED IT FOR A GENETIC MARKER. 20 IN THIS PARTICULAR CASE IT WAS FOR A MARKER CALLED 21 DO-ALPHA. 22 I THEN COMPARED THE RESULTS OF THAT TESTING TO THE 23 RESULTS OF I THINK IN THE END THERE WERE SIX WHOLE BLOOD 24 SAMPLES OR REFERENCE BLOOD SAMPLES WHICH HAD BEEN SUBMITTED, AND WAS ABLE TO TYPE THOSE SAMPLES AND RENDER 25 26 AN OPINION AS TO WHO POSSIBLY COULD HAVE BEEN A 27 CONTRIBUTOR OF DNA AND THE STAIN ON THE BLANKET. 28 THE COURT: OKAY. WHAT DID YOU DETERMINE?

	-/5
1	THE WITNESS: I DETERMINED THAT THE DQ-ALPHA TYPE ON
2	THE BLANKET IS THE SAME TYPE AS A I BELIEVE HER NAME WAS
3	BRITTANY RIGGS. IT WAS NOT THE SAME AS DARIN RIGGS,
4	JENNIFER RETHORN, STEVE LOPEZ, CHUCK JOHNSON, OR TRAVIS
5	JOHNSON. I ALSO EXAMINED
6	THE COURT: WAIT A MINUTE. YOU MEAN THE SUM TOTAL OF
7	THIS EXPERTISE IS GOING TO TELL US THAT BRITTANY'S BABY
8	BLANKET HAD BRITTANY'S BLOOD ON IT?
9	MS. SCHMAUSS: AND THERE WAS ALSO HIGH LEVELS OF
10	AMYLASE.
11	THE COURT: NO. DON'T GET TOO FANCY WITH ME. SO,
12	WE ARE GOING TO BE ABLE TO DETERMINE THAT BRITTANY'S
13	BLANKET HAD BRITTANY'S BLOOD.
14	MS. SCHMAUSS: AND NO ONE ELSE IN THE HOUSEHOLD.
15	THE COURT: GOT THAT. BUT THE FUNDAMENTAL FACT IS
16	THAT.
17	MS. SCHMAUSS: CORRECT.
18	THE COURT: THAT WE, MY GOSH, ARE GOING TO CONCLUDE
19	THAT WHICH ORDINARILY ONE WOULD CONCLUDE IN THE REAL WORLD
20	WITHOUT SCIENTIFIC ANALYSIS THAT THERE'S BLOOD ON THE BABY
21	BLANKET COMES FROM THE BABY. OKAY.
22	MS. SCHMAUSS: THAT'S ALL.
23	THE COURT: WITHOUT.
24	MS. SCHMAUSS: IT IS NOT O.J. EVIDENCE.
25	THE COURT: HUH.
26	MS. SCHMAUSS: IT IS NOT O.J.
27	THE COURT: WHAT A SHOCK. OKAY. NOW THERE'S SOME
28	OTHER STUFF THAT WE ARE GOING TO DISCOVER WITH THIS

1	SCIENTIFIC ANALYSIS. YOU HAVE SUMMED IT UP.
2	THE WITNESS: THE BLOOD WAS BRITTANY'S AND IS
3	INCONSISTENT WITH EVERYONE ELSE IN THE HOUSEHOLD. AND
4	THERE WAS SALIVA ON THE BLANKET. THAT'S IT.
-5-	THE COURT: OKAY. WELL, WE HADN'T GOT TO SALIVA
6	TEST. DID YOU DO SOMETHING ELSE?
7	THE WITNESS: YES.
8	THE COURT: OKAY. WHAT WAS THAT?
9	THE WITNESS: IN THE PROCESS OF DISCUSSING THE CASE
10	WITH
11	THE COURT: I DIDN'T ASK ABOUT DISCUSSION. WHAT ELSE
12	DID YOU DO SCIENTIFICALLY?
13	THE WITNESS: YES, SIR. I EXTRACTED THE STAIN AND
14	TESTED IT FOR AN ENZYME, AMYLASE. AMYLASE IS AN ENZYME IN
15	HIGH CONCENTRATION IN SALIVA, IS PRESENT IN LOW
16	CONCENTRATIONS IN OTHER PHYSIOLOGICAL FLUIDS.
17	THE RESULT OF THIS PARTICULAR TEST SHOWS THAT THERE
18	WAS A HIGHER THAN EXPECTED LEVEL OF AMYLASE IN THE STAIN
19	THAT EXTRACTED FROM THE BLANKET. THE STAIN WASN'T IN
20	FACT BLOOD.
21	THE COURT: OKAY. WELL, IT WAS BLOOD. YOU GOT BLOOD
22	AND SALIVA IN THIS SPOT.
23	THE WITNESS: THAT WOULD BE A CONCLUSION THAT COULD
24	BE DRAWN, YES, SIR.
25	THE COURT: FROM BRITTANY.
26	THE WITNESS: I DID NOT MAKE A DETERMINATION AS TO
27	THE
28	THE COURT: THERE'S NO DNA CONNECTION BETWEEN SALIVA

1 AND A PERSON? THE WITNESS: SIR, WHEN YOU COME DOWN TO IT, THE FACT THAT YOU HAVE A MIXTURE OF BLOOD AND THAT I AM EXTRACTING HUMAN DNA, I REALLY DID NOT MAKE A DETERMINATION WHICH 4 HUMAN THE DNA CAME FROM. 5 THE COURT: ONE OR THE OTHER. 6 7 THE WITNESS: YES, SIR. IT APPEARS TO ME THAT THERE'S A MIX OF FLUIDS ON THE BLANKET, BLOOD AND POSSIBLY 8 9 SALIVA, AND THAT THE DNA THAT I EXTRACTED HAS HUMAN DNA, 10 WAS THE SAME GENETIC MARKER IN THIS LIMITED FIELD OF SIX. AND ONE PERSON IN THAT LIMITED, I HAVE ELIMINATED FIVE 11 12 OTHERS. 13 THE COURT: AND THE KIND OF TESTS YOU USED TO DO THAT WAS A WHAT? 14 THE WITNESS: THE GENETIC MARKER TYPE. THE DQ-ALPHA 15 TYPING WAS DONE BY A WALHAOUS HLA DQ-ALPHA AMPLI-TYPE KIT, 16 IS MARKETED BY PERK & ELMER. 17 18 THE COURT: OKAY. YOU CAN EXAMINE. 19 MS. SCHMAUSS: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR. A LITTLE BIT 20 MORE. THE COURT: JUST WANT TO FIND OUT WHAT HAPPENED MORE 21 QUICKLY THAN YOU ARE LIKELY TO GET THERE. 22 MS. SCHMAUSS: YOU DID A GREAT JOB. 23 THE COURT: I HAVE DONE IT BEFORE. 24 25 DIRECT EXAMINATION 26 BY MS. SCHMAUSS: 27 Q OKAY. THIS KIT THAT YOU ARE DESCRIBING, IS THIS

A STANDARD KIT THROUGHOUT THE INDUSTRY FOR CONDUCTING PCR

Ĺ	ANAL	YS	IS	7

3

4

5

6

7

8

15

16

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- A FOR CONDUCTING PCR ANALYSIS, OF THE WHAT IS
  CALLED DQ-ALPHA LOCUS, YES. PCR OR POLYMERASE CHAIN
  REACTION IS A TECHNIQUE. AND YOU USE IT TO APPLY IT TO
  DIFFERENT DNA LOCATIONS. DQ-ALPHA IS ONE OF THOSE
  LOCATIONS. THERE HAS BEEN A KIT DEVELOPED FOR THAT
  APPLICATION. AND THAT KIT IS USED BY MY LAB AND THE NEWER
  LABS.
- 9 Q OKAY. IS THERE ONLY ONE KIT, BASED ON YOUR
  10 TRAINING AND EXPERIENCE, THERE'S ONLY ONE KIT OUT THERE
- 11 THAT IS BEING USED FOR THESE TESTS?
- 12 A CURRENTLY, THAT IS TRUE. THEY ARE IN THE

  13 PROCESS OF REDESIGNING THE KITS. IT IS STILL ONLY BY THE

  14 SAME MANUFACTURER.
  - Q WHICH IS WHAT?
  - A PERK AND ELMER.
- 17 Q OKAY. ARE YOU FAMILIAR WITH A LABORATORY BY THE 18 NAME OF SERI?
  - A YES. THAT WOULD BE SERI, CAP S, CAP E, CAP R,

    CAP I. IT STANDS FOR SEROLOGICAL RESEARCH INSTITUTE.

    THEY ARE LOCATED IN EMERYVILLE, I BELIEVE. THEY MOVED TO

    ALAMEDA. IT WAS HEADED BY BRIAN RACKSELL. AND THEY DO

    SEROLOGICAL TESTING WHICH WOULD HAVE ALSO DQ-ALPHA TYPING,

    BOTH PROSECUTING ATTORNEYS AND DEFENSE ATTORNEYS. AND I
- 26 Q OKAY.
- 27 A OR PRIVATE LABORATORIES.
- 28 O ARE YOU FAMILIAR WITH THE KIT? IS IT PRONOUNCED

BELIEVE THEY MAY ALSO WORK IN CIVIL CASES.

1	SERI?
ᅩ	DEVI

- 2 A SERI.
  - Q ARE YOU FAMILIAR WITH THE KIT SERI USES?
- A YES. SERI WOULD ALSO USE THIS SAME CALLED A

  5 DO-ALPHA HLA AMPLI-TYPE KIT.
  - Q AND THAT'S THE KIT YOU USED IN THIS PARTICULAR CASE?
  - A YES.
- 9 Q IS THERE ANY WAY FOR YOU TO EXAMINE THE KIT THAT

  10 YOU ARE USING TO MAKE SURE THAT ALL COMPONENTS ARE IN
- 11 TACT?

1.2

- RECEIVE, THEY HAVE BASIC COMPONENTS WHICH WE THEN MUST USE IN THE PROCESS OF OUR TESTING. IT ALSO COMES WITH A ESSENTIALLY AN INSTRUCTION BOOK, A HOW-TO-USE-THIS-KIT BOOK WHICH WE HAVE ESSENTIALLY REWRITTEN AND PUT INTO OUR METHOD MANUAL. THE CONTENTS OF THE KIT INCLUDE ALL OF THE BASIC THINGS THAT YOU NEED FOR USING THE PCR TECHNOLOGY FOR SPECIFICALLY FOR DQ-ALPHA. THERE ARE OTHER SOLUTIONS SUCH AS BUFFERS AND WATCHES THAT NEED TO BE MADE BY THE INDIVIDUAL LABORATORY. BUT THE FUNDAMENTAL PCR REAGENTS ARE IN THE KIT.
  - Q OKAY. AND WHAT KIND OF TRAINING HAVE YOU RECEIVED IN THE USE OF THIS KIT?
  - A I TOOK A CLASS CONDUCTED BY THE CALIFORNIA

    CRIMINALISTIC INSTITUTE. I BELIEVE THAT WAS IN DECEMBER

    OF 1993. THAT WAS IT WAS PUT ON BY CALIFORNIA

    CRIMINALISTIC INSTITUTE. IT WAS TAUGHT AT THE DEPARTMENT

1	OF JUSTICE BERKLEY LABORATORY, THIS STATE DNA LABORATORY.			
2	ESSENTIALLY SHOWED THE EXTRACTION OF DNA PROCESSES AND THE			
3	EFFECTUAL USE OF THE AMPLI-TYPE KIT FOR TYPING DQ-ALPHA.			
4	I HAVE ALSO			
5	Q LET ME STOP YOU THERE. WERE YOU ACTUALLY GIVEN			
6	HANDS-ON TRAINING AND WERE YOU EVALUATED, GRADED?			
7	A THERE WAS NO GRADING PROCESS. BUT IT WAS A			
8	HANDS-ON LABORATORY EXERCISE TYPE OF PROGRAM.			
9	Q WAS THERE ANY WAY TO ASSURE YOURSELF THAT YOU			
10	HAD CONDUCTED THE TEST PROPERLY?			
11	A WELL, WITH REGARD TO ANY TESTS THAT ARE			
12	PERFORMED USING THIS KIT, THERE ARE CERTAIN CONTROLS THAT			
13	ARE RUN ALONGSIDE THE SAMPLE. IF THOSE CONTROLS PERFORM			
14	IN AN EXPECTED MANNER, THE CONCLUSION IS MADE THAT YOU RAN			
15	THE TEST CORRECTLY.			
16	Q AND DID THE CONTROLS ADD UP IN YOUR TEST?			
1.7	A YES, MA'AM.			
18	MR. ABLARD: EXCUSE ME. WHICH TEST, SEMINAR OR THE			
19	TEST, THAT WE ARE TALKING ABOUT?			
20	MS. SCHMAUSS: SEMINAR.			
21	Q BY MS. SCHMAUSS: WAS THERE A PARTICULAR			
22	SEMINAR?			
23	A IT WAS A WEEK-LONG CLASS.			
24	Q HOW MANY TESTS DID YOU RUN IN THAT WEEK-LONG			
25	CLASSE?			
26	A I EXTRACTED FIVE OR SIX SAMPLES. I DON'T			
27	REMEMBER SPECIFICALLY.			
28	Q YOU HAD ANY FURTHER TRAINING SPECIFIC TO PCR			

ANALYSIS WAS DONE IN THIS CASE?

VALIDATES THE USE OF THE DQ-ALPHA KIT. PRIOR TO OUR USING IT FOR CASE WORK. THAT PARTICULAR WORK WAS DONE BY MY PARTNER, DAN BRUGONESS. AFTER HE HAD DONE THIS VALIDATION STUDY, I WAS THEN PUT IN A ESSENTIALLY A TRAINING METHOD TO LEARN YOU TO USE THE KIT AND HOW TO APPLY IT TO CASE SAMPLES. I LOOK AT SEVERAL ESSENTIALLY WHOLE BLOOD SAMPLES. I BELIEVE FIVE OLD SEXUAL ASSAULT KITS, I BELIEVE IT WAS FIVE WHAT ARE CALLED ENVIRONMENTALLY ABUSED SAMPLES. THEY ARE SAMPLES THAT ARE PUT BLOOD STAINS ON A PIECE OF CLOTH. AND YOU LEFT IT OUT IN THE SUN FOR THREE OR FOUR DAYS, SOME SORT OF ENVIRONMENTAL ABUSE.

AND I LOOKED AT A SET OF PROFICIENCY TEST SAMPLES.

IN ALL, I WOULD SAY I PROBABLY LOOKED AT A HUNDRED OR MORE SAMPLES IN MY TRAINING EXERCISES. EACH SET OF SAMPLES WOULD HAVE A SET OF CONTROLS. MOST OF THE SAMPLES HAD BEEN PREVIOUSLY ANALYZED BY ANOTHER PERSON. SO, THEREFORE, THEY'RE ESSENTIALLY BLIND, A BLIND PROFICIENCY TEST OF MYSELF TO SEE IF I CAN PROPERLY APPLY THIS NEW TECHNOLOGY, NEW FOR ME. AND AT THE TEND OF THAT TIME, I SUBMITTED MY RESULTS TO MR. BRUGONES WHO THEN WROTE A MEMORANDUM TO MY LABORATORY DIRECTOR EXPLAINING MY RESULTS AND SO FORTH. MY LABORATORY DIRECTOR ESSENTIALLY OKAYED ME TO USE THIS KIT ON CASE WORK.

- Q SO, YOU PASSED THE TESTS?
- A YES.
- 28 Q WERE YOU RIGHT IN EVERY INSTANCE?

1	A YES, MA'AM.
2	Q AND WHEN WAS THIS TESTING PROCEDURE?
3	A I BELIEVE IT EXTENDED THROUGH JANUARY OF '94.
4	Q NOW, ARE YOU CURRENTLY ASSIGNED TO THE DNA UNIT
5	AT THE CRIME LAB HERE IN SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY?
6	A YES, MA'AM, I HAVE BEEN PRIMARILY A FORENSIC DNA
7	ANALYST FOR ABOUT FOUR AND A HALF TO FIVE YEARS.
8	Q HOW MANY PREVIOUS DQ-ALPHA TESTS HAVE YOU DONE
9	PRIOR TO THIS PARTICULAR CASE?
10	A LOTS. I REALLY HAVEN'T KEPT ACCOUNT OF THE
11	NUMBER OF TESTS. I KNOW THAT I HAVE WORKED SAY ON AN
12	AVERAGE FIFTEEN TO TWENTY CASES A YEAR IN THE YEAR AND A
13	HALF THAT I HAVE, YEAR AND A HALF THAT I HAVE BEEN USING
14	THIS PARTICULAR TEST. I SAY PROBABLY ABOUT FIFTY CASES.
15	Q USING DQ-ALPHA?
16	A YES, MA'AM. BUT I REALLY HAVEN'T KEPT AN
17	ACCURATE ACCOUNT OF THAT.
18	Q OKAY. AND I NOTICE FROM YOUR CURRICULUM VITAE
19	THAT YOU ALSO WENT TO COURSE IN VIRGINIA. VIENNA,
20	VIRGINIA OR FROM A GROUP FROM VIENNA, VIRGINIA, THE
21	COMBINED DNA INDEX SYSTEM END USER TRAINING?
22	A YES, MA'AM. THAT ACTUALLY PERTAINS TO ANOTHER
23	PORTION OF OUR DNA ANALYSIS SYSTEM. THE COMBINED DNA
24	INDEXING SYSTEM OR CODIS, CAPITOL C, CAP O, CAP D, CAP I
25	CAP S INVOLVES ESSENTIALLY A NATIONAL NETWORK IN WHICH
26	TEST RESULTS CAN BE COMPARED BETWEEN AGENCIES EITHER AT
27	THE STATE LEVEL OR AT THE NATIONAL LEVEL TO SEE IF WE CAN
28	ACTUALLY LINK CASES TOGETHER THAT OTHERWISE MY NOT HAVE

1	BEEN	LINKED	TOGETHER

- Q OKAY. HAVE YOU MENTIONED ALL THE TRAINING THAT YOU HAVE HAD IN DNA ANALYSIS?
- A WELL, I HAD SOME FUNDAMENTAL DNA TRAINING WITH REGARD TO THE EXTRACTION OF DNA AT THE FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION FACILITY IN QUANTICO, VIRGINIA. THEY HAVE A RESEARCH AND TRAINING CENTER THERE. SPENT A MONTH THERE. I BELIEVE IT WAS 1991, IN 1990. I HAVE A DIFFICULT TIME WITH YEARS AND DATES.

#### Q VITAE SAYS 1990?

BETWEEN THANKSGIVING AND CHRISTMAS. IT WAS A HECK OF A MONTH. BUT WE WERE THERE. WE LEARNED THE TECHNIQUES OF DNA EXTRACTION, EXTRACTION AND ISOLATING AND CLEANUP. AND THEN QUANTIZATION, TELL HOW MUCH DNA IS THERE. AND THEN WE WENT ON TO OTHER TECHNIQUES, MOSTLY INVOLVING WHAT IS CALLED THE RFLP TECHNOLOGY. WE DID RECEIVE ESSENTIALLY CLASSROOM TRAINING WITH REGARD TO PCR AND UNDERSTANDING AS TO HOW THE PCR TECHNOLOGY WORKS. BUT THAT WAS BEFORE OUR LABORATORY GOT INTO THE USE OF PCR TECHNOLOGY.

Q OKAY. I NOTICE ALSO YOU HAVE LISTED, YOU STATED FORENSIC DNA ANALYSIS AT THE UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, BERKLEY IN 1989.

A THAT'S TRUE. PRIOR TO-- I ACTUALLY THAT WOULD BE RIGHT ABOUT THE TIME THAT OUR LABORATORY WAS THINKING ABOUT GETTING INTO THE DNA ANALYSIS IDEA. MY PARTNER AND I WERE SENT TO A ONE-WEEK COURSE AT UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA AT BERKLEY. IT WAS TAUGHT BY A GENTLEMAN FROM

-	I BELIEVE HIS LABORATORY WAS IN DENVER. BUT HE CAME OUT
2	TO ESSENTIALLY TEACH FOLKS ON THE WEST COAST AGAIN THE
,	AFFECT OF DNA EXTRACTION AND AGAIN USING THE RFLP
.	TECHNIQUE. AT THAT PARTICULAR COURSE ALSO DR. ED BLAKE
;-	CAME IN AND SHOWED US SOME OF THE PCR TECHNOLOGY. WE DID
:	NOT HAVE HANDS-ON WITH REGARD TO THAT

- Q DR. BLAKE IS HE KNOWN TO YOU AS ONE OF THE WORLD RENOWN EXPERTS IN PCR ANALYSIS?
- A DR. BLAKE ASSISTED IN THE DEVELOPMENT OF THE DQ-ALPHA KIT AND WAS ONE OF THE FIRST TO USE IT IN A FORENSIC CASE. FIRST TO USE THE DQ-ALPHA TYPING SYSTEM IN A FORENSIC CASE.
  - Q SO, YOU ARE FAMILIAR WITH HIS WORK?

- A YES. I KNOW DR. BLAKE. HE CAME IN AND CAME IN AND SHOWED US THAT PARTICULAR TECHNOLOGY IN THE CLASS IN 1989. HE CAME IN AND TALKED TO US AT THE CLASS IN 1993. I HAVE WATCHED DR. BLAKE TESTIFY. AND HE HAS PARTICIPATED IN A NUMBER OF ESSENTIALLY WE HAVE WHAT WE CALL DNA USER MEETINGS. WE GET TOGETHER SEMIANNUALLY, DISCUSS CURRENT TOPICS WITH REGARD TO DNA, WHERE IT HAS BEEN, WHERE IT IS GOING, NEW TECHNOLOGIES THAT ARE GOING OUT. AND DR. BLAKE PARTICIPATES IN THOSE ALSO.
- Q AND HAVE YOU ALSO READ SCIENTIFIC ARTICLES IN THIS AREA OF DQ-ALPHA AS KEEPING UP YOUR OWN PERSONAL TRAINING?
- A YES, MA'AM. IN FACT CURRENTLY, PART OF MY

  MASTERS THESIS INVOLVES LOOKING AT DQ-ALPHA WITH REGARD TO

  ITS RELATIONSHIP TO SEVERAL OTHER CONVENTIONAL SEROLOGY

	489
1	MARKERS.
2	Q SO, YOU HAVE READ A LOT IN THE FIELD?
3	A YES, MA'AM.
4	Q OKAY. YOU HAVE MENTIONED DR. BLAKE. ANYONE
5	ELSE?
6	A WITH REGARD TO WITH REGARD TO DQ-ALPHA,
7	DR. REBECCA REYNOLD HAS DONE A LOT OF RESEARCH WITH REGARD
8	TO DEVELOPMENT OF THE KIT AS WELL AS ITS APPLICATION.
9	THERE'S A DR. KATE KOMI WHO WORKS WITH THE FORENSIC
10	SCIENCE SECTION AT FBI WHO HAS AUTHORED SOME ARTICLES
11	AGAIN LOOKING AT THE USE OF PCR TECHNOLOGIES AND DQ-ALPHA
12	IN FORENSIC SCIENCE. THERE HAVE BEEN NUMEROUS OTHER
13	REPORTS OF THE USE OF EITHER DQ-ALPHA ON PARTICULAR CASES
14	IN TERMS OF LIKE TECHNICAL NOTE OR IN THE ESSENTIALLY THE
15	POPULATION STUDIES OF DQ-ALPHA TO FIND OUT HOW COMMON OR
16	RARE CERTAIN TYPES ARE.
17	Q OKAY. SO, ALL OF THAT HAS BEEN PART OF YOUR OWN
18	READING AND RESEARCH FOR WRITING YOUR THESIS?
19	A YES, MA'AM.
20	Q WHEN YOU ARE DOING THESE TESTS, DO YOU FOLLOW A
21	CERTAIN PROTOCOL?
22	A YES, MA'AM. AS I PREVIOUSLY STATED, THERE'S A
23	BOOKLET THAT IS ENCLOSED WITH EACH KIT THAT HAS THE
24	STANDARD PROTOCOL FOR THE DQ-ALPHA TYPING KIT. WE HAVE
25	PRETTY MUCH WORD-FOR-WORD INCORPORATED THAT INTO OUR
26	METHOD MANUAL.
27	Q THIS PARTICULAR CASE, DID YOU FOLLOW THE

PROTOCOL THAT'S DICTATED BY THAT BOOK AS ENCOMPASSED BY

YOUR	TRA	TNTNG	C.TATIMAM
1001	T 1/2	TTMTMG	LIDINOUT:

- A YES, MA'AM, I DO WITH EVERY CASE.
- Q AND YOU DID IN THIS CASE?
  - A YES, MA'AM.
- Q AND COULD YOU BRIEFLY OUTLINE THE PROTOCOL FOR 6 US?

A WITH REGARD TO THE PROTOCOL IN THE BOOKLET, I
CAN'T REMEMBER EXACTLY WHERE IT STARTS, IF IT STARTS-- I
DON'T THINK IT STARTS WITH DNA EXTRACTION. IT MAY. BUT
ESSENTIALLY, THE WAY WE EXAMINE THE EVIDENCE IS TO TAKE A
LOOK AT THE STAIN, CUT THE STAIN INTO PORTIONS. WE ALSO
WILL TAKE A WHAT IS CALLED A CONTROL OR A NON-STAIN AREA
OF THE GARMENT OR OF THE BLANKET OF THE MATERIAL AND
EXTRACT THESE ALONG WITH A SEPARATE, START OUT AS AN EMPTY
TUBE. WE WILL TAKE ALL OF OUR SOLUTION THROUGH THIS. IT
IS A REAGENT CONTROL.

SO, ESSENTIALLY, THREE TUBES WILL HAVE THE STAIN. I
HAVE A BACKGROUND PORTION OF THE MATERIAL. AND I HAVE A
REAGENT CONTROL. AND I WILL PROCESS THESE THROUGH ALL OF
MY EXTRACTION TECHNIQUES. I WILL THEN DO A QUANTITATIVE
TEST TO FIND OUT HOW MUCH DNA IS PRESENT IN EACH OF THESE
SAMPLES. HOPEFULLY, I WILL GET DNA FROM THE STAIN.
SOMETIMES YOU WILL OR WILL NOT GET IT FROM THE BACKGROUND
MATERIAL. AND IN THE REAGENT CONTROL, THERE SHOULD BE
ABSOLUTELY ZERO DNA.

AFTER THIS QUANTIZATION HAS BEEN DONE, SEE HOW MUCH DNA IS THERE, I WILL THEN TAKE A SMALL PORTION OF IT AND PUT IT INTO THIS KIT, A MIXTURE IN THIS KIT. AND

ESSENTIALLY THIS MIXTURE OR THIS KIT WILL THEN ASSIST ME 1 IN LOOKING AT WHAT IS CALLED THE DQ-ALPHA TYPE OF THE DNA WHICH IS PRESENT. THIS INVOLVES PUTTING A SMALL PORTION OF THE DNA IN A IN A TEST TUBE, PUTTING THIS TEST TUBE IN A 4 HEAT BLOCK. THE HEAT BLOCK WILL THEN BE WHAT IS CALLED 5 CYCLED THROUGH SEVEN TEMPERATURES IN ORDER TO ACCOMPLISH 6 7 THIS POLYMERASE CHAIN REACTION, THIS PCR TECHNIQUE. A PORTION OF THIS REACTION MIX WILL THEN BE WASHED OVER 8 THE TOP OF WHAT ARE CALLED TYPING STRIPS. TYPING STRIPS 10 ARE ANOTHER COMPONENT OF THE KIT. THE KIT, THE STRIPS 11 WILL THEN BE TREATED THROUGH SEVERAL WASHES OR BATHS AT 12 DIFFERENT TEMPERATURES AND THEN EVENTUALLY TREATED WITH A COLOR REAGENT TO DEVELOPE A COLOR WHICH WILL ASSIST IN 13 14 DOING THE TYPING.

- Q THAT'S PART OF THE KIT TOO?
- A YES, MA'AM.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

- Q OKAY. THEN WHAT DO YOU DO?
- A THEN, BASED ON THE COLOR SPOTS THAT YOU SEE ON THE TYPING STRIP, YOU DETERMINE WHAT DQ-ALPHA TYPE WAS PRESENT IN THE ORIGINAL EXTRACTION DNA SAMPLE. AND THEN THAT PARTICULAR DQ-ALPHA TYPE CAN BE COMPARED TO DQ-ALPHA TYPE FROM REFERENCE SAMPLES OR WHOLE BLOOD SAMPLES FROM OTHER INDIVIDUALS WHICH HAVE SIMILARLY BEEN EXTRACTED AND TESTED.
  - O OKAY. IS THAT THE SUMMARY OF THE PROTOCOL?
- A YES, MA'AM. THAT'S A VERY SIMPLIFIED, VERY SIMPLIFIED, THUMBNAIL SKETCH OF HOW IT WORKS.
  - Q IN THIS CASE, IN A CASE INVOLVING PEOPLE VS.

CHUCK JOHNSON, DID YOU FOLLOW THIS EXACT PROTOCOL? 1 2 YES, MA'AM. AND ALL ACCORDING TO THE INSTRUCTIONS THAT ARE 3 SUPPLIED WITH THE KIT AS INCORPORATED IN YOUR OWN MANUAL? 5 A YES, MA'AM. THE COURT: WHAT DO YOU GET WHEN YOU ARE DONE? WHAT 6 ARE YOU LOOKING AT? 7 THE WITNESS: WHEN YOU ARE FINISHED, THERE IS A SMALL 8 WHITE STRIP THAT'S ABOUT HALF AN INCH BY FIVE INCHES LONG. 9 IT HAS A SERIES OF MAY HAVE A SERIES OF COLORED BLUE DOTS 10 ON THEM. NEXT TO THE DOTS THERE WILL BE NUMBERS WHICH 11 1.2 WILL HELP YOU IN ESSENTIALLY DECODING WHAT THE COMBINATION OF DOTS MEANS. ONCE YOU HAVE DECODED THIS COMBINATION OF 13 14 DOTS, IT WILL THEN TELL YOU WHAT DQ-ALPHA TYPE YOU HAVE. AND SINCE YOU SIMPLY MATCH THE CODES AMONG THE 15 STRIPS-- I WILL HAVE STRIP FOR A DNA THAT I TOOK OUT OF 16 THE STAIN. I WILL ALSO HAVE A STRIP FOR THE MATERIAL THAT 17 WASN'T STAINED. GENERALLY SPEAKING AND IN THIS PARTICULAR 18 CASE, IT GIVES ME EXTREMELY WEAK OR NO RESULTS. 19 20 CASE, IT GAVE ME EXTREMELY WEAK RESULTS WHICH I COMPARE TO THE DNA FROM THE STAIN. 21 22 I ALSO HAVE THE REAGENT CONTROL WHICH I RAN WHICH SHOULD GIVE ME ABSOLUTELY NOTHING. NO SPOTS. AND THAT'S 23 EXACTLY WHAT IT DID. THEN, I ALSO HAVE THE SIX REFERENCE 24 SAMPLES OR WHOLE BLOOD SAMPLES THAT I HAVE TESTED BECAUSE 25 THEY ARE SUBMITTED IN THIS PARTICULAR CASE. I WILL ALSO 26 RUN WHAT IS CALLED AN AMPLIFICATION NEGATIVE. I JUST TAKE 27

A VIAL OUT OF THE KIT THAT THEY SEND US. I JUST TAKE A

1	VIAL OUT THERE. I DON'T PUT ANYTHING IN IT. I DON'T PUT
2	ANY DNA IN IT OR ANYTHING THAT I JUST EXPECT HAS DNA IN IT
3	AT ALL AND I AM AMPLIFYING JUST TO SEE IF THERE WASN'T DNA
4	IN THERE WHEN THEY MADE THE KIT. SO, I WILL HAVE TO
5	COMPLETION NEGATIVE ANALYSIS MUST BE ABSOLUTELY ZERO
6	BLANK. AND IN THIS CASE, IT WAS. WITH THE KIT, THEY WILL
7	ALSO GIVE US A KNOWN DNA TYPE. AND THIS IS TO MAKE SURE
8	THAT THE PROCESS REALLY WILL WORK WHETHER THERE IS DNA
9	PRESENT. AND THIS KNOWN DNA TYPE AFTER I HAVE PUT IT IN
10	THE REACTION VIAL AND RUN IT THROUGH, THE TEMPERATURE
11	CYCLE THAT I SPOKE OF, IT SHOULD GIVE ME A PARTICULAR

THE COURT: WE, WHAT I AM CURIOUS ABOUT IS WHEN YOU ARE THROUGH, AFTER YOU HAVE THE STRIP OF PAPER WITH SOME SPOTS ON IT?

DO-ALPHA TYPE. AND I CHECKED THAT EVERY TIME. AND IN

THIS CASE, IT DID GIVE ME THE CORRECT TYPE.

THE WITNESS: YES, SIR.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

THE COURT: WHERE DO THE NUMBERS COME FROM THAT RELATE TO THE SPOTS?

THE WITNESS: MIGHT BE EASIER IF I DREW SOME PICTURES OR SHOWED YOU PART OF MY NOTES. THE STRIP IT HAS ON IT A SERIES OF WHAT ARE CALLED PROTECTION DNA PROTECTION FOR SPECIFIC DQ-ALPHA TYPES. IF A SPOT TURNS BLUE, THAT DO-ALPHA TYPE IS PRESENT. THEY HAVE PROTECTION FOR WHAT THEY CALL TYPE ONE, TYPE TWO, AND TYPE THREE. AND TYPE FOUR. TYPE ONE'S CAN BE FURTHER DIVIDED INTO 1.1, 1.2, AND 1.3. SO, IN ESSENCE, I HAVE SIX DIFFERENT DO-ALPHA THINGS THAT I CAN GET, .1, 1.2, 1.3; 2, 3, AND 4. THE

COMBINATION OF DOTS ON THE STRIP ALLOWS ME TO FIND OUT WHAT COMBINATIONS OF THESE DQ-ALPHA THINGS ARE PRESENT. EXPECT EVERYBODY TO HAVE ESSENTIALLY TWO OF THESE SIX. YOU WILL HAVE TWO BECAUSE YOU HAVE BECAUSE OF INHERENT FACTORS, YOU GET ONE FROM YOUR MOM AND ONE FROM YOUR DAD. SOMETIMES, YOU MIGHT GET THE SAME ONE FROM YOUR MOM THAT YOU GOT FROM YOUR DAD. MAYBE YOU GOT TWO FROM YOUR MOM AND TWO FROM YOUR DAD. THEREFORE, ONLY THE TWO WILL SHOW IN YOUR DQ-ALPHA STRIPS. BUT MOST OF THE TIME, PEOPLE WILL GET TWO OF THESE SIX DQ-ALPHA. THEY ARE CALLED ALLELES. YOU WILL GET TWO OF THESE.

AND DUE TO A SLIGHT COMPLEXITY OF KIT, YOU NEED TO READ THESE PARTICULAR SPOTS CORRECTLY IN ORDER TO DETERMINE WHICH OF THESE ALLELES ARE PRESENT. AT THE END OF MY TESTING, I WILL TAKE THESE STRIPS WHICH HAVE THE BLUE DOTS AND I WILL TAKE A BLACK AND WHITE POLOROID PICTURE OF THEM. AND THAT WILL GO IN MY CASE FILE. STRIPS EVENTUALLY, IF YOU LEAVE THEM AROUND FOR A DAY OR A DAY OR SO, THEY TURN COLORS AND SO ON AND THEY WRINKLE UP. I THROW THEM AWAY.

THE COURT: OKAY.

Q BY MS. SCHMAUSS: JUST SO THAT WE ALL UNDERSTAND, WHAT IS AN ALLELE?

A AN ALLELE IS A VARIATION AT A PARTICULAR DNA LOCUS OR CITE OR LOCATION. IF I TAKE A LOOK AT ALL OF THE DNA THAT I HAVE IN MY BODY AND I GO TO ONE PARTICULAR SPOT, A LOCUS OR A LOCATION, IF THIS LOCATION CAN BE DIFFERENT, YOU NOW, IF I TAKE A LOOK AT IT, AND THEN I GO

1	TO YOUR DNA AND I LOOK AT THE SAME LOCATION, OUR DNA AND
2	YOU ARE DIFFERENT, THERE IS WHAT IS CALLED A POLYMORPHISM
3	THAT ARE DIFFERENT. I AM DIFFERENT THAN YOU AT THAT
4	PARTICULAR LOCATION. EACH OF THESE DIFFERENCES IS CALLED
5	AN ALLELE OR A POSSIBLE TYPE FOR THAT PARTICULAR DNA
6	LOCATION. IF WE ARE THE SAME AND IF IT TURNS OUT THAT
7	EVERYBODY IS THE SAME, THEN THE WORD ALLELE DOESN'T APPLY
8	AND IN FACT IT REALLY DOESN'T HELP US IN FORENSICS.
9	BECAUSE OUR INTEREST IS BE ABLE TO TELL DIFFERENCES AMONG

PEOPLE RATHER THAN WHERE THEY ARE ALL THE SAME.

Q OKAY. AND AS YOU JUST STATED, EVERYBODY HAS GOT TWO AND THEY CAN EITHER BE THE SAME OR DIFFERENT. FOR EXAMPLE IN THIS CASE, I NOTICE THAT DARIN RIGGS, HE HAS GOT 1.1, 1.1. SO, HIS ALLELES ARE THE SAME?

A YES, MA'AM. WHEN I TYPED HIS PARTICULAR SAMPLE, HE SHOWED THAT HE HAD ONE ALLELE. THE ASSUMPTION THEN IS THAT IF YOU ONLY GET JUST ONE ALLELE THAT YOU DETECT THAT IS ESSENTIALLY HE HAS TWO COPIES OF THIS ONE ALLELE.

Q SO, HE GOT THEM BOTH EITHER HIS MOTHER OR HIS DAD, OR GOT ONE FROM HIS MOM AND ONE FROM HIS DAD. HIS MOM GAVE HIM A 1.1. HIS DAD GAVE HIM A 1.1. THEY CAME TOGETHER. THAT IS WHAT HIS BLOOD TYPE IS.

Q WHEN YOU ARE DOING THESE TESTS, YOU TALK ABOUT
THE--

THE COURT: YOU DID END UP WITH SIX POSSIBILITIES IN THE TEST; IS THAT CORRECT?

THE WITNESS: THERE ARE SIX POSSIBLE ALLELES THAT CAN BE DETECTED.

	496
1	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. AND EVERYBODY GETS TWO OF
2	THEM?
3	THE WITNESS: YES, SIR.
4	THE COURT: SO, THE NUMBER OF VARIATIONS IS
5	RELATIVELY SMALL; ISN'T IT?
6	THE WITNESS: WHEN YOU HAVE COMBINATION OF SIX, TAKEN
7	TWO AT A TIME.
8	THE COURT: SURE.
9	THE WITNESS: YOU GET TWENTY-ONE DIFFERENT TYPES.
10	THE COURT: OKAY.
11	THE WITNESS: SO, THAT IS IF IN TERMS OF NUMBER OF
12	VARIATIONS.
13	THE COURT: SO, THIS IS KIND OF A GROSS TEST; ISN'T
14	IT, IN THE SENSE THAT THE WHOLE POPULATION CAN BE
15	DISTINGUISHED ONE FROM ANOTHER WITH TWENTY-ONE
16	POSSIBILITIES.
. 17	THE WITNESS: THAT IS CORRECT. IT IS ESSENTIALLY TO
18	CATEGORIZE.
19	THE COURT: THIS IS A CATEGORIZATION TEST AND YOU
20	CAN GO FURTHER
21	THE WITNESS: YES, SIR.
22	THE COURT: AND BEGIN TO IDENTIFY OR EXCLUDE. I
23	ASSUME THE WHOLE PROCESS IS TO INCLUDE OR EXCLUDE IN THIS
24	PARTICULAR. SO ALL YOU HAVE DONE HERE IS REALLY KIND OF A
25	GROSS ANALYSIS SAYING THAT I DON'T HAVE TO GO ANY FURTHER.
26	I JUST RUN THIS PORTION OF TEST AND I CAN EXCLUDE THE
27	KNOWN GROUP HERE OR INCLUDE AND EXCLUDE WITHOUT GOING ANY
28	FURTHER.

1	THE WITNESS: IN THIS PARTICULAR TEST, THAT IS MY
2	CONCLUSION. IF THERE ARE IF THERE WAS FURTHER QUESTION
3	AS TO THESE RESULTS, AS TO CAN I STILL INCLUDE BRITTANY,
4	IF I DO FURTHER TESTS, I WOULD HAVE TO DO FURTHER TESTS IN
5	ORDER TO DO THAT.
. 6	THE COURT: WELL, IT IS CLEARLY POSSIBLE THAT YOU
7	COULD HAVE HAD TWO OR MORE PEOPLE COMING OUT IDENTICAL;
8	CORRECT?
9	THE WITNESS: I AM ACTUALLY QUITE SURPRISED THAT OF
10	THESE SIX PEOPLE, TWO OF THEM DIDN'T HAVE EXACTLY THE SAME
11	TYPE.
12	THE COURT: YEAH.
13	THE WITNESS: I REALLY WAS.
14	THE COURT: WHICH MEANS YOU'D HAVE TO HAVE GONE
15	FURTHER IN YOUR ANALYSIS IN ORDER TO EXCLUDE OR INCLUDE?
16	THE WITNESS: IF THE TWO PEOPLE WHO ARE THE SAME WERE
17	THE SAME AS THAT IN THE STAIN.
18	THE COURT: YEAH.
19	THE WITNESS: YES, SIR.
20	THE COURT: OKAY. YOU SAY NOW YOU CAN GO ON FURTHER
21	WITH FURTHER ANALYSIS. AND OKAY. I GOT IT. THIS IS WHEN
22	YOU END UP WITH TWENTY-ONE POSSIBLE, THAT'S KIND OF A
23	GROSS INDICATOR.
24	THE WITNESS: YES, SIR.
25	THE COURT: THAT'S ABOUT AS GROSS AS SAY YEAH,
26	THERE'S REALLY BLOOD?
27	THW WITNESS: IT'S A LITTLE MORE INFORMATIVE THAN
28	SAYING A B.O. TYPE IN WHICH YOU ONLY HAVE FOUR TIES AND

1	THERE ARE OTHER CONVENTIONAL MARKERS.
2	THE COURT: SURE.
3	THE WITNESS: IN WHICH YOU ONLY HAD MAYBE TEN TIMES
4	IF YOU ARE TALKING ABOUT SAY MAYBE PGM. THE ADVANTAGE OF
5	THIS PARTICULAR THERE ARE SEVERAL ADVANTAGES TO THIS
6	PARTICULAR TYPE OF TESTING. YOU HAVE MORE TYPES. AND YOU
7	CAN WORK WITH SMALLER SAMPLES. AND YOU ARE WORKING WITH
8	DNA WHICH IS MORE STABLE THAN SOME OTHER THINGS THAT WE
9	HAVE USED IN THE PAST. IT WILL STAY AROUND LONGER IN THE
LO	DRY STAIN.
L1	Q BY MS. SCHMAUSS: YOU HAVE MENTIONED QUALITY
L2	CONTROL. IN THIS PARTICULAR CASE, WAS THERE ANYTHING I
L3	GUESS I AM DONE.
L4	THE COURT: YES, I THINK SO.
L5 <sub>.</sub>	MR. ABLARD: I HAVE A COUPLE OF QUESTIONS.
L6	THE COURT: I THOUGHT YOU MIGHT.
L <sub>.</sub> 7	CROSS-EXAMINATION
L8	BY MR. ABLARD:
L9	Q THANK YOU. NOW, THERE WAS SIX SAMPLES OF BLOOD
20	THAT YOU TESTED; CORRECT?
21	A IN THE END, THERE WERE SIX WHAT I WOULD CALL
22	REFERENCE SAMPLES, YES, SIR.
23	Q ALL RIGHT. THOSE WERE IN THE PEOPLE UP THERE?
24	A YES, SIR.
25	Q ON YOUR CHART. AND WHEN WERE THOSE SAMPLES
26	TAKEN FROM THE PEOPLE; DO YOU KNOW?
27	A I COULD TELL YOU WHEN THEY WERE RECEIVED IN THE
28	LABORATORY. BUT AS TO WHEN THEY WERE TAKEN, NO, SIR. I

1	CAN'T. I CAN'T GIVE YOU SPECIFICS.
2	Q OKAY. AND DO YOU KNOW UNDER WHAT CIRCUMSTANCES
3	THEY WERE TAKEN, AND JUST TO MAKE IT QUICKER HERE, HOW
4	THEY WERE STORED?
5	A WITH REGARD TO?
6	Q WHEN THEY CAME INTO THE LAB?
7	A WITH REGARD TO HOW THEY ARE STORED WITHIN THE
8	LAB, I DO KNOW.
9	Q BEFORE THEY CAME TO THE LAB?
10	A NO, SIR, I DON'T.
11	Q GIVEN THE NATURE OF THIS PARTICULAR TEST WOULD
12	STORAGE OF BLOOD SAMPLES BE IMPORTANT?
13	A WITH REGARD TO THE WHOLE BLOOD SAMPLES?
14	Q WHATEVER SAMPLES THE LAB GOT TO TEST FOR THIS
15	PARTICULAR PROCEDURE, WOULD IT BE IMPORTANT TO KNOW OR IF
16	WOULD STORAGE BE IMPORTANT? IS THERE PROPER OR IMPROPER
17	STORAGE OF A BLOOD SAMPLE THAT IT MIGHT AFFECT OUTCOME OF
18	TESTS, THIS TEST, THE DQ-ALPHA TEST?
19	A IF THE STAINS OR THE SAMPLES WERE IMPROPERLY
20	STORED, THEN I WOULD NOT GET A TEST RESULT. IF THEY WERE
21	NOT IF THEY ARE IMPROPERLY STORED FOR A LONG ENOUGH
22	PERIOD OF TIME THE DNA IS AN EXTREMELY HARDY MOLECULE, A
23	VERY STABLE MOLECULE EVEN IN A DRY STATE. WITH REGARDS TO
24	THIS PARTICULAR TECHNOLOGY, BECAUSE IT HAS THE ABILITY TO
25	WORK WITH SMALL DNA FRAGMENTS, THE STORAGE CONDITIONS
26	WOULD BE LESS LIKELY TO AFFECT THE TYPING RESULTS.
27	Q COULD IT AFFECT THE TYPING RESULTS?

A IF THE LIQUID BLOODS WERE LEFT IN A REFRIGERATOR

1	FOR AN EXTENDED FOR A REALLY LONG PERIOD OF TIME PRIOR TO
2	A DRY SWATCH OR A DRY STAIN BEING MADE OF THEM, IT MIGHT
3	BE POSSIBLE FOR THEM TO DEGRADE FAR ENOUGH THAT YOU WOULD
4	NOT GET A DQ-ALPHA TYPE.
-5-	Q BUT WHEN WERE THE SWATCHES MADE, DO YOU KNOW?
6	A SWATCHES WERE, IN GENERAL, SWATCHES ARE MADE
7	WITHIN A WEEK'S TIME OF THE BLOOD BEING SUBMITTED TO THE
8	LABORATORY.
9	Q WHEN WERE THESE MADE, THE ONES THAT ARE
LO	PRESENTED TO YOU?
11	A I CAN TELL YOU THAT THE BLOOD SWATCH FOR TRAVIS
L2	JOHNSON, REFERENCE NUMBER 3174, THAT WAS MADE ON OCTOBER
L3	23, 1995. I CAN SAY THAT BECAUSE I MADE THE SWATCH.
L4	Q OKAY.
15	A THE REFERENCE SAMPLE FOR STEVE LOPEZ WAS
16	RECEIVED BY OUR LABORATORY ON JULY 27, 1995. AND THE
L7	BLOOD SWATCH WE HAVE MADE THE DRY SWATCH WOULD HAVE BEEN
18	MADE WITHIN A WEEK OF THAT TIME.
19	Q IS THERE ANYTHING INDICATES THAT IT WAS MADE
20	WITHIN A WEEK OF THAT TIME, BY THE WAY?
21	A I HAVE A REPORT FROM OUR PROPERTY SECTION THAT
22	SAYS THAT THE SWATCH WAS LOGGED INTO OUR PROPERTY SECTION
23	ON AUGUST 4, 1995. AND IT WOULD HAVE BEEN LOGGED IN AFTER
24	THE SWATCH HAS BEEN MADE, IT HAS BEEN DRIED, AND IT HAS
25	BEEN PLACED IN A ESSENTIALLY A FREEZER IN OUR SEROLOGY
26	SECTION PRIOR TO IT BEING TAKEN TO THE FREEZER IN THE
27	PROPERTY SECTION.

WAS ALL THAT DONE THAT YOU JUST MENTIONED, YOU

1	KNOW, THAT THAT WAS DONE, THE STORAGE OF THE SWATCH?
2	A IN TERMS OF HOW THINGS WERE, HOW THINGS
3	PROGRESSED, YES, SIR. THEY HAD TO HAVE PROGRESSED THAT
4	WAY IN ORDER FOR ME TO BE ABLE TO FIND THEM WHEN I
-5	EVENTUALLY WENT TO TEST THEM.
6	Q I GUESS WHAT I WAS ASKING WAS YOU, WHEN YOU ARE
7	GOING TO GO DO YOUR TEST, DO YOU GO TO A PARTICULAR PLACE
8	AND THERE THEY ARE?
9	A I ESSENTIALLY I GO TO THE PROPERTY SECTION AND
10	LOG THEM OUT OF THE PROPERTY SECTION. YES, SIR.
11	Q AND IS IT IMPORTANT FROM THE TIME THAT THE
12	SWATCH IS DONE UNTIL THE TIME THAT IT GETS INTO THE
13	PROPERTY SECTION WHERE YOU RETRIEVE IT, WHAT HAPPENS TO
14	THAT SWATCH IN THE INTERIM? IS THAT AN IMPORTANT TIME
15	PERIOD?
16	A WITH REGARD TO?
17,	Q TO HAVING IT PROPERLY PRESERVED SO YOU CAN
18	ACTUALLY DO THE PROPER TEST? IN OTHER WORDS, IF
19	PROCEDURES AREN'T FOLLOWED DURING THAT TIME PERIOD, WOULD
20	THERE BE CONTAMINATION? COULD THERE BE CONTAMINATION THAT
21	WILL CALL FOR MISREADING LATER ON?
22	A OKAY. ON THE WHAT WE CALL THE CONTINUUM OF
23	POSSIBILITIES, IT IS PROBABLE ON THERE SOMEWHERE AS BEING
24	POSSIBLE; LIKELIHOOD, NO.
25	Q OKAY. BUT IS THERE A LOG KEPT FROM THE TIME THE
26	SWATCH IS MADE UNTIL THE TIME IT GOES INTO THE PROPERTY
27	WHERE YOU RETRIEVE IT? IS THERE SOME SORT OF A LOG KEPT
28	WHAT YOU HAVE, WHAT THEY HAVE DONE WITH THAT SWATCH?

1	A AS FAR AS A LOG.
2	Q OR NOTE OR ANYTHING THAT WE KNOW THAT THE
3	PROCEDURE HAS BEEN FOLLOWED PROPERLY?
4	A I BELIEVE THERE WERE WITH REGARD TO SOME OF THE
5	SAMPLES, WE DID SWITCH OVER TO A SUCH A SPECIFIC LOG
6	TRACKING SYSTEM FOR THE SAMPLE AND FOR THE SWATCHES THAT
7	WOULD BE, THAT WOULD IN OUR SEROLOGY SECTION AND BE
8	CHECKED BY OUR QUALITY ASSURANCE OFFICER.
9	Q OKAY.
0	A THERE ARE TWO SAMPLES WHICH I BELIEVE WE
.1	RECEIVED OR PROCESSED PRIOR TO THIS PARTICULAR LOG COMING
.2	INTO EFFECT AND THEREFORE WOULD NOT BE REFLECTED ON
.3·	THERE.
.4	Q AND WHICH SAMPLES WERE THOSE?
.5	A AND REFERENCE SAMPLE NUMBER 303, WHICH IS A
.6	SAMPLE FROM DARIN RIGGS. AND A SEXUAL ASSAULT KIT, BLOOD
.7,	NUMBER 13008, WHICH WOULD BE THE REFERENCE SAMPLE FOR
.8	BRITTANY RIGGS.
.9	Q SO, AS TO THOSE TWO SAMPLES, WE ARE NOT SURE
0.0	WHETHER WE ARE ASSUMING THAT PROCEDURES WERE FOLLOWED.
21	BUT IT IS NOT LOGGED IN. IT IS NOT WRITTEN DOWN WHETHER
2	OR NOT THEY WERE; CORRECT?
23	A WELL, IT DEPENDS ON HOW EXACTING YOU WANT
24	THINGS TO BE WRITTEN DOWN. IN TERMS OF WHERE THEY WERE,
25	EVERY PARTICULAR MINUTE, THAT WON'T HAPPEN ON ANY
6	PARTICULAR ITEM OF EVIDENCE.
27	Q RIGHT.
	1

WITH REGARD TO THE BLOOD SAMPLES, THERE IS A

	503
1	RECORD OF THEM BEEN RECEIVED IN THE LABORATORY AND A
2	RECORD OF THE SEXUAL ASSAULT KIT WITH REGARD TO BRITTANY
3	RIGGS.
4	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. WHY ARE YOU SAYING THAT? WHY
5	DO YOU HAVE A SEXUAL ASSAULT KIT?
6	THE WITNESS: IT WOULD HAVE BEEN EVIDENCE THAT WOULD
7	HAVE BEEN COLLECTED AT THE AUTOPSY AS A STANDARD ROUTINE
8	TO COLLECT.
9	THE COURT: WELL, I UNDERSTAND YOU COLLECTED THE
10	EVIDENCE IN AN AUTOPSY.
11	THE WITNESS: RIGHT.
12	THE COURT: BUT WHY SEXUAL ASSAULT KIT?
13	THE WITNESS: THE KIT HAS A NUMBER OF ITEMS IN IT: A
14	BLOOD SAMPLE, SALIVA SWABS, VAGINAL, ORAL, AND RECTAL
15	SWABS WHICH CAN BE SELECTED IN TERMS OF COLLECTION OF
16	EVIDENCE. ALSO HEAD HAIR SAMPLES AND IN OTHER CASES
17	FINGERNAIL SCRAPPINGS, ETCETERA. THESE ARE ALL OTHER
18	ITEMS OF EVIDENCE THAT ARE NEEDED FOR THE POSSIBLE,
19	POSSIBLE CRIME BEING COMMITTED OR REFERENCE SAMPLES THAT
20	CAN BE USED FOR COMPARISON AT A LATER DATE.
21	THE COURT: I UNDERSTAND ALL THAT.
22	THE WITNESS: OKAY.
23	THE COURT: WHY DON'T YOU HAVE A MURDER KIT?
24	THE WITNESS: SIR, WITH REGARD TO A MURDER KIT, WE DO
25	COLLECT, WE DO COLLECT EVIDENCE.
26	THE COURT: WITH A DEAD PERSON, YOU SAY AREN'T WE
27	CURIOUS ABOUT DEATH AND ALL THAT STUFF.
28	THE WITNESS: WITH REGARD TO SEXUAL ASSAULT

	504
1	INVESTIGATION, THERE ARE SPECIFIC
2	THE COURT: I UNDERSTAND ALL THAT. BUT THIS AIN'T
3, ,	ONE OF THEM. THAT'S A DIFFERENT KIND OF INVESTIGATION.
4	THE WITNESS: BUT I NEED TO EXPLAIN THE WHOLE THING
5	IN ORDER FOR IT TO BECOME A LITTLE CLEARER IN A LARGER
6	LIGHT.
7	THE COURT: OKAY. GO AHEAD.
. 8	THE WITNESS: PART OF A HOMICIDE INVESTIGATION MAY
9	ALSO INCLUDE A SEXUAL ASSAULT INVESTIGATION.
10	THE COURT: I UNDERSTAND ALL THAT.
11	THE WITNESS: THEN AS PART OF A HOMICIDE
12	INVESTIGATION, YOU SHOULD ROUTINELY CHECK A SEXUAL ASSAULT
13	KIT.
14	THE COURT: OKAY, FOR MALE AND FEMALE BOTH?
15	THE WITNESS: I THINK PRIMARILY IT IS
16	THE COURT: JUST CURIOUS.
17	THE WITNESS: WELL, I THINK PRIMARILY WITH FEMALE
18	VICTIMS, THAT IS COLLECTED. THERE MAY BE OCCASIONS THAT
19	IT IS COLLECTED ALSO ON MALE VICTIMS.
20	THE COURT: WOULDN'T YOU WANT ALL THOSE SAMPLES
21	GENERALLY ANYWAY IF YOU ARE DOING AN INVESTIGATION AS TO
22	CAUSE OF DEATH?
23	THE WITNESS: WELL, SIR, I THINK YOU ARE ASKING
24	QUESTIONS THAT ARE A LITTLE BIT OUT OF MY REALM. MY REALM
25	MOSTLY HAS TO DO WITH THE SCIENTIFIC APPLICATION FOR THE
26	ANALYSIS.
27	THE COURT: OKAY. FOR CLARIFICATION, HOWEVER YOU
28	GET THE INFORMATION IN THIS CASE, IT IS NOT A SEXUAL

1	ASSAULT KIT. THERE IS NO SEXUAL ASSAULT. SO, WE ARE
2	GOING TO REDACT THAT.
3	MS. SCHMAUSS: PERHAPS WE COULD ADVISE THE WITNESS TO
4	REFER TO BRITTANY'S SAMPLE AS HER BLOOD SAMPLE.
5	THE COURT: YEAH.
6	THE WITNESS: THAT'S FINE.
7	THE COURT: SINCE THERE'S NO EVIDENCE OF A SEXUAL
8	ASSAULT, AND THE ISSUE BEFORE US IS CAUSE OF DEATH ONLY
9	AND ISN'T EVIDENCE OF SOME ALLEGATIONS OF THAT, I AM
10	KEEPING IT OUT OF THIS CASE. BECAUSE IT IS NOT RELEVANT.
11	AND IT HAS THE EFFECT OF JURORS SAYING OH, I WONDER IF
12	WHAT WAS GOING ON WITH NO EVIDENCE ABOUT IT. AND WHEN YOU
13	SUGGEST THAT OH, WE DID A SEXUAL ASSAULT, THEY ARE GOING
14	TO SAY WHY IN THE WORLD DID YOU DO THAT. AND IF THE BELL
15	RINGS, IT DOESN'T GO ANY FURTHER. SO, CHANGE IT TO
16	WHATEVER. THE KIT. ANYTHING FURTHER?
1.7	MR. ABLARD: YES.
18	THE WITNESS: I WILL DO MY BEST.
19	THE COURT: NO. YOU SHALL DO IT OR I HAVE TO START
20	THIS TRIAL OVER AGAIN.
21	THE WITNESS: I UNDERSTAND.
22	THE COURT: BEST IS NOT GOOD ENOUGH. PERFECTION WILL
23	BE ACCEPTABLE, JUST LIKE YOUR TESTS.
24	THE WITNESS: YES, SIR.
25	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. MR. ABLARD, ANYTHING
26	FURTHER?
27	MR. ABLARD: YES.
28	THE COURT: OKAY.

	MR. ABLARD: THANK YOU.
	Q BY MR. ABLARD: THE KIT THAT WE WERE JUST
	TALKING ABOUT, BRITTANY'S BLOOD?
	A THE COLLECTION KIT, YES, SIR.
	THE COURT: I LIKE THAT. COLLECTION KIT.
	MR. ABLARD: SOUNDS GREAT. I LIKE IT, COLLECTION
	KIT.
	Q BY MR. ABLARD: THE COLLECTION KIT, THAT WAS
	FROM THE AUTOPSY?
1	A RIGHT. YES, SIR.
1	Q AND THAT WAS DONE WHEN? WHEN WAS THE AUTOPSY?
1	DO YOU HAVE THAT IN YOUR NOTES?
1	A THE BEST THING I CAN TELL YOU IS WHEN THE KIT
1	WAS DELIVERED TO THE LAB.
1	Q AND THAT WAS?
1	A THAT WAS DELIVERED ON OCTOBER 12, 1993.
. 1	Q OKAY. AND IT WAS STORED WHERE?
1	A IT WOULD HAVE BEEN PROCESSED THROUGH THE
1	SEROLOGY SECTION, THE BLOOD SAMPLE REMOVED, A SWATCH MADE,
2	AND THEN THE LIQUID BLOOD SAMPLE WAS STORED IN THE WALK-IN
2	REFRIGERATOR IN THE SEROLOGY SECTION. AND THE SWATCH WAS
2	STORED IN A FREEZER IN THE SEROLOGY SECTION. THE KIT WAS
2	RESEALED AND SUBMITTED TO THE PROPERTY UNIT FOR STORAGE IN
2	THE FREEZER.
2	Q OKAY. THAT'S A PROCEDURE. IS THAT WHAT WAS
2	DONE?
2	A TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE, YES, SIR. MY
2	REASON FOR BELIEVING THAT IS NUMBER ONE, THE LIQUID

1	SAMPLES WAS IN THE WALK-IN REFRIGERATOR WHEN I WENT TO
2	LOOK FOR IT AND BRING IT TO COURT TODAY. THE DRY BLOOD
3	SWATCH AT THE TIME THAT I WENT TO EXAMINE IT, I HAD TO
4	ESSENTIALLY RETRIEVE IT FROM ANOTHER AREA WHICH WE HAD
5	TRANSFERRED ALL OF OUR SWATCHES FROM FREEZER TO ANOTHER
6	AREA. AND THE SECTION I'M SORRY. THE COLLECTION KIT
7	IS SHOWN TO HAVE BEEN LOGGED INTO THE PROPERTY SECTION ON
. 8	OCTOBER 12, 1993.
9	Q AND YOUR BEST ASSUMPTION IT WAS HANDLED PROPERLY
10	UNTIL THE TIME THAT YOU RAN THIS DQ-ALPHA?
11	A I HAVE NO OTHER EVIDENCE. I HAVE NO EVIDENCE
12	OTHERWISE.
13	Q AND BECAUSE THIS IS WHAT NORMALLY HAPPENS, WHAT
14	IS USUAL PROCEDURE ARE NORMALLY FOLLOWED?
15	A THAT IS CORRECT.
16	Q EVER BEEN ANY CASES WHERE THERE HAS BEEN A
1,7	PROBLEM IN THAT AREA?
18	A WITH REGARD TO HUMAN SAMPLES HAVE BEEN HANDLED?
19	Q YES?
20	A PERSONALLY, I HAVE NOT. I AM AWARE THAT THERE
21	WAS, THERE WAS A CASE THAT A PARTNER OF MINE WORKED IN
22	WHICH THERE HAD BEEN SOME PROBLEMS WITH REGARD TO A SAMPLE
23	THAT HAD BEEN COLLECTED.
24	Q OTHER THAN THAT, EVERYONE THAT RUNS THROUGH
25	THERE IS FINE?
26	A IN GENERAL, I'D SAY YES, SIR.
27	Q OKAY. NOW, DO YOU DETERMINE FROM THE SWATCHES
28	THAT VOIL HAD TE THREE WAS ANV MIXTURE OF RICOD IN OTHER

1	WORDS, MORE THAN ONE PERSON'S BLOOD ON IT?
2	A YOU SAY THE REFERENCE SWATCHES? IS THAT WHAT
	YOU ARE REFERRING TO?
4	Q I AM SORRY. FROM THE BLANKET, THE BLOOD ON THE
5	BLANKET?
6	A THE STAIN ON THE BLANKET. THE APPEARANCE OF THE
7	BLOOD STAIN WAS ESSENTIALLY DIFFUSE STAIN THAT DID NOT
8	APPEAR TO HAVE BEEN A RESULT OF MULTIPLE DEPOSITS, IF YOU
9	WILL.
10	Q OKAY. SO, ANYTHING ELSE?
11	A I THINK THAT'S THE BEST I CAN ANSWER TO THAT FOR
12	RIGHT NOW UNLESS YOU HAVE SOMETHING MORE SPECIFIC YOU WANT
13	TO ASK.
14	Q IN OTHER WORDS, IT APPEARS THAT IT WAS JUST ONE
15	PERSON'S BLOOD. AND ON THAT ASSUMPTION, THAT THE BASIS
16	FOR YOUR OPINION THAT WAS ONE, WAS ONE PERSON'S BLOOD;
17	RIGHT?
18	A WELL, ALSO, THE TYPING THAT I DID IS SOMEWHAT
19	INDICATIVE OF THAT IN THAT THERE'S ESSENTIALLY FOR THE
20	MAJOR PORTION OF IT ANYWAY ONE PARTICULAR PERSON'S
21	DNA. THERE'S SOME INDICATION THAT THERE MIGHT BE A REAL
22	SMALL COMPONENT OF ANOTHER PERSON IN THAT DNA.
23	Q UH-HUH?
24	A BUT THAT'S AT A WHAT I WOULD CALL A REALLY LOW
25	LEVEL. AND I HESITATE TO CALL THAT AS BEING A POSITIVE.
26	SO
27	Q BUT IT COULD BE MORE THAN ONE, COULD BE A
28	MIXTURE IN THERE, COULD THERE NOT?

1	A YES, SIR. FOR THE PARTICULAR STAIN, THERE COULD
2	BE A LOW LEVEL MIXTURE. THERE IS A PRIMARY TYPE, IS A
. 3	1.1,4.
· <b>4</b>	Q OH, WELL, BUT
5	MS. SCHMAUSS: YOUR HONOR, I HATE TO INTERRUPT. BUT
6	THIS SEEMS TO BE GETTING KIND OF FAR AFIELD FROM A 402
7	HEARING.
8	MR. ABLARD: WELL, I WOULD SUGGEST NOT.
9	MS. SCHMAUSS: FOR ADMISSIBILITY.
10	THE COURT: ON THE ISSUE OF ADMISSIBILITY, PROBABLY.
11	ANYTHING FURTHER ON THE ACCEPTABILITY OF THAT ANALYSIS AND
12	THE PROCEDURE USED?
13	MR. ABLARD: REAL QUICK.
14	THE COURT: AND THE SCIENTIFIC ADAPTABILITY AND
15	WHETHER OR NOT IT WAS FOLLOWED EFFECTIVELY IN THIS CASE?
16	MR. ABLARD: FIVE MINUTES AND I AM DONE.
1,7	THE COURT: THAT'S FINE.
18	MR. ABLARD: OR LESS.
19	THE COURT: UNLESS.
20	MR. ABLARD: OR MORE.
21	THE COURT: BECAUSE THE JURY IS COMING BACK IN 12.
22	AND THE QUESTION IS WHETHER OR NOT YOU WANT A BREAK LONG
23	ENOUGH TO GET A CIGARETTE, MR. ABLARD.
24	MR. ABLARD: WELL, THIS WHOLE TESTING PROCESS SOUNDS
25	PRETTY GOOD TO ME. NO. ACTUALLY, REAL QUICK.
26	Q BY MR. ABLARD: WHEN THOSE STRIPS THAT YOU GET
27	IN THE KIT?
28	A YES, SIR.

1	Q ALL RIGHT. WHAT CONTROLS ARE THOSE EVER
2	DEFECTIVE?
	A I HAVE NEVER HAD A PERSONAL EXPERIENCE WITH A
4	DEFECTIVE STRIP. I HAVE HEARD FROM ANOTHER LABORATORY
5	THAT THEY HAD AN EXPERIENCE IN FACT IT WAS WITH THE STATE,
6	STATE LABORATORY. THEY THEN CONTACTED THE PERK AND ELMER
7	CORPORATION. BUT MY PARTNER AND I HAVE NEVER HAD ANY
8	PROBLEMS WITH A DEFECTIVE KIT OR DEFECTIVE STRIPS.
9	Q AND THE WASH AND BATH THAT IS USED, YOU
10	MENTIONED THAT?
11	A YES, SIR.
12	Q THAT DOESN'T COME IN THE KIT THAT COMES FROM THE
13	LAB?
14	A THAT IS CORRECT.
15	Q RIGHT. AND YOU MAKE THAT WASH AND BATH UP OR
16	WHAT IS THAT?
1,7	A THERE ARE ACTUALLY TWO THINGS WHICH YOU MAY BE
18	ALLUDING TO. ONE IS A TEMPERATURE BATH.
19	Q RIGHT?
20	A WHICH MUST BE MAINTAINED AT 55 DEGREES
21	CENTIGRADE FOR TWO OF THE STEPS IN THE PROCEDURE THAT WE
22	CHECK WITH A SECONDARY THERMOMETER OR A TEMPERATURE
23	VERIFICATION KIT. THE SOLUTIONS THAT WE DO TO THE WASHING
24	ARE ONES THAT WE MADE UP IN THE LABORATORY. THERE ARE
25	DIFFERENT BUFFERS THAT ARE USED. AND THEY ARE USED FOR
26	THE WASHING AS WELL AS FOR THE COLOR DEVELOPMENT. THEY
27	ARE MADE UP IN THE LABORATORY.
28	Q IS THERE A PERCENTAGE OF ERROR IN THIS TEST THAT

1	YOU KNOW OF, A BUILT-IN PERCENTAGE OF ERROR, ANY TYPE OF
2	PERCENTAGE OF ERROR, OR IS THAT A HUNDRED-PERCENT,
3	POSITIVE-PROOF, NO-PROBLEM TYPE TEST?
4	A WELL, SIR, IN TERMS OF BUILT IN ERROR, THAT
5	SEEMS TO BE A NONSENSICAL INTERPRETATION WITH REGARDS TO
6	THIS PARTICULAR TEST.
7	Q PROBABLY BECAUSE I ASKED IT. SO, WE WILL GO
8	BEYOND THAT. IS THIS A HUNDRED-PERCENT, PROOF-POSITIVE
9	TEST?
10	A IN TERMS OF IF I GET A IF I HAVE EXTRACTED
11	THINGS CORRECTLY AND PERFORMED THE TEST CORRECTLY, IT WILL
12	GIVE ME THE CORRECT DQ-ALPHA TYPE OR I'D FIND THE CORRECT
13	DQ-ALPHA ALLELE PRESENT IN THE SAMPLE.
14	Q SO, IF YOU DID IT CORRECTLY, YOU FOLLOW
15	PROTOCOL, DID EVERYTHING RIGHT, THEN IT IS A HUNDRED
16	PERCENT?
17	A WITH REGARD TO ACCURACY.
18	Q YEAH?
19	A YES, SIR. I BELIEVE SO.
20	Q OKAY. YOU MENTIONED ONE LAST THING. SOMETHING
21	ABOUT WEAK RESULTS, SIX REFERENCE SAMPLES, AND SOME WEAK
22	RESULTS. YOU MENTIONED THAT A LITTLE EARLIER?
23	A OKAY. SIR, THE WEAK RESULTS WAS NOT WITH REGARD
24	TO THE REFERENCE SAMPLES. IT WAS WITH REGARD TO THE STAIN
25	ON THE BLANKET. I HAVE NOTED THERE IS NOTED ON THE
26	EXHIBIT HERE IS A 1.1,4 WHICH WAS PRESENT ON THE, IN THE
27	STAIN, THE DNA STAIN. WHEN I TOOK A LOOK AT THE TEST
28	STRIPS, WHEN I DO YOU REMEMBER WHEN I SAID THAT? THERE

1	ARE COLORED DOTS THAT I USE FOR THE CODE.
2	Q UH-HUH?
3	A THE DOTS FOR THE TWO ALLELES WAS EXTREMELY WEAK
4	AND EXTREMELY FAINT. IT WAS NOT HIGH ENOUGH FOR ME TO SAY
5	POSITIVELY YES, THERE IS A 2 ALLELE PRESENT IN THE STAIN.
6	HOWEVER, IT WAS COLORED ENOUGH TO SAY YES, IT IS SOMETHING
7	HAS REACTED WITH THIS DOT. AND I HAVE TO MAKE SOME SORT
8	OF NOTE OF IT.
9	Q OKAY. AND AT THE VERY BEGINNING, YOU MENTIONED
10	APPEARS TO BE A NEW BLOOD SAMPLE? OR DID I HEAR YOU
11	WRONG? THE BLOOD ON THE BLANKET I THINK YOU WERE TALKING
12	ABOUT, YOU SAY A NEW BLOOD SAMPLE?
13	A I BELIEVE I SAID A DILUTE.
14	Q DILUTE. DIDN'T HEAR. HOW OLD WAS THE BLOOD
15	SAMPLE ON THAT BLANKET?
16	A I DON'T KNOW.
17.	MR. ABLARD: I HAVE NOTHING FURTHER WITH RESPECT TO
18	THIS FACET OF HIS TESTIMONY.
19	THE COURT: THANK YOU. WE WILL TAKE OUR MORNING
20	RECESS. THE JURY IS COMING BACK IN SIX OR SEVEN MINUTES.
21	MR. ABLARD: I HAVE A MOTION TO MAKE.
22	THE COURT: WHAT IS IT?
23	MR. ABLARD: WELL.
24	THE COURT: YOU CAN STEP DOWN.
25	MR. ABLARD: ONE, MOTION TO EXCLUDE IT.
26	THE COURT: THAT'S DENIED. WHAT ELSE?
27	MR. ABLARD: THANK YOU. AND SECONDLY AS TO THE
20	TUPCE AMVIACE

513 THE COURT: YES. 1 2 MR. ABLARD: I DON'T BELIEVE WE HEARD ANY EVIDENCE ON PROCEDURES, TESTING THAT, AND SO ON AND SO FORTH. I 3 WOULD REQUEST THAT THAT BE EXCLUDED. 4 5 MS. SCHMAUSS: THAT'S NOT DNA HOWEVER. MR. ABLARD: YEAH. BUT DNA ARE NOT -- WE ARE -- IS THE 6 7 EXPERT'S TESTIMONY. 8 THE COURT: YOU ARE SUGGESTING THAT WE NEED TO LAY A 9 FOUNDATION UNDER KELLY-FRYE THAT PEOPLE HAVE SALIVA AND 10 PEOPLE HAVE BLOOD AND THAT WE CAN FIND OUT THAT THEY LEAVE IT PLACES. IS THAT HOW FAR BACK YOU WANT TO GO? 11 MR. ABLARD: NO. 12 13 THE COURT: WELL, I DON'T. MR. ABLARD: WE ARE GOING TO HAVE AN OPINION. 14 15 THE COURT: WELL. MR. ABLARD: IN OTHER WORDS, THERE HAVE BEEN--16 17 THE COURT: I THINK IT IS SCIENTIFICALLY ACCEPTED WITHOUT FURTHER ADO THAT IF THERE IS A STAIN ON A 18 19 MATERIAL, THAT SCIENCE TODAY WILL BE ABLE TO TELL US WHETHER IT IS BLOOD OR NOT. 20 21 MR. ABLARD: THANK YOU. THE COURT: I AM NOT GOING TO GO BACK TO THE 22 BEGINNING OF TIME. AND I THINK SCIENCE CAN TELL US 23 24 WHETHER OR NOT YOU KNOW A STAIN IS SALIVA OR A 25

COMBINATION, OR WHETHER HAIR FOLLICLES ARE REALLY WHETHER

WE HAVE HAIR, AND IF HE IS GETTING SOMETHING THAT LOOKS

LIKE IT IS WHY, BY GOLLY, IT IS A HAIR FOLLICLE. WE CAN

TELL THAT NOW. WE HAVE A MICROSCOPE AND STUFF.

1 MR. ABLARD: SORT OF SORRY I ASKED. THE COURT: THAT'S A THRESHOLD SORT OF GENERAL SCIENTIFIC INFORMATION AVAILABLE TO THE COURT WITHOUT FURTHER ADO THAT PEOPLE HAVE BLOOD AND IF YOU CUT THEM, IT 4 WILL FALL OUT OF THE BODY AND GRAVITY WILL CAUSE IT TO GO 5 DOWN AS OPPOSED TO UP, SORT OF GENERAL PERVASIVE. AND I 6 7 CAN KEEP GOING. MR. ABLARD: I AM BEING PUNISHED, I KNOW. 8 9 THE COURT: YOU WON'T BE ABLE TO HAVE A CIGARETTE. THERE WON'T BE TIME, MR. ABLARD. 10 11 MR. ABLARD: THANK YOU VERY MUCH. THE COURT: IN CASE IT IS NOT CLEAR, I DON'T MEAN TO 12 MAKE FUN OF YOUR OBJECTION. BUT THE COURT RULED ON IT 13 THAT THERE IS SUFFICIENT FUNDAMENTAL SCIENTIFIC AVAILABLE 14 15 KNOWLEDGE FOR THE COURT AND OTHER THAT PEOPLE HAVE SALIVA AND GENERALLY, GENERALLY THAT CAN BE DETERMINED WITHOUT 16 17 FURTHER ADO. 18 MR. ABLARD: THANK YOU. 19 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. 20 (RECESS) THE COURT: WE HAVE THE EXPERT IS COMING TO TESTIFY 21 22 PURPOSES OF QUALIFICATION AND SCIENTIFIC ACCEPTABILITY. OBVIOUSLY, THE SAMPLES THAT HE TESTED HAVE TO HAVE CHAIN 23 OF CUSTODY TO ESTABLISH THEIR VALIDITY. BUT THAT CAN BE 24 DONE AFTER THE TESTIMONY OF THE EXPERT. AND THE COURT 25 26 WOULD OF COURSE EXCLUDE ANY OF THE RESULTS WERE THE CHAIN

MS. SCHMAUSS: OFFICER KELLY. MY ONLY PROBLEM, I

NOT ESTABLISHED. AND OFFICER DONLEY AND WHO ELSE, KELLY?

1 | ASKED HIM AT 1:30.

THE COURT: THAT'S OKAY. WE CAN KIND OF FUSS ALONG
AND INTERRUPT OFFICER DONLEY'S TESTIMONY. BECAUSE YOU
KIND OF GOT A YOU GOT THE TAPE-RECORDING OR THE VIDEO ON.
AND THEN YOU FILL IN THE VACUUM, IF YOU WILL.

MS. SCHMAUSS: RIGHT.

THE COURT: NATURE IN THIS COURT, OF COURSE, A VACUUM
IT WANTS TO GO FULL TIME. AND I'D LIKE TO INTERRUPT
OFFICER DONLEY'S TESTIMONY AND YOUR FURTHER DIRECT AND
CROSS AND GET THE EXPERT ON AND OFF.

MS. SCHMAUSS: AND THEN I HAVE SPOKEN TO COUNSEL ABOUT A STIPULATION THAT AT THE CONCLUSION OF THE TESTIMONY CONCERNING THE BLOOD SAMPLES, THEY CAN BE RETURNED TO CRIMINALIST, DON JONES, TO BE RETURNED TO THE LABORATORY AND PROPERLY STORED.

MR. ABLARD: YES.

THE COURT: COURT WILL APPROVE THAT AND DIRECT THAT OCCUR. BUT WE WILL NEED YOU TO SIGN THEM OUT OF EVIDENCE AT THAT TIME. ALL RIGHT.

MR. ABLARD: I AM SORRY.

THE COURT: OH, I'D LIKE TO CALL THE JURY IN AND HAVE
THE EXPERTS TESTIFY. MAYBE WE CAN CONCLUDE WITH HIM IN AN
HOUR. SINCE THE FOUNDATION HAS BEEN LAID, WE DON'T NEED
TO GO INTO THE FOUNDATION UNLESS YOU CHOOSE TO IN SOME
SORT OF GENERIC FORM.

MS. SCHMAUSS: I DON'T KNOW IF WE WILL GET DONE OR NOT.

MR. ABLARD: IN OTHER WORDS, EXPERT GOES ON, THEN

KELLY, AND DONLEY FOR CHAIN, AND THEN WE START BACK. 1 THE COURT: WITH DONLEY. MR. ABLARD: SOUNDS GREAT. 3 THE COURT: AND/OR KELLY, IF YOU HAVE TO PUT HIM ON, 4 ONCE THEY ARE ON FOR CHAIN, THAT'S FINE. 5 MR. ABLARD: YEAH. 6 THE COURT: BUT IT IS NOT GOING TO -- THAT WILL WE 7 WILL DO THAT TODAY, I GUESS. ALL OF THAT WILL BE DONE 8 9 TODAY. MS. SCHMAUSS: IT WILL BE DONE TODAY. I AM NOT 10 11 CERTAIN WE WILL BE DONE BEFORE LUNCH. THE COURT: WE WILL JUST GIVE IT OUR BEST SHOT. EVEN 12 PEOPLE FROM THE CRIME LAB GET TO HAVE LUNCH. I MEAN, IF 13 14 HE WERE THERE, HE'D GET TO GO TO LUNCH. SO, IF HE IS HERE, AND WE GO TO LUNCH, HE CAN TOO. SEE IF WE CAN GET A 15 BUNCH OF PEOPLE OUT THERE. 16 (THE JURY ENTERED THE COURTROOM AND THE 17 18 FOLLOWING PROCEEDINGS WERE HELD:) 19 THE COURT: GOOD MORNING, LADIES AND GENTLEMEN. HAS IT STARTED TO RAIN OUT THERE YET? JUST DRIZZLE A LITTLE 20 BIT. IS IT A LITTLE MISTY. IS IT STILL COLD? IT'S OUR 21 22 FIRST, POSSIBLE WINTER MOISTURE. 23 IF WE ARE LUCKY, WE MIGHT RECESS AT 4 THIS AFTERNOON SO THOSE WITH GOBLINS THAT THEY NEED TO DRESS UP AND GO 24 25 OUT BEFORE IT IS TOO LATE CAN DO IT. MS. SCHMAUSS: I THINK IT MAY BE BEFORE THAT. 26 THE COURT: WELL, YOU PLANNING TO RUN OUT OF 27 28 TESTIMONY EARLIER AGAIN TODAY?

1	MS. SCHMAUSS: WELL, I STILL HAVE TO DO THE
2	REDACTION.
3	THE COURT: WELL, THAT WILL BE FINE TOO. A VERY
4	SPECIAL EVENT IF YOU ARE A PUMPKIN.
5	MS. SCHMAUSS: I HAVE TWO PUMPKINS OF MY OWN.
6	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. IF YOU RECALL, YESTERDAY,
7	OFFICER DONLEY WAS TESTIFYING. WE ARE GOING TO INTERRUPT
8	HIS TESTIMONY. HE IS HERE ALL THE TIME. AND WE ARE
9	GOING TO HEAR FROM A GENTLEMAN WHO IS TESTIFYING TO THE
10	COURT FOR VARIOUS LEGAL REASONS THAT THE COURT HAS TO
11	CONDUCT IN HERE NEXT. SO, MS. SCHMAUSS, YOU ARE UP.
12	MS. SCHMAUSS: PEOPLE CALL DON JONES.
13	THE COURT: THANK YOU. PLEASE SWEAR MR. JONES FOR
14	PURPOSES OF THE JURY.
15	
16	DONALD THOMAS JONES
17	CALLED AS A WITNESS BY THE PLAINTIFF, WAS SWORN AND
18	TESTIFIED AS FOLLOWS:
19	THE CLERK: PLEASE RAISE YOUR RIGHT HAND.
20	YOU DO SOLEMNLY SWEAR THE TESTIMONY YOU
21	MAY GIVE IN THE MATTER NOW PENDING BEFORE THIS COURT
22	SHALL BE THE TRUTH, THE WHOLE TRUTH, AND NOTHING BUT
23	THE TRUTH, SO HELP YOU GOD.
24	THE WITNESS: I DO.
25	THE CLERK: THANK YOU. PLEASE BE SEATED. PLEASE STATE
26	YOUR FULL NAME SPELLING YOUR LAST NAME FOR THE RECORD.
27	THE WITNESS: MY NAME IS DONALD THOMAS JONES.
28	D-O-N-A-L-D T-H-O-M-A-S J-O-N-E-S.

	518
1	DIRECT EXAMINATION
2	BY MS. SCHMAUSS:
	Q MR. JONES, HOW ARE YOU EMPLOYED?
4	A I AM A CRIMINALIST WITH THE SAN BERNARDINO
5	COUNTY SHERIFFS DEPARTMENT WORKING IN THE CRIME
6	LABORATORY.
7	Q OKAY. AND WHAT IS A CRIMINALIST?
8	A A CRIMINALIST BASICALLY IS A SCIENTIST WHO
9	LOOKS AT LEGAL EVIDENCE.
10	Q HOW LONG HAVE YOU BEEN SO EMPLOYED?
11	A ABOUT FIFTEEN YEARS.
. 12	Q HAS THAT ALL BEEN WITH THE COUNTY OF SAN
13	BERNARDINO?
14	A YES, MA'AM.
15	Q COULD YOU OUTLINE TO THE JURY YOUR EDUCATIONAL
16	BACKGROUND THAT'S ENABLED YOU TO BE A CRIMINALIST?
	A PRIMARILY, IN ORDER TO BE A CRIMINALIST, I
18	NEEDED A BACHELOR OF SCIENCE DEGREE IN A NATURAL SCIENCE.
19	AND I HAVE A BACHELOR OF SCIENCE DEGREE IN CHEMISTRY FROM
20	THE CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY AT NORTHRIDGE. I HAVE
21	ALSO ATTENDED CLASSES AT CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY AT
22	LOS ANGELES IN THE MASTERS PROGRAM FOR CRIMINALISTICS.
23	AND I AM CURRENTLY ENROLLED AT THE CALIFORNIA STATE
24	UNIVERSITY OF SAN BERNARDINO IN THE MASTERS PROGRAM IN
25	BIOLOGY.
26	Q WHEN DO YOU EXPECT TO RECEIVE YOUR MASTERS?
27	A I HOPE TO HAVE IT BY NEXT SPRING.
28	Q WHAT ARE YOU MISSING?

1	A RIGHT NOW I AM WORKING ON THE LAST PART WHICH IS
2	THE THESIS. I HAVE TO WRITE ESSENTIALLY TWO LARGE
3,	EXPERIMENTS AND WRITE THE RESULTS AND PRESENT IT TO THE
4	FACULTY AT THE UNIVERSITY AND HAVE IT ACCEPTED.
5	Q WHAT IS THE SUBJECT OF YOUR THESIS?
6	A SUBJECT OF MY THESIS HAS TO DO WITH POPULATION
7	STUDIES OF DIFFERENT GENETIC MARKERS THAT ARE USED IN
8	FORENSIC SCIENCES AND HOW THE MARKERS RELATE TO EACH
9	OTHER.
10	Q IS THAT PART AND PARCEL OF DNA?
11	A ONE OF THE CONSIDERATIONS IS DNA MARKERS. YES,
12	MA'AM. THE OTHER ONES ARE WHAT ARE CALLED PROTEIN MARKERS
13	WHICH ARE USED IN OTHER FORENSIC TESTING.
14	Q WHAT KIND OF TRAINING HAVE YOU RECEIVEDWELL,
15	STRIKE THAT.
16	WHAT IS YOUR CURRENT POSITION AT THE CRIME LAB?
17	A CURRENTLY I AM ASSIGNED TO THE FORENSIC DNA
18	SECTION. I HAVE BEEN ASSIGNED FOR THE LAST FOUR OR FIVE
19	YEARS PRIMARILY TO THIS PARTICULAR SECTION.
20	Q SO, ALL YOUR WORK NOW INVOLVED DNA ANALYSIS?
21	A ALL OF IT INVOLVES THAT, YES.
22	Q CAN YOU TELL THE JURY WHAT KIND OF TRAINING YOU
23	RECEIVED IN THE AREA OF DNA?
24	A YES, MA'AM. I ATTENDED A ONE MONTH COURSE AT
25	THE FBI TRAINING ACADEMY IN VIRGINIA WITH REGARD TO
26	HANDLING EVIDENCE, FORENSIC EVIDENCE, EXTRACTING THE DNA
27	FROM IT, AND TYPING THE DNA. I ALSO ATTENDED SEVERAL
28	OTHER SHORT-TERM COURSES, ONE WEEK COURSE AT THE

1 UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA AT BERKLEY. THEY THEN TAUGHT ME
2 TO DO THIS DNA EXTRACTING AND TYPING, AND A ONE-WEEK
3 COURSE AT THE DNA LAB IN BERKLEY. AT THE STATE DNA LAB AT
4 BERKLEY WHICH TAUGHT ME HOW TO APPLY A PARTICULAR DNA
5 TEST, A DQ-ALPHA TEST TO EVIDENCE AND HOW TO PROPERLY
6 PERFORM THE TEST.

7

8

9

10

11

1.2

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Q HAVE YOU ALSO RECEIVED TRAINING AT THE CRIME LAB WHERE YOU WORK IN THE AREA OF DNA?

YES, MA'AM. WITH REGARD TO OUR DNA PROGRAM IN GENERAL, MYSELF AND OTHER ANALYSTS, A DAN BRUGONESS, SET UP OUR DNA PROGRAM AGAIN WITHIN THE LAST FOUR TO FIVE YEARS. AND WE HAVE BEEN UNDERTAKING OUR DNA ABILITIES AS TIME GOES ON. EACH TIME THAT WE HAVE A NEW TYPE OF TEST, WE WILL CHECK IT TO MAKE SURE THAT IT GIVE US THE PROPER RESULTS WE WILL TRADE SAMPLES WITH OTHER LABORATORIES AND IF THEY GOT A PARTICULAR TYPE, WE WILL CHECK TO MAKE SURE THAT WE GET THE SAME TYPE ON THE SAME SAMPLES. AND THEN WE WILL ALSO DO WHAT ARE CALLED PROFICIENCY TESTS. ARE TESTS THAT OUTSIDE PRIVATE CORPORATIONS WILL SEND US ESSENTIALLY TEST US TO SEE HOW WELL WE CAN DO THIS DNA TYPING. PRIOR TO ANY OF OUR CHANGES IN DNA WITH REGARD TO NEW DNA TECHNIQUE, WE HAVE TO GO THROUGH THIS TYPE OF VALIDATION BEFORE WE CAN USE IT ON CASE WORK. AND I ASSISTED IN SOME OF THESE VALIDATION STUDIES. I HAVE ALSO TRAINED IN SOME OF THESE TYPES OF NEW DNA TECHNIQUES SINCE OUR LABORATORY STARTED USING THEM.

Q OKAY. HAVE YOU TESTIFIED AS AN EXPERT BEFORE IN COURTS OF THIS STATE ON THE AREA OF DNA ANALYSIS?

1	A YES, MA'AM, I HAVE.
2	Q ABOUT HOW MANY TIMES?
. 3 ,	A I HAVE TESTIFIED THREE TIMES IN ESSENTIALLY JURY
4	TRIALS AND ONE TIME IN WHAT WAS CALLED A PRETRIAL MOTION.
5	Q AND HOW LONGSTRIKE THAT.
6	ABOUT HOW MANY ESTIMATE DNA TESTS HAVE YOU DONE IN
7	THE FOUR OR FIVE YEARS YOU HAVE BEEN ASSIGNED TO THE DNA
8	UNIT?
9	A DNA TESTS, OVERALL, I REALLY DON'T KEEP TRACK.
10	Q HUNDREDS, THOUSANDS?
11	A I WOULD SAY, YES, IN TERMS OF EXTRACTION OF THE
12	DNA, GETTING THE DNA OUT OF THE SAMPLES, SEEING IF THERE'S
13	ENOUGH DNA TO WORK WITH AND THEN IF THERE'S ENOUGH DNA TO
14	WORK WITH, OF EVENTUALLY GOING ON AND DOING SOME SORT OF
15	DNA TYPING, I WOULD SAY IT IS EASILY IN THE HUNDREDS.
16	Q NOW, IN THIS PARTICULAR CASE, WE HAVE A METHOD
17	CALLED PCR. DQ-ALPHA WHICH YOU WILL EXPLAIN IN A FEW
18	MINUTES. HOW MANY OF THOSE TESTS HAVE YOU DONE?
19	A I HAVE BEEN INVOLVED IN APPROACHING FIFTY CASES
20	WITH THE, WITH NOT JUST THE WELL, WITH REGARD TO
21	EXTRACTION OF SAMPLES AND WITH TESTING THE PARTICULAR
22	SAMPLES, EACH CASE MAY HAVE TWO OR THREE SAMPLES OR IT MAY
23	HAVE ANYONE OR TEN SAMPLES OR SOME CASES LARGER NUMBERS
24	Q DOES THAT FIFTY CASES INVOLVE DQ-ALPHA?
25	A I THINK IF I WERE TO GO BACK AND TAKE A LOOK AT,
26	TAKE A LOOK AT MY TRAINING AND THE CASE WORK THAT I HAVE
27	PERFORMED, YES, MA'AM.

OKAY.

A AGAIN, I DON'T KEEP A REAL HARD NUMBER ACCOUNT
ON THESE THINGS. I REALLY WOULD HAVE TO GO BACK AND DO
THAT TO GIVE YOU A CORRECT ANSWER.

Q OKAY. CAN YOU TELL THE JURY IN SIMPLE TERMS
WHAT DNA IS, AND IF YOU NEED TO DRAW ANYTHING, THERE IS A
BOARD BEHIND YOU OF PAPER BEHIND YOU?

THAT EACH ONE OF US HAS IN OUR CELLS IN OUR BODY. AND IT IS MADE UP OF SOME COMPONENTS THAT YOU GET FROM YOUR MOTHER AND SOME FROM YOUR FATHER, ESSENTIALLY INHERITED PORTION OF DNA. PEOPLE HAVE CALLED IT THE BLUEPRINT OF LIFE. IT IS WHAT YOUR BODY CELLS USE IN ORDER TO DETERMINE WHAT EYE COLOR YOU WILL HAVE, WHAT YOUR HAIR COLOR WILL BE, SOME CASES, WHERE, YOU KNOW, WHAT YOUR STATURE WILL BE. THERE'S SOME OTHER INDICATIONS THAT IT MAY ALSO DETERMINE, WHAT SORT OF DIFFERENT DISEASES YOU MAY ENCOUNTER IN YOUR LIFE, WHETHER OR NOT YOU ARE MORE SUSCEPTIBLE TO THEM OR NOT. IT ESSENTIALLY DETERMINES WHO YOU ARE IN TERMS OF A PHYSICAL SENSE.

SOME OF THESE FACTORS IN DNA CAN BE USEFUL TO ME AS A FORENSIC SCIENTIST BECAUSE SOME OF THESE FACTORS WILL BE DIFFERENT FROM ONE PERSON TO THE NEXT. AND THEREFORE, IF I CAN FIND WHERE PEOPLE ARE DIFFERENT OR WHERE LOTS OF PEOPLE ARE DIFFERENT, I CAN THEN, IF I HAVE A BLOOD STAIN, I CAN THEN TAKE A LOOK AT A DNA FACTOR AND THEN CHECK PEOPLE TO SEE IF THEY HAVE THAT FACTOR OR NOT. THOSE PEOPLE WHO DON'T HAVE THAT FACTOR CAN'T BE THE SOURCE OF THIS PARTICULAR BLOOD STAIN. THOSE WHO DO, MIGHT BE THE

SOURCE. AND THEN I CAN CHECK ANOTHER DNA FACTOR AND
FURTHER EXCLUDE SOME OF THESE PEOPLE. AND SO FORTH. THIS
IS THE TYPE OF TESTING THAT WE DO WITH DNA TESTING.

Q SO DNA IS PRESENT IN EVERY LIVING THING; IS THAT CORRECT?

A YES, MA'AM, IT IS PRESENT IN EVERY LIVING THING.

IT IS ESSENTIALLY THE BLUEPRINT OF LIFE FOR EVERY LIVING
THING.

- Q AND EVEN AFTER ONE DIES, CAN YOU EXTRACT DNA?
- 10 A YES, MA'AM.

- 11 O LIKE IN JURASIC PARK?
  - A IT'S A RATHER--THAT IS A RATHER EXTREME EXAMPLE.

    BUT THE IDEA IS THE IDEA OF BEING ABLE TO GET DNA OUT OF

    OLD TISSUE, OF BEING ABLE TO GET IT OUT AND DO SOMETHING

    WITH IT IS PLAUSIBLE. IT IS VERY PLAUSIBLE. IN FACT, WE

    DO SAMPLES. WE TAKE A LOOK AT SAMPLES FROM PEOPLE WHO

    HAVE DIED. WE HAVE ALSO SOME FORENSIC SCIENTISTS--I HAVE

    NOT DONE IT. BUT WE WILL TAKE A LOOK AT DNA TYPE OF SAY

    TREES TO SEE IF A PARTICULAR PIECE OF EVIDENCE COULD HAVE

    COME FROM A PARTICULAR LOCATION. THINGS LIKE THIS HAVE

    BEEN DOCUMENTED IN FORENSIC JOURNALS.
  - Q SO, DNA IS EXTREMELY DURABLE; IS THAT CORRECT?

    A CORRECT. IT IS. IT IS DURABLE. IT IS BEST WHEN

    IT IS KEPT COOL AND DRY IN TERMS OF ITS STABILITY IN TERMS

    OF MY BEING ABLE TO TYPE IT. SO, IF I GET A BLOOD STAIN

    OR SOME SORT OF FLUID STAIN, I WANT IT TO BE KEPT DRY AND

    COOL IN ORDER TO BETTER PRESERVE THE DNA FOR ME TO BE ABLE

    TO TEST IT.

1	Q IF YOU HAD A BLANKET THAT HAD A SUSPECTED BLOOD
2	STAIN ON IT, AND IT WAS KEPT IN AN EVIDENCE LOCKER AT A
. 3	POLICE STATION, COOL AND DRY, WOULD YOU BE ABLE TO EXPECT
4	TO GET ADEQUATE DNA FROM THAT BLANKET?
5	A I WOULD EXPECT IT WOULD BE POSSIBLE. THE BEST
6	THING TO DO IS TO CUT THE STAIN OUT, EXTRACT DNA. AND THEN
7	I CAN DO TESTS TO DETERMINE IF IT IS WHAT I CALL HIGH
8	QUALITY DNA OR HIGH MOLECULAR WEIGHT DNA, OR IF IT HAS
9	BEEN BROKEN DOWN A BIT OR DEGRADED A BIT, I DO THAT TYPE
10	OF TEST. I CAN ALSO TELL IF IT IS HUMAN DNA OR NOT.
11	Q IS IT ISN'T IT SO DURABLE IS IT NECESSARY THAT
12	THE ITEMS BE REFRIGERATED AS LONG AS IT IS KEPT IN A COOL
13	AND DRY ENVIRONMENT? YOU COULD TEST IT PRESUMABLY FOR
14	YEARS, YEARS LATER?
15	A THAT'S TRUE. THE STORAGE ASPECT WILL ACTUALLY
16	MAKE IT THE ABILITY TO TYPE IT EXTEND LONGER AND LONGER.
. 1,7	BUT YOU, BASICALLY TWO ENEMIES OF ANY PHYSIOLOGICAL FLUID
18	DEAL WITH HEAT AND MOISTURE. IF YOU CAN KEEP IT OUT OF
19	HEAT, KEEP IT DRY, AND NOT HAVE NAY BACTERIA AND SO ON
20	GROW ON IT, YOU ARE FINE.
21	Q OKAY. IN THIS PARTICULAR CASE, WERE YOU ASKED
22	TO PERFORM SOME TESTING?
23	A YES, MA'AM.
24	Q OKAY. AND WHAT SPECIFICALLY IS THE TYPE OF
25	TESTING THAT YOU DID?
26	A I DID DNA TESTING ON A STAIN ON A BLANKET. AND
27	ALSO ON SIX BLOOD SAMPLES FROM INDIVIDUALS TO SEE IF THEY
28	COULD BE THE SOURCE OF THIS STAIN ON THE BLANKET.

1	Q MR. JONES, I AM GOING TO SHOW YOU FIRST WHAT IS
2	MARKED EXHIBIT 69 FOR IDENTIFICATION AND ASK YOU IF YOU
3	RECOGNIZE THE EXHIBIT 69?
4	A YES, MA'AM, I DO.
5	Q WHAT IS IT?
6	A THIS IS AN ENVELOPE WHICH CONTAINS A PORTION OF
7	A BLANKET AND THAT PORTION OF THE BLANKET ACTUALLY HAD THE
8	STAIN ON IT THAT I HAD EXAMINED. I RECOGNIZE MY INITIALS
9	AND DATE ON THE SEAL ON THE BOTTOM OF THE ENVELOPE.
10	Q OKAY. DID YOU OBTAIN THIS FROM THE CRIME LAB TO
11	DO, AT MY REQUEST, TO BRING TO COURT?
12	A YES, MA'AM.
13	Q WHERE WAS IT KEPT?
14	A IT IS KEPT IN THE FREEZER IN THE LABORATORY IN
15	THE PROPERTY SECTION.
16	Q I NOTICE IT WAS SEALED AT SOMETIME. DID YOU
17,	UNSEAL IT TO CONDUCT AN EXPERIMENT?
18	A YES, MA'AM. IN FACT, I HAVE OPENED THIS TWICE,
19	TWO SEPARATE EXPERIMENTS, I PERFORMED ON THE STAIN.
20	Q OKAY. AND IS IT NOTED ANYWHERE EITHER ON THE
21	ENVELOPE OR IN THE LOG THAT YOU KEPT WHEN THIS ITEM WAS
22	RECEIVED BY YOUR CRIME LAB?
23	A IN TERMS OF THE ITEM, YES. THE ITEM ACTUALLY
24	WOULD HAVE BEEN A LARGER BLANKET. AND IT WOULD HAVE BEEN
25	THEN CUT OUT. IT WAS CUT OUT BY ANOTHER MEMBER OF THE
26	LABORATORY WHO THEN PACKAGED IT IN HERE AND FROZE IT.
27	Q DOES YOUR REPORT REFLECT WHEN THE BLANKET WAS
28	RECEIVED BY YOUR CRIME LAB?

1	A YES, MA'AM. I HAVE THE BLANKET WAS RECEIVED ON
2	MARCH 22, 1995.
3	Q OKAY. AND THEN ON WHAT DATE WERE THE CUTOUTS
4	MADE?
5	A CUTOUTS WERE MADE ON JUNE 23, 1995 BY JOHN
6	JOHNSON OF OUR LABORATORY.
7	Q RECOGNIZE HIS INITIALS THERE?
8	A YES, MA'AM.
9	Q THEN WHAT WAS DONE WITH THE SAMPLES THAT ARE CUT
10	OUT AFTER JOHNSON CUT THEM OUT?
11	A ESSENTIALLY, THEY ARE PLACED IN THIS ABOUT SIX
12	INCH BY NINE INCH ENVELOPE, SEALED, AND SENT DOWN TO OUR
13	PROPERTY SECTION TO BE STORED IN FREEZER UNTIL WE ANALYZED
14	THE SAMPLE UNTIL I ANALYZED THE SAMPLES.
15	Q DID YOU PERSONALLY GO REMOVE IT FROM THAT
16	FREEZER TO ANALYZE IT?
17	A I WENT DOWN TO THE PROPERTY SECTION. THE
18	PROPERTY CLERK HAD TO GO IN THE FREEZER TO GET IT FOR ME.
19	Q ON WHAT DATE DID YOU PERFORM TESTS, DATES OR
20	DATE DID YOU PERFORM TESTS?
21	A WOULD HAVE BEEN OCTOBER OF THIS YEAR. I BELIEVE
22	I STARTED IT. OCTOBER 3D OR 4TH IS WHEN I STARTED MY
23	TESTING.
24	Q ON THE PIECE OF BLANKET?
25	A YES, MA'AM, OCTOBER 3, 1995 IS WHEN I RETRIEVED
26	THE ITEM, STARTED THE WORK.
27	Q WHAT TIME DID YOU RESEAL IT AND PUT IT BACK IN
28	THE FREEZER?

1	A WELL, I HAD IT IN MY POSSESSION FOR A WHILE. I
2	RESEALED IT ONE ON THE 4TH AFTER I DID MY INITIAL CUT.
3	AND THEN I WENT BACK TO DO A SECOND TEST. AND I THEN
4	RESEALED IT. THAT WAS ON OCTOBER 11TH.
5	Q COULD YOU OPEN IT FOR US, PLEASE? DO YOU NEED
6	SOMETHING, SCISSORS?
7	A DO YOU HAVE A SCISSOR?
8	MS. SCHMAUSS: YOUR HONOR, I AM STILL CONFUSED WHERE
9	I AM ALLOWED TO WALK AND I AM NOT. CAN I GO THAT WAY?
10	THE COURT: SURE.
11	THE WITNESS: GLOVES?
12	MS. SCHMAUSS: GLOVES, TANYA?
13	Q BY MS. SCHMAUSS: YOU HAVE EXTRACTED AN INNER
14	ENVELOPE FROM THE OUTER ENVELOPE OUT OF EXHIBIT 69?
15	A EXHIBIT 69 CONTAINS THREE ENVELOPES. TWO SMALL,
16	ABOUT TWO INCH BY FOUR INCH ENVELOPES. AND ONE LARGE
17	ABOUT SIX INCHE BY NINE INCH ENVELOPE.
18	Q IS THAT JOHN JOHNSON'S WRITING ON THE BIG ONE
19	YOU ARE HOLDING?
20	A YES, MA'AM.
21	Q IS YOUR WRITING ANYWHERE?
22	A YES, THERE ARE TWO AGAIN, TWO SEALS ON THIS
23	PARTICULAR ENVELOPE WHICH INDICATE MY INITIALS DTJ AND
24	ALSO THE DATES OF OCTOBER 4, 1995, AND OCTOBER 11, THE TWO
25	DATES THAT I OPENED THE ENVELOPE. REALLY, THE ONLY
26	REASON I AM PUTTING ON THE GLOVES RIGHT NOW IN CASE
27	SOMEONE WANTS TO DO SOME FURTHER TESTING ON THIS
28	PARTICULAR SAMPLE, THEN AT LEAST IT WILL BE SORT OF

1	PROTECTED. I WOULDN'T HAVE TRANSFERRED ANYTHING THAT
2	MIGHT HAVE CONTAINED MY DNA TO THE SAMPLE. IN FACT, I
3	THINK THAT CHANCE IS VERY MINIMAL. BUT, I JUST TAKE THIS
4	PRECAUTION ANYWAY.
5	Q DO THE GLOVES FIT?
6	A INNER ENVELOPE CONTAINS A PORTION OF A BLANKET
7	WHICH MR. JOHNSON HAD EXTRACTED FROM THE
8	Q MR. JOHNSON BEING JOHN JOHNSON?
9	A JOHN JOHNSON THAT IS RIGHT, WHICH HE HAD CUT
LO	OUT. THAT IS RIGHT. I FORGOT. JOHN JOHNSON OF OUR
L1	LABORATORY HAD CUT FROM THE ORIGINAL LARGER BLANKET. IT
12	HAS SOME SORT OF CARTOON DESIGN, ALMOST LOOKS LIKE A
L3	PORTION OF GOOFY'S FACE IN THE CORNER. ON THE BACK SIDE
L <b>4</b>	OF THE SAMPLE WAS A THERE WAS A THERE WAS A STAIN THAT
L5	WAS ABOUT THE SIZE THAT HAS BEEN CUT OUT NOW. THERE IS
L6	STILL A SMALL PORTION OF THE STAIN YOU CAN SEE IT IS ON
L7	THE BACK. IT IS KIND OF A LIGHT BROWN COLOR. STILL A
L8	SMALL PORTION OF THE STAIN THAT REMAINS.
L9	Q OKAY. IS THIS WHAT YOU LATER DETERMINED TO BE
20	BLOOD?
21	A YES, MA'AM.
22	Q THE BROWN STAIN?
23	A YES, MA'AM.
24	Q OKAY. I AM PULLING A BLANKET NOW OUT OF THE
25	EXHIBIT 5 FOR IDENTIFICATION, ASKING YOU IF THAT CUTOUT
26	WOULD COMPORT TO ANY HOLE THAT YOU SEE HERE IN THE
27	BLANKET?

YES MA'AM. IN FACT IT WAS GOOFY NOW. SO,

1	APPEARS TO BE THE LARGER HOLE WHERE THE LITTLE S IN THE
2	BLANKET.
3, 1	Q NOW, YOU HAVE TWO OTHER SMALL ENVELOPES. MAYBE
4	YOU CAN PUT THAT BACK IN THERE SO IT DOESN'T GET LOST.
5	THERE'S WRITING ON THE BACK OF THE BLANKET. DO YOU
6	RECOGNIZE ANY OF THIS?
7	A THERE'S WRITING AROUND THE LARGER HOLE THAT I
8	REFER TO HAS THE NUMBER 1 NEXT TO IT. THERE IS A
9	SOMEWHAT SQUARE-SHAPE HOLE THAT SAYS CON. GENERALLY MEANS
10	CONTROL. AND THERE'S ANOTHER AREA WHICH HAS THE NUMBER 2
11	NEXT TO IT. YOU CAN ALSO SEE IF LOOK AROUND THESE HOLES,
12	THERE ARE SOME OTHER SLIGHT DISCOLORATION.
13	Q HOW ABOUT THE RED WRITING. THERE'S SOME RED.
14	THERE'S SOME RED MARKS ON HERE?
15	A I DON'T KNOW WHAT THOSE REFER TO.
16	Q OKAY. AND THE OTHER CONTROL THEN IS THAT ONE OF
17	THE OTHER ENVELOPES YOU HAVE IN THERE?
18	A TWO SMALLER ENVELOPES. ONE OF THEM IS LABELED
19	CONTROL AND THE OTHER ONE IS WB, THE SECTION FROM THE FROM
20	NUMBER TWO.
21	Q OKAY. CONTROL PERHAPS YOU DON'T NEED TO OPEN
22	THAT. CAN YOU JUST TELL THE JURY WHAT THE CONTROL IS?
23	A YES, MA'AM. WEN WE DO OUR TESTS, WE LIKE TO CUT
24	OUT A PORTION OF THE STAIN AND ALSO A PORTION OF THE
25	MATERIAL THAT WAS NOT STAINED. AND THEN WHAT WE WILL DO
26	IS WE WILL TEST BOTH OF THESE SIDE BY SIDE. AND SEE WHAT
27	THE DIFFERENCES ARE. AND IT GIVES US SOME CONFIDENCE THAT
20	WILD TEXTED TECTO WE COT EDOM THE CTAIN DEALLY CAME EDOM THE

1	STAIN AND NOT FROM THE BLANKET'S MATERIAL THAT WAS ALREADY
2	ON THERE TO START WITH.
3	Q SO, IT THAT WOULD FIT HERE IS MARKED CONTROL IS
4	JUST A PIECE OF BLANKET WITHOUT A STAIN ON IT?
- 5	A THAT IS CORRECT.
6	Q OKAY. AND THEN WHAT ABOUT THIS OTHER SMALL
7	CUTOUT PIECE HERE LABELED NUMBER TWO?
8	A I DID NOT EXAMINE THAT. I DON'T KNOW.
9	Q OKAY. YOU DIDN'T EXAMINE THAT?
10	A NO.
11	Q HAD THAT SUSPECTED BLOOD ON IT?
12	A ACTUALLY, I DID TAKE A LOOK AT IT. BUT I DID
13	NOT ANALYZE IT.
1,4	Q CAN YOU OPEN IT THEN?
15	A (WITNESS COMPLIES.)
16	Q ALSO GOT MR. JOHNSON'S WRITING AND YOUR WRITING
17	ON IT?
18	A JOHNSON OF OUR LABORATORY; RIGHT?
19	Q RIGHT, JOHN JOHNSON?
20	A IT IS A SMALLER SEMICIRCULAR PIECE. THERE IS A
21	SMALL BROWNISH DISCOLORATION ON IT. AND THIS PIECE WOULD
22	FIT IN A NUMBER TWO.
23	Q IS THAT BROWN DISCOLORATION CONSISTENT WITH THE
24	BROWN DISCOLORATION ON THE LARGE PIECE THAT YOU DID
25	ANALYZE?
26	A IT'S A SIMILAR COLOR. IT IS MUCH SMALLER.
27	Q APPEARS TO BE BLOOD?
28	A APPEARS TO BE THE SAME TYPE OF MATERIAL.

1	Q THANK YOU. CAN YOU PUT THOSE BACK.
2	MR. JONES, I AM LAYING OUT IN FRONT OF YOU SIX
3_	DIFFERENT SEALED PLASTIC CONTAINERS. AND THEY ARE LABELED
4	EXHIBIT 70, 71, 72, 73, AND 74 AND 75. AND I'D LIKE TO
5	ASK YOU WHAT THESE ITEMS ARE?
6	A THESE ITEMS ARE THE BLOOD TUBES THAT WERE THAT
7	CONTAIN THE BLOOD THAT I EXAMINED IN THIS CASE. THERE ARE
8	SIX DIFFERENT INDIVIDUALS THAT I WAS ASKED TO SEE IF THEY
9	COULD OR COULD NOT BE THE SOURCE OF THE BLOOD STAIN THAT
10	WAS ON THAT PARTICULAR BLANKET. AND THESE ARE THE BLOOD
11	FROM THOSE SIX PEOPLE.
12	Q AND THEN DO YOU HAVE RECORDS OF WHEN THESE WERE
13	RECEIVED, WHERE THEY WERE KEPT?
14	A YES.
15	Q I SUPPOSE WE CAN START WITH NUMBER 70 WHICH IS A
16	BLOOD SAMPLE FROM BRITTANY RIGGS.
17.	A BLOOD SAMPLE FROM BRITTANY RIGGS WAS SUBMITTED
18	TO THE LABORATORY IN A COLLECTION KIT ON OCTOBER 12, 1993.
19	Q OKAY. AND WHERE WAS IT PLACED WHEN IT WAS
20	RECEIVED?
21	A THE KIT WAS PLACED, WAS DELIVERED TO THE
22	LABORATORY. THE KIT WAS OPENED. THE BLOOD TUBE WAS TAKEN
23	OUT. A DRY WHAT WE DO IS WE OPEN UP THE BLOOD SAMPLE,
24	TAKE A PORTION OF THE BLOOD OUT, PUT IT ON A PIECE OF
25	CLOTH, AND DRY IT. AGAIN, TRYING TO KEEP IT DRY AND COLD.
26	THAT WILL PRESERVE MOST OF THE GENETIC MARKERS THAT WE
27	WANT TO PRESERVE IN THE SAMPLE. WE MAKE THIS DRY CLOTH.
28	THE BLOOD TUBE ITSELF WAS THEN STORED IN A REFRIGERATOR IN

1	THE LABORATORY AND THE DRY PIECE OF CLOTH WITH THE BLOOD
2	STAIN ON IT NOW WAS TO BE STORED IN A FREEZER IN THE
3	LABORATORY. THE COLLECTION KIT WAS THEN SEALED AND SENT
4	TO OUR PROPERTY SECTION FOR STORAGE.
5	Q OKAY. AND THEN IT WAS THIS DRIED STRIP THAT YOU
6	USED FOR THE ANALYSIS?
7	A THAT'S CORRECT.
8	Q AND THEN THE NUMBER IS 013008R ON HERE. IS THIS
9	THE IDENTIFYING NUMBER FOR BRITTANY RIGGS' SAMPLE?
10	A THAT CORRESPONDS TO THE COLLECTION KIT FOR
11	BRITTANY RIGGS.
12	Q ALL RIGHT. THEN MOVE ON TO EXHIBIT 71 FOR
13	IDENTIFICATION WHICH IS IDENTIFIED AS CHUCK JOHNSON. WHEN
14	WAS THAT RECEIVED BY YOUR LAB?
15	A OKAY. THE SAMPLE FROM MR. JOHNSON WAS RECEIVED
16	ON JULY 27, 1995. WAS RECEIVED AGAIN IN AN EVIDENCE
17	COLLECTION KIT AND RECEIVED BY THE LABORATORY. AGAIN
18	ANOTHER SWATCH WAS MADE OR DRIED DRY CLOTH SAMPLE OF THE
19	BLOOD SAMPLE. THE LIQUID SAMPLE WAS THEN STORED IN A
20	REFRIGERATOR. THE BLOOD STAIN MADE FROM THAT WAS STORED
21	IN A FREEZER. AND THE REST OF THE COLLECTION KIT WAS
22	SEALED AND STORED IN THE FREEZER.
23	Q OKAY. THANK YOU.
24	MOVE TO NUMBER 72. IDENTIFIED AS TRAVIS JOHNSON.
25	A TRAVIS JOHNSON WAS THE LAST SAMPLE THAT WE
26	RECEIVED. OR THE I AM SORRY, THE LATEST SAMPLE THAT WE
27	RECEIVED. RECEIVED ON OCTOBER 23, LAST MONDAY, A WEEK AGO
28	YESTERDAY, 1995. IT WAS RECEIVED BY OUR PROPERTY

1	SECTION. A DRY STAIN WAS MADE OF THE LIQUID SAMPLE
2	INSIDE. THE LIQUID SAMPLE WAS THEN STORED IN A
3	REFRIGERATOR. THE DRIED SAMPLE ACTUALLY WAS CUT
4	IMMEDIATELY BY ME. I DID THIS ALL AT ONE TIME. AND IT
5	HAS SINCE BEEN STORED IN A FREEZER IN THE LABORATORY.
6	Q OKAY. MOVING ON TO NUMBER 73 IDENTIFIED AS
7	DADIN DICCO WHEN WAS THAT DECEIVEDS ALSO THERE IS

DARIN RIGGS. WHEN WAS THAT RECEIVED?-- ALSO THERE IS
REFERENCE SAMPLES ARE THOSE NUMBERS THAT YOUR LAB ASSIGNS?
WE HAVE GOT THEM DOWN HERE. THERE IS LIKE A LITTLE ORANGE
TAG.

A YES, MA'AM. THE REFERENCE NUMBER. WE HAVE SEVERAL WAYS OF NUMBERING THESE TUBES AS THEY GO THROUGH DIFFERENT STAGES. AND THE REFERENCE NUMBER, FOUR-DIGIT NUMBER, OR THE KIT COLLECTION NUMBER ARE THE ONES THAT I CURRENTLY REFER TO IN MY NOTES.

Q SO, THE NAME IS NOT AS HELPFUL TO YOU AS A REFERENCE NUMBER?

A CORRECT.

2.0

Q SO, TRAVIS JOHNSON, REFERENCE NUMBER 3174, AND DARIN RIGGS IS REFERENCE 3063?

A YES, MA'AM. THAT WAS, THE SAMPLE FROM

MR. RIGGS, WAS RECEIVED ON I BELIEVE THAT SAYS JULY 3,

1995. AGAIN, WAS PROCESSED IN THE SAME WAY WITH A DRY

STAIN MADE OF THE LIQUID SAMPLE. INSIDE THE LIQUID SAMPLE

WAS THEN PLACED IN THE REFRIGERATOR. THE DRY STAIN WAS

PLACED IN THE FREEZER. IT WOULD BE THE DRY STAIN THAT I

USE FOR THE EXAMINATION.

O I KNOW EACH OF THESE HAS WRITING. AND THE

1	INITIAL I	` —	T (7	THAT	TTOTTO
1 1	1 N I 'I' I A I 1	). I	1 🔾	$\Gamma \vdash \Box \Delta \cdot \Gamma$	V()

- A YES, MA'AM, DTJ.
  - Q OH. MOVE TO NUMBER 74. LOOKS LIKE 3069.
- A 3069 WAS A REFERENCE SAMPLE THAT WE HAVE FROM A JENNIFER RETHORN. WE RECEIVED ON JULY 20, 1995. AGAIN, WHEN IT CAME INTO THE LABORATORY, LIQUID SAMPLE WAS USED TO PREPARE A DRY STAIN. THE LIQUID SAMPLE WAS STORED IN REFRIGERATOR AND THE DRY STAIN IN A FREEZER. DRY STAIN WILL BE THE SAMPLE THAT I USED THEN TO CONDUCT MY TEST.
  - Q FINALLY, REFERENCE SAMPLE 3078?
- A 3078 WAS A SAMPLE FROM A STEVE LOPEZ. IT WAS RECEIVED ON JULY 27, 1995. AGAIN THE LIQUID SAMPLE CAME INTO THE LABORATORY. A DRY STAIN WAS MADE OF THIS REFERENCE SAMPLE. THE LIQUID SAMPLE WAS THEN PLACED IN A REFRIGERATOR IN THE LAB. AND THE DRY STAIN WAS THEN PLACED IN THE FREEZER. AND THE DRY STAIN IS WHAT I WOULD HAVE USED FOR MY ANALYSIS.
- Q NOW, YOU STATED THAT YOU TESTED THE CUTOUT PIECE OF BLANKET WAS YOUR FIRST TEST FOR BLOOD?
- A YES, MA'AM. IN FACT, THAT TEST, THAT WILL BE THE FIRST TEST THAT I DO, CALLED A SCREENING TEST, ESSENTIALLY, A COLOR TEST TO SEE IF IT IS CONSISTENT WITH BEING BLOOD. AND THAT PARTICULAR TEST WAS POSITIVE FOR THAT STAIN.
- Q AND IS THERE A WAY FOR YOU TO TELL IF IT IS HUMAN BLOOD?
- 27 A YES, THERE IS. THERE ARE SEVERAL METHODS FOR 28 DETERMINING IF IT IS HUMAN BLOOD. THE METHOD I USED

1	INVOLVES ACTUALLY CUTTING THE STAIN AND EXTRACTING OUT THE
2	DNA. AND THE STEP THE DNA TO SEE IF IT IS HUMAN. THAT'S
3	WHAT I DID. THE RESULT WAS POSITIVE THERE WAS HUMAN DNA
4	PRESENT IN THE STAIN.
5	Q THERE BE EXTRAPOLATION, YOU CAN STATE THAT THE
6	BLOOD ON THE BLANKET WAS IN FACT HUMAN BLOOD?
7	A THAT WAS MY CONCLUSION, YES, MA'AM.
8	Q OKAY. AFTER YOU ESTABLISHED THAT THE BLOOD ON
9	THE BLANKET WAS HUMAN BLOOD, THEN WHAT WAS THE NEXT STEP
10	OF YOUR PROCESS?
11	A THE NEXT STEP WAS TO DETERMINE HOW MUCH DNA WAS
12	PRESENT IN THERE AND THEN USE AN ADEQUATE AMOUNT OF THE
13	DNA TO DO ANY TESTING TO SEE IF I CAN TO SEE IF I CAN
14	DETERMINE A DNA TYPE FOR A PARTICULAR DNA LOCATION. THE
15	PARTICULAR LOCATION THAT I USED IN THIS IS SOMETHING
16	CALLED DQ-ALPHA. IT IS A LOCATION IN WHICH SOME PEOPLE
17	MAY BE DIFFERENT THAN OTHERS.
18	Q SO, THE TEST IS CALLED DQ-ALPHA?
19	A IT IS GENERICALLY CALLED THE DQ-ALPHA TEST. AND
20	DQ-ALPHA TYPING TEST, YES, MA'AM.
21	Q SO, YOU'RE LOOKING FOR THE LOCATION OF A GENE
22	MARKER ON A PARTICULAR PIECE OF DNA?
23	A THERE IS A PARTICULAR LOCATION ON THE DNA THAT
24	SOME RESEARCHER SOMEWHERE HAS SAID OKAY, THIS IS YOUR
25	DQ-ALPHA REGION. WHAT WE DO IS WE GO IN AND WE LOOK AT
26	DQ-ALPHA REGION AND FIND OUT WHAT TYPE IS THERE. AND THERE
27	COULD BE A NUMBER OF THERE ARE ACTUALLY TWENTY-ONE
, ,	הדבים בים איי ייעסבים יינואים אום כיסוווה כבים בים את האסידכווואס

PERSON'S SAMPLE. AND WE JUST TAKE A LOOK AND FIND OUT
WHAT TYPE ARE THERE. WE CAN ALSO EXTRACT DNA FROM STAINS
TO SEE WHAT TYPE IS PRESENT IN THE STAINS.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Q AND THIS PROCESS THAT YOU ARE CALLING, IT IS KNOWN AS PCR; CORRECT?

THE PROCESS WE USE IN ORDER TO DO THE TYPE IS CALLED PCR. ESSENTIALLY, IT IS A TECHNIOUE USED TO HELP AS YOU TAKE A LOOK AT THIS LOCATION. IN ACTUALITY, IF I TOOK ONE OR TWO STRANDS OF DNA, THERE'S ALL THE DNA THERE, IS VERY HARD TO WORK WITH. WHAT THE PCR TECHNIQUE DOES, IT GOES IN AN IT FINDS A PARTICULAR DNA LOCATION. IN THIS PARTICULAR TEST, WE HAVE TUNED THE TEST TO ONLY LOOK AT DQ-ALPHA LOCATION IN YOUR DNA. WHAT IT DOES, IT GOES IN AND SEES WHAT DO-ALPHA TYPE YOU HAVE AND ESSENTIALLY XEROX COPIES IT A MILLION TIMES. SO NOW, I NO LONGER HAVE JUST A LITTLE BIT OF DNA. I HAVE A LOT OF DNA THAT ARE ALL THE COPIES OF THAT PARTICULAR SMALL REGION DNA. AND AN ANALOGY WOULD BE IT GOES INTO AN ENCYCLOPEDIA BOOK, FINDS PAGE 300, AND COPIES PAGE 300 A MILLION TIMES. NOW I HAVE A STACK OF PAGE 300 LIKE THIS WHEREAS THE ORIGINAL AMOUNT OF DNA THAT I HAD WAS VERY SMALL. AND IT JUST MAKES IT EASIER TO READ OR TO FIND PAGE 300 TO BE ABLE TO TELL WHAT IT IS.

- Q WHAT IS PCR STAND FOR?
- A IT STANDS FOR POLYMERASE CHAIN REACTION.
- Q AND THAT'S A LONG WORD FOR THE XEROXING PROCEDURE?
  - A FOR THE XEROX. IT IS CALLED A MOLECULAR XEROX

PROCESS, THIS MAKING OF COPIES OVER AND OVER.

2.3

- Q WHAT IS AN ALLELE, A-L-L-E-L-E?
- A AN ALLELE IS A PARTICULAR FACTOR THAT YOU CAN HAVE AT A GENETIC LOCATION. WHEN WE ARE SPEAKING

  DQ-ALPHA, THERE ARE SIX POSSIBLE ALLELES THAT CAN BE
  THERE. AND EACH PERSON WILL ACTUALLY HAVE TWO ALLELES.

  ONE ALLELE YOU GOT FROM YOUR MOM, ONE ALLELE YOU GOT FROM YOUR DAD. AND THAT'S JUST HOW THE INHERITANCE WORKS. SO, IF I TEST SOMEBODY'S BLOOD AND THEY HAVE A 2 ALLELE AND A 3 ALLELE, THEY WILL BE A DQ-ALPHA TYPE 2,3. IF I TEST SOMEBODY ELSE'S BLOOD AND THEY WERE, AND YOU ONLY DETECT THE 4 ALLELE, I MEAN THEY DON'T COPY, THEY GOT FROM THEIR PARENTS, ONE THEY GOT FROM THEIR DAD MUST HAVE A 4, THE ONE THEY GOT FROM THEIR MOM MUST HAVE BEEN A 4. I NORMALLY WOULD EXPECT TWO ALLELES. BUT IT CHANCES CAN COME ABOUT WHERE THEY HAVE THE SAME, SAME ALLELE THAT THEY
- Q NOW, IN THIS PARTICULAR CASE, YOU FOLLOWED A PROCEDURE; CORRECT?

GET FROM BOTH PARENTS. THAT PERSON WOULD TYPE AS WHAT'S

A YES, MA'AM.

CALLED A 4,4.

- Q USING A KIT?
- A YES, MA'AM. THAT IS COMMERCIALLY AVAILABLE KIT FOR DOING THIS DQ-ALPHA TYPING. AND THE KIT COMES ESSENTIALLY WITH MOST OF THE NECESSARY REAGENTS THAT YOU NEED. YOU HAVE TO MAKE UP A FEW SOLUTIONS AND BUFFERS AND SO FORTH. BUT ALL OF THE KEY INGREDIENTS ARE IN THE KIT AS WELL AS AN INSTRUCTION MANUAL.

Q OKAY. NOW, DID YOU START OUT ANALYZING WHAT

KIND OF DO-ALPHA WAS ON THE STAIN IN THE BLANKET?

ME CHECK MY NOTES IN TERMS OF A STRATEGY FOR THE APPROACH.

I SHOULD REMEMBER. BUT THERE HAVE BEEN A LOT OF THINGS

HAPPENING LATELY. THE STRATEGY THAT I USED IN THIS

PARTICULAR CASE WAS THERE WERE SO MANY POTENTIAL DONORS

FOR THIS BLOOD STAIN. FIRST THING I WANTED TO DO WAS TO

TEST MOST OF THE DONORS AND MAKE SURE THAT THEY ARE

DIFFERENT IN THEIR DQ-ALPHA TYPING. AND IF THEY ARE

DIFFERENT, IF I COULD DISTINGUISH AMONG THESE DONORS AND

THIS DQ-ALPHA TYPE, THEN I COULD TEST THE STAIN AND BE

ABLE TO TELL FROM THIS TEST WHO I CAN ELIMINATE AND WHO I

CAN INCLUDE. IF SOME OF THEM ARE THE SAME, THE SAME

DQ-ALPHA TYPE, IT WOULD HAVE BEEN A LITTLE MORE DIFFICULT

TO DO THAT.

IN THIS PARTICULAR CASE, ALL SIX OF THE INDIVIDUALS ARE DIFFERENT. IN MY INITIAL BATTERY BEING I BELIEVE I ONLY TESTED FOUR OF THE REFERENCE SAMPLES. THOSE FOUR PEOPLE WERE DIFFERENT IN THEIR DQ-ALPHA. I THEN WENT ON AND TESTED THE STAIN. SINCE THEN, THERE WERE TWO OTHER INDIVIDUALS THAT I TESTED. AND THEY ALSO WOUND UP BEING DIFFERENT DQ-ALPHA TYPES. AND THEREFORE, I WAS ABLE TO MAKE ESSENTIALLY AN INCLUSION OR EXCLUSION STATEMENT.

Q AND I AM SORRY. THE TASK THAT YOU ARE PRESENTED WITH WAS TEST THE STAIN ON THE BLANKET, TEST ALL THE INDIVIDUALS WHOSE BLOOD HAS BEEN SUBMITTED TO YOU, AND DETERMINE IF YOU CAN INCLUDE OR EXCLUDE ANY OR ALL OF

	539
1	THEM; IS THAT CORRECT?
2	A CORRECT.
3	Q OKAY. DID YOU TEST THE STAIN ON THE BLANKET AT
4	SOMETIME?
5	A YES, I DID.
6	Q WHAT DID YOU FIND? WHAT WERE THE RESULTS OF
7	YOUR TEST ON THE OF THE STAIN ON THE BLANKET?
8	A TEST RESULTS WITH REGARD TO DNA IS THAT THERE
9	WAS SUFFICIENT DNA FOR ME TO BE ABLE TO TYPE IT. IT WAS
10	HUMAN DNA. AND THE DQ-ALPHA TYPE PRESENT WAS A 1.1,4.
11	Q OKAY. AND SO, WHOEVER HAD DONATED THE STAIN HAD
12	TWO DIFFERENT TYPES, PRESUMABLY ONE FROM MOM AND ONE FROM
13	DAD?
14	A HAD TWO DIFFERENT ALLELES IN THEIR DQ-ALPHA
15	TYPE.
16	Q THEN YOU WENT ON AND TESTED THE BLOOD FROM THE
17	SIX INDIVIDUALS; CORRECT. AND YOU CAN REFER TO EXHIBIT 68
18	FOR IDENTIFICATION IF YOU WOULD. DOES THAT ACCURATELY
19	SHOW THE RESULTS OF YOU TESTING?
20	A YES, MA'AM.
21	Q OKAY. COULD YOU EXPLAIN WHAT EACH OF THE TEST
22	RESULTS IN?
23	A THE TOP LINE IN THE EXHIBIT 68 SHOWS THE
24	RESULTS, THE DQ-ALPHA TYPING RESULTS, FOR THE STAIN IN THE
25	BLANKET, SHOWS THAT THE ALLELES DETECTED WERE 1.1,4.
26	THE NEXT SIX LINES SHOW THE BLOOD SAMPLES THAT I
27	EXAMINED TO COMPARE TO THIS PARTICULAR TYPE. THE FIRST OR
28	THE LINE THAT SAYS BRITTANY RIGGS SHOWED THAT SHE TYPED,

1	HAD A 1.1,4. THEREFORE, SHE COULD BE INCLUDED AS A
2	POSSIBLE DONOR FOR THIS DQ-ALPHA TYPE.
3	CHUCK JOHNSON WAS 2,4. HE COULD NOT HAVE GIVEN US
4	THIS TYPE.
5	TRAVIS JOHNSON WAS 2.2. HE COULD NOT HAVE GIVEN US
6	THE TYPE FOUND ON THE BLANKET.
7	DARIN RIGGS IS A 1.1,1.1. HE COULDN'T BE THE
8	CONTRIBUTOR OF THE STAIN ON THE BLANKET.
9	JENNIFER RETHORN, 1.2,4 ALSO IS ELIMINATED AS A
10	SOURCE OF BLOOD STAIN ON THE BLANKET.
11	STEVE LOPEZ, A 3,4 IS ALSO ELIMINATED AS A SOURCE OF
12	THE TYPE ON THE BLANKET.
13	Q SO, THEREFORE, THE ONLY ONE THAT CAN BE INCLUDED
14	AS A SOURCE WILL BE BRITTANY RIGGS?
15	A YES, MA'AM, FROM THIS LIMITED NUMBER OF PEOPLE.
16	MS. SCHMAUSS: RIGHT.
17,	THE COURT: THANK YOU. AND ON THAT NOTE, WE WILL
18	TAKE OUR LUNCH RECESS, FOLKS. DON'T DISCUSS THE CASE.
19	DON'T FORM ANY OPINION OR CONCLUSION. WE WILL RETURN AT
20	1:30, PLEASE.
21	(NOON RECESS)
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	1

	311
1	RANCHO CUCAMONGA, CALIFORNIA; TUESDAY, OCTOBER 31, 1995
2	1:35 P.M.
3	DEPARTMENT 4 HON. ROBERT E. LAW, JUDGE
4	
5-	APPEARANCES: SET FORTH ON THE TITLE PAGE.
6	
7	(GAIL GREENLEE, C-8647, OFFICIAL REPORTER.)
8	### <b></b>
9	THE COURT: GOOD AFTERNOON. NOW, WE ARE GOING TO
10	INTERRUPT A WITNESS THAT INTERRUPTED ANOTHER WITNESS WITH
11	ANOTHER WITNESS. PLEASE.
12	MS. SCHMAUSS: THANK YOU. PEOPLE CALL OFFICER KELLY.
13	
14	ROBERT KELLY,
15	RECALLED AS A WITNESS BY THE PLAINTIFF, WAS SWORN AND
16	TESTIFIED AS FOLLOWS:
17	THE CLERK: PLEASE RAISE YOUR RIGHT HAND.
18	YOU DO SOLEMNLY SWEAR THE TESTIMONY YOU
19	MAY GIVE IN THE MATTER NOW PENDING BEFORE THIS COURT
20	SHALL BE THE TRUTH, THE WHOLE TRUTH, AND NOTHING BUT
21	THE TRUTH, SO HELP YOU GOD.
22	THE WITNESS: I DO.
23	THE CLERK: THANK YOU. PLEASE BE SEATED. PLEASE STATE
24	YOUR FULL NAME SPELLING YOUR LAST NAME FOR THE RECORD.
25	THE WITNESS: ROBERT KELLY K-E-L-L-Y.
26	///
27	
28	

		542
1		DIRECT EXAMINATION
2	BY MS. SCI	HMAUSS:
3	, Q	OFFICER KELLY, IN THE COURSE OF YOUR ASSISTANCE
4	IN THIS C	ASE, WERE YOU ASKED TO WITNESS BLOOD WITHDRAWALS
-5-	OF A COUP	LE OF WITNESSES?
6	A	YES, I WAS.
7	Q	AND WHICH WITNESSES WERE THOSE?
8	A	MR. RIGGS AND MS. RETHORN.
9	Q	OR ACTUALLY, A COUPLE OF PEOPLE INVOLVED IN THIS
10	CASE. OK	AY. AND WHERE DID THOSE BLOOD WITHDRAWALS TAKE
11	PLACE?	
12	A	AT THE MONTCLAIR POLICE DEPARTMENT.
13	Q	WERE THEY ON THE SAME DAY OR SEPARATE DAYS?
14	· A	THEY WERE ON SEPARATE DAYS.
15	Q	WHAT DATE WAS THE FIRST BLOOD WITHDRAWAL?
16	A	MR. RIGGS' BLOOD WAS WITHDRAWN ON JULY 10, 1995.
17	Q	AND WHEN WAS MS. RETHORN?
18	A	HERS WAS WITHDRAWN ON JULY 18, 1995.
19	Q	OKAY. AND TURNING TO FIRST MR. RIGGS, WHO DREW
20	THE BLOOD	FROM HIM?
21	A	THERE WAS A REPRESENTATIVE FROM WESTERN NURSES
22	WHO WITHDE	REW HIS BLOOD.
23	~ Q	IS THAT SOMEONE THAT IS ON CONTRACT WITH THE
24	MONTCLAIR	POLICE DEPARTMENT?
25	A	YES, IT IS.
26	Q	AND CAN YOU DESCRIBE THE PROCEDURE THAT YOU
27	WITNESSED	WITH MR. RIGGS?
28	А	STANDARD PROCEDURE WITHDRAWING BLOOD, INSERTING

1	A NEEDLE IN A VEIN AND STICKING OF THE COLLECTION TUBES
2	INTO THE NEEDLE TO WITHDRAW THE BLOOD.
3	Q IS THERE ANYWHERE ON THIS TEST TUBE THAT IS
4	LABELED REFERENCE 3063, OR ACTUALLY, COLLECTION VIAL THAT
5	ALLOWS YOU TO RECOGNIZE IT AS THE ONE YOU WITNESSED?
6	A IT HAS MY INITIALS ON IT UP AT THE TOP OF THE
7	TUBE.
8	Q AND DID YOU INITIAL THIS TUBE RIGHT AFTER THE
9	BLOOD WAS WITHDRAWN?
10	A YES.
11	Q AND DID YOU OBSERVE IT TO BE SEALED?
12	A YES.
13	Q AND DID YOU TAKE CUSTODY OF THE BLOOD VIAL AFTER
14	THE BLOOD WITHDRAWAL WAS COMPLETE?
15	A YES, I DID.
16	Q WHAT DID YOU DO WITH THE BLOOD VIAL?
17	A THE BLOOD VIAL WAS PACKAGED IN AN ENVELOPE THAT
18	WESTERN NURSES HAD PROVIDED. I THEN PACKAGED IT IN
19	ANOTHER MANILA ENVELOPE, PLACED IT INSIDE THE EVIDENCE
20	ROOM.
21	Q OKAY. AND WHERE WAS IT PLACED?
22	A IT WAS PLACED IN A REFRIGERATOR?
23	A INSIDE THE EVIDENCE ROOM.
	O AND THEN WHO HANDLED THE EVIDENCE FROM THERE ON
24	
24 25	FOR YOUR DEPARTMENT?
25	FOR YOUR DEPARTMENT?

1	Q OKAY. AND SIMILARLY WITH JENNIFER RETHORN,
2	SAMPLE, DID YOU ALSO WITNESS HER WITHDRAWAL?
3,	A YES, I DID.
4	Q WHO DID THE WITHDRAWAL?
5	A IT WAS ALSO A REPRESENTATIVE OF WESTERN NURSES.
6	Q AND IS THERE ANY WAY FOR YOU TO RECOGNIZE THIS
7	VIAL AS THE VIAL YOU SAW BEING COLLECTED ON JULY 18, 1995?
8	A YES. IT HAS MY INITIALS ON IT.
9	Q AND YOU DID THAT RIGHT AFTER THE WITHDRAWAL?
10	A YES.
11	Q WHAT DID YOU DO WITH THE PIECE OF EVIDENCE THAT
12	IS LABELED EXHIBIT 74 FOR IDENTIFICATION?
13	A IT WAS ALSO PLACED IN AN ENVELOPE THAT WESTERN
14	NURSES HAD PROVIDED. I THEN PLACED IT IN ANOTHER MANILA
15	ENVELOPE INSIDE THE EVIDENCE ROOM.
16	Q AND PLACE IT WHERE?
17	A IT WAS ALSO PLACED INSIDE THE EVIDENCE
18	REFRIGERATOR.
19	Q AND THEN HANDLED FROM THEN ON BY MIKE CUNA?
20	A YES.
21	Q AND FOR THE RECORD, DARIN RIGGS IS EXHIBIT 73
22	FOR IDENTIFICATION?
23	A YES.
24	MS. SCHMAUSS: THANK YOU. NO FURTHER QUESTIONS.
25	MR. ABLARD: I HAVE NO QUESTIONS. THANK YOU.
26	THE COURT: THANK YOU.
27	MS. SCHMAUSS: MAY HE BE EXCUSED.
28	THE COURT: YES.

1	MR. ABLARD: YES.
2	DONALD THOMAS JONES
3	HAVING BEEN PREVIOUSLY SWORN, RESUMED THE STAND, WAS
4	EXAMINED AND TESTIFIED AS FOLLOWS:
5	DIRECT EXAMINATION, RESUMED
6	BY MS. SCHMAUSS:
7	Q MR. JONES, IN ADDITION TO THE HUMAN BLOOD THAT
8	YOU FOUND ON THE BLANKET, DID YOU DETECT ANY OTHER BODY
9	SUBSTANCE?
10	A I DID A TEST FOR AN ENZYME CALLED AMYLASE WHICH
11	IS AN ENZYME THAT IS FOUND IN HIGH LEVELS IN SALIVA. AND
12	THE TEST RESULTS THAT I GOT ON THIS PARTICULAR STAIN WERE
13	HIGHER THAN THOSE I WOULD HAVE EXPECTED FOR SIMPLY BLOOD
14	ALONE. THERE'S SOME INDICATION SALIVA MAY BE PRESENT.
15	Q OKAY. IS AMYLASE PRESENT IN SALIVA?
16	A IN EXTREMELY LOW AMOUNTS, IT IS PRESENT IN A LOT
17	OF BODY FLUIDS, IN REALLY LOW AMOUNTS. BUT IN SALIVA, IT
18	IS A VERY HIGH AMOUNT.
19	Q OKAY. WELL, I THINK YOU MUST HAVE MISUNDERSTOOD
20	MY QUESTION. I SAID IS IT PRESENT IN SALIVA?
21	A YES, MA'AM.
22	Q IN THE VERY HIGH AMOUNT?
23	A YES.
24	Q AND IS IT PRESENT IN OTHER BODY SUBSTANCES BUT
25	IN VERY LOW AMOUNTS?
26	A CORRECT.
27	Q SO, YOU FOUND A HIGH AMOUNT OF AMYLASE IN THIS
28	SAMPLE?

	546
1	A I WOULD SAY I FOUND A LEVEL THAT IS HIGHER THAN
2	I WOULD HAVE EXPECTED FOR A BLOOD STAIN ALONE. I WOULDN'T
3	CLASSIFY THE TEST AS SAYING IT GAVE ME A HIGH LEVEL OF
4	AMYLASE. BUT IT GAVE ME HIGHER THAN I WOULD HAVE EXPECTED
5	FOR A BLOOD STAIN, ESPECIALLY WHEN IT WAS A COUPLE OF
6	YEARS OLD.
7	Q SO, IT WAS CONSISTENT WITH THE PRESENCE OF
8	SALIVA?
9	A THAT IS CORRECT. THAT'S PROBABLY AS FAR AS I
10	WOULD TAKE IT. I WOULD NOT ABSOLUTELY SAY YES, SALIVA IS
11	PRESENT. TEST RESULTS KIND OF KIND OF INDICATE THAT. BUT

- 0 IS DNA PRESENT IN SALIVA?
- A IF THERE ARE--

OF SALIVA.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- CAN YOU GET DNA FROM SALIVA? 0
- IF YOU HAVE-- ESSENTIALLY DNA COMES FROM CELLS. AND IF CELLS ARE PRESENT IN THE FLUID OR IN THE LIQUID, THEN YOU COULD GET DNA FROM THEM. WITH REGARD TO BLOOD, THERE ARE CELLS THAT ARE PRESENT IN THE BLOOD. THE WHITE BLOOD CELLS THAT ARE PRESENT IN THE BLOOD IS WHERE YOU GET YOUR DNA. FROM SALIVA, YOU MAY OR MAY NOT HAVE CELLS THAT RUB OFF INSIDE SURFACE OF YOUR MOUTH. AND SO IF YOU HAVE THE CELLS, YES, YOU WILL HAVE DNA. IF YOU DON'T HAVE THE CELLS, THEN NO, YOU WON'T.

THERE IS NOTHING. IT IS NOT A HARD-AND-FAST IDENTIFICATION

IF IN FACT THIS IS A MIXTURE OF BLOOD AND 0 SALIVA, IS THERE ANY WAY TO DIFFERENTIATE THAT THE ENZYMES YOU DETECT OR THE ALLELES YOU DETECT IS FROM ONE OR THE

1 OTHER?

1.2

THE SAME INDIVIDUAL, THEN THE TYPE THAT I GET IS
REPRESENTATIVE OF THE INDIVIDUAL. AND I CAN'T TELL YOU
WHAT REALLY ACTUALLY WHERE THE DNA TYPE DQ-ALPHA TYPE CAME
FROM. I CAN TELL YOU THAT I WOULD SUSPECT THAT IT WOULD
BE MORE LIKELY THAT IT CAME FROM THE BLOOD SAMPLE BECAUSE
OF THE NATURE OF THE BLOOD AND THE WHITE-- PRESENCE OF THE
WHITE BLOOD CELLS.

10 MS. SCHMAUSS: THANK YOU. I HAVE NO FURTHER
11 OUESTIONS.

MR. ABLARD: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.

CROSS-EXAMINATION

BY MR. ABLARD:

Q IF THERE WAS SALIVA IN THAT BLOOD SAMPLE, SCIENTIFICALLY, COULD YOU TELL WHOSE IT WAS, TESTING?

A THERE ARE GENETIC MARKER TESTS THAT CAN BE DONE ON THE FLUIDS THAT CAN DISTINGUISH AMONG INDIVIDUALS. I DID NOT DO ANY OF THAT TESTING ON THIS PARTICULAR SAMPLE.

Q OKAY. NOW, GOING TO THE DNA TESTING, JUST HOW LONG DOES THE PROCESS TAKE YOU TO DO THE TEST? FIRST OF ALL, ESTABLISH THAT IT IS BLOOD AND THEN SEPARATE THE DNA, AND IT IS HUMAN BLOOD, STRAIGHT OUT DNA, IT IS HUMAN BLOOD UNTIL ACTUALLY THE TEST IS COMPLETE AND YOU HAVE THE RESULTS?

A IF I WERE GIVEN A SAMPLE TODAY AND NOT HAD ANY INTERRUPTION, I COULD DO THE DQ-ALPHA TEST IN A MATTER OF A DAY AND A HALF OR TWO DAYS AND GET A RESULT.

1.	Q OKAY. COULD YOU TELL BY THE TEST THAT YOU DID
2	WHEN THE BLOOD GOT ON THE BLANKET?
3	A NO, SIR. NONE OF THE TYPES OF TESTS THAT I DO
4	WILL TELL ME HOW LONG THE STAIN HAS BEEN THERE.
5	Q NOW, THE TEST THAT YOU DID, THE DQ-ALPHA, HOW
6	WOULD YOU DESCRIBE THAT TEST? WOULD THAT PLACE PEOPLE IN
7	CATEGORIES? IS IT A VERY FINE, UNIQUE, MINUTE TEST? OR
8	IS IT HOWEXPLAIN THAT TO US?
9	A YES, SIR. AGAIN, WITH REGARD TO THE DIFFERENT
10	TYPES OF DQ-ALPHA RESULTS THAT YOU CAN GET, THERE ARE
11	ESSENTIALLY TWENTY-ONE TYPES. SOME ARE MORE COMMON THAN
12	OTHERS. BUT ESSENTIALLY, THERE'S ONLY TWENTY-ONE
13	CATEGORIES. SO, IF THEY ARE ALL EQUAL, ONE OUT OF EVERY
14	TWENTY-ONE PEOPLE WOULD HAVE A PARTICULAR TYPE. THEY ARE
15	NOT ALL EQUAL. SO, THE DISTRIBUTION IS A LITTLE
16	DIFFERENT. SO, IN TERMS OF PINPOINTING THIS TEST,
17	PINPOINTING EXACTLY WHERE A PARTICULAR SAMPLE CAME FROM
18	AND ONLY ONE PARTICULAR INDIVIDUAL, THE DQ-ALPHA TEST DOES
19	NOT DO THAT. IT IS BETTER FOR ESSENTIALLY IS USED AT
20	CATEGORIZING AND THAT'S PROBABLY APPROPRIATE. I CAN
21	ESSENTIALLY CATEGORIZE PEOPLE.
22	Q PEOPLE WHO COULD HAVE CONTRIBUTED TO BLOOD STAIN
23	AND PEOPLE WHO COULD NOT. WAS THERE AN INDICATION THAT
24	THERE WAS ANY MIXTURE OF BLOOD IN THE SAMPLE THAT WAS
25	TESTED?
26	A THERE WERE ACTUALLY TWO INDICATIONS OF MIXTURES.
27	ONE WE HAVE ALREADY SPOKEN OF, THE HIGH LEVEL OF AMYLASE

WHICH SUGGESTS THAT THERE MAY BE ANOTHER FLUID SUCH AS

1	SALIVA PRESENT. ANOTHER TEST RESULT THAT WAS RECEIVED
2	INVOLVED THE STAIN ON THE BLANKET. WHEN I TYPED IT ON A
3	USING THE KIT, GAVE ME A VERY STRONG RESULT FOR THE 1.1
4	AND THE 4. THERE WAS KIND OF AT A REALLY WEAK LEVEL WHAT
5	IS CALLED A 2 ALLELE, ESSENTIALLY A 2 WAS, THERE IS A
6	POSSIBILITY THAT IT IS PRESENT. THE RESULT THAT I GOT DII
7	NOT HAVE A HIGH ENOUGH RESPONSE OR A HIGH ENOUGH RESULT
8	FOR ME TO SAY CONCLUSIVELY THAT YES, I FELT THE 2 ALLELE
9	DEFINITELY IS PRESENT. THE MAJOR TYPE WAS 1.1, 4. THAT
10	IS WHAT I REPORTED. HOWEVER, I DID NOTICE THIS OTHER
11	EXTREMELY MINOR COMPONENT WHICH I DID NOT CONCLUSIVELY
12	CALL.

Q BUT IT COULD HAVE BEEN A MIXTURE OF TWO PEOPLE'S BLOOD?

20.

- A I WOULD-- I WOULD SAY THAT WITH REGARD TO THE MIXTURES, I--
  - Q THAT WOULD BE FAIR TO SAY YOU ARE NOT SURE?
- A IT WOULD BE FAIR TO SAY THAT THE MAJOR
  INDICATION THAT I HAVE IS THERE IS ONE PARTICULAR SOURCE
  FOR THE DNA. IF SOMEONE WERE TO TELL ME WELL, THERE'S A
  POSSIBILITY THAT THAT'S A SECOND DONOR OF THE DNA, THAT IS
  THERE THAT COULD HAVE GIVEN YOU THIS REALLY LOW 2 ALLELE,
  I WOULD HAVE TO AGREE, THERE IS A POSSIBILITY THERE. AND
  THAT WOULD BE, ESSENTIALLY THAT WILL BE IT. I'D HAVE TO
  AGREE THAT'S A POSSIBILITY. THE MAJOR TYPE OF THE DONOR
  DID NOT INVOLVE THE 2 ALLELE.
- Q SO, THERE IS A POSSIBILITY MORE THAN ONE PERSON'S BLOOD?

1	A AGAIN, WELL, WHEN YOU SAY BLOOD, I PREFER TO
2	JUST SAY DNA. BECAUSE WHAT I AM DOING IS TYPE THE DNA. I
3	DON'T KNOW EXACTLY WHERE THAT PARTICULAR 2.
4	Q OKAY. IF THERE WERE JUST ONE PERSON'S BLOOD
- 5-	THERE, THEN THERE WOULD JUST BE ONE DNA TYPE; RIGHT?
6	A IF THERE WAS ONE PERSON'S BLOOD THERE, I WOULD
7	EXPECT AT MOST TWO OF THESE ALLELES?
8	A SUCH AS I SAW, SUCH AS I CALLED ON THE BLANKET.
9	IF THERE WERE MORE THAN ONE PERSON'S ALLELES PRESENT, THEN
10	I HAVE THERE'S A POSSIBILITY THAT I MAY SEE MORE THAN 2
11	ALLELES. IN FACT THAT IS A PRIMARY THING FOR OUR TESTING
12	IS IF WE DO TESTING ON A PARTICULAR ITEM, IF WE GET MORE
13	THAN TWO ALLELES, THAT WE ARE CONFIDENT THAT ARE THERE, WE
14	WILL SAY STRAIGHT OUT THIS IS A MIXTURE OF SOURCES. YOU
15	HAVE MORE THAN ONE PERSON CONTRIBUTING TO THIS STAIN.
16	Q OKAY. SO, YOU HAVE MORE THAN TWO ALLELES, ONE
17	MINOR, BUT YET YOU HAVE MORE THAN TWO?
18	A IN THIS PARTICULAR CASE, I CONFIDENTLY CALLED
19	TWO ALLELES. I MADE NOTE OF A WEAK RESULT THAT MIGHT
20	INDICATE ANOTHER ALLELE THAT I DID NOT CALL THAT AS A
21	CONFIRMATORY, CONCLUSIVE RESULT.
22	Q OKAY. SO, WOULD IT BE FAIR TO SAY THAT IT IS
23	INCONCLUSIVE AS FAR AS TWO PEOPLE, BUT POSSIBLE?
24	A I WOULD SAY THAT IT, IN TERMS OF IF SOMEONE WAS
25	THERE IS OH A POSSIBILITY OF ANOTHER PERSON'S MIXTURE OR
26	DNA BEING MIXED IN THERE, AND THAT THIS OTHER PERSON HAS A
27	2 ALLELE. I WOULD SAY I CAN'T REALLY REFUTE IT. IT WOULD
28	BE AN EXTREMELY LOW LEVEL, BELOW WHAT I WOULD CALL THE

1	CONFIDENCE LEVEL THAT I WOULD DEFINITELY SAY THAT IS
2	THERE.
3	MR. ABLARD: THANK YOU. NO FURTHER QUESTIONS.
4	THE COURT: THANK YOU.
5-	MS. SCHMAUSS: THAT'S IT. OH, I AM NOT DONE. I
6	HAVE ONE THING. SORRY.
7	THE COURT: OH.
8	REDIRECT EXAMINATION
9	BY MS. SCHMAUSS:
LO	Q THIS EXTREMELY WEAK ALLELE, LET'S SAY
11	HYPOTHETICALLY IF A PERSON WHO HAD THE 2,4 ALLELE
12	COMBINATION WERE TO PERSPIRE OR SPREAD A LITTLE BIT OF
L3	SALIVA ON THE STAIN, WOULD YOU POSSIBLY SEE THIS EXTREMELY
14	WEAK 2 POP UP?
15	MR. ABLARD: YOUR HONOR, I AM GOING TO OBJECT AS
16	INCOMPLETE HYPOTHETICAL.
17	MS. SCHMAUSS: AS AN INCOMPLETE?
L8	THE COURT: DOES THE HYPOTHETICAL MAKE SENSE TO YOU?
L9	THE WITNESS: THE WAY I UNDERSTAND THE HYPOTHETICAL
20	IS THAT IF SOMEONE ELSE WHO WAS A 2,4 HAD SOME SORT OF
21	THE COURT: CONTACT.
22	THE WITNESS:CONTACT.
23	THE COURT: WITH THE SAME PARTICULAR AREA.
24	THE WITNESS: YES, SIR. THE ONLY CAVEAT THAT I WOULD
25	PUT IN THERE IS THAT THEY WOULD HAVE TO DEPOSIT SOME SORT
26	OF CELLS ON THERE THAT HAD DNA. AND WHETHER IT BE BY
27	PERSPIRATION OR BY SOME SORT OF SALIVA, HAIR FOLLICLES
28	OR

THE COURT: SKIN. 1 2 THE WITNESS: BASICALLY, YOUR OUTSIDE SKIN IS 3 ANUCLEATED. IT DOESN'T HAVE ANY NUCLEUS, THEREFORE. SHOULD NOT HAVE ANY DNA ON IT OR IN IT. BUT OTHER TYPES OF NUCLEATED CELLS IF THEY ARE PRESENT IN LOW 5 CONCENTRATIONS, THEY COULD GIVE. 6 7 THE COURT: THE OBJECTION IS OVERRULED. I THINK--MR. ABLARD: I SORT OF GOT THAT. THE COURT: -- HE HAS GIVEN THE CAVEAT THAT YOU NEED. 9 10 SO, GO AHEAD. MR. ABLARD: THANK YOU. 11 12 THE COURT: SO, HAVE YOU GOT AN ANSWER. 13 BY MS. SCHMAUSS: KEEP GOING. 0 14 A IF THIS PARTICULAR SITUATION HAD OCCURRED WHERE 15 YOU HAVE SOMEONE WHO HAS, WHO IS A 2,4, THEY COULD BE AND THEY ARE -- THEIR CELLS ARE PRESENT IN A RELATIVELY SMALL 16 17 AMOUNT, THEY COULD BE THE SOURCE OF THE 2 ALLELE, THE WEAK 18 2 ALLELE THAT I DID NOT CALL OR DID NOT CONCLUSIVELY CALL IN THE SAMPLE. 19 20 AND IT WOULD BE POSSIBLE THAT THE 4 COULDN'T 21 . SHOW UP AT ALL BECAUSE IT IS SUCH A MINUSCULE AMOUNT OF 22 DNA? 23 WELL, THE 4 WOULD ACTUALLY BE FROM THE 2,4, THE 24 4 WOULD BE MASKED BY THE 4 THAT IS ALREADY PRESENT THERE. MS. SCHMAUSS: RIGHT. I UNDERSTAND. NO FURTHER 25 OUESTIONS. THANKS. 26

MR. ABLARD: NOTHING FURTHER.

MS. SCHMAUSS: YOUR HONOR, WE HAVE A STIPULATION WE

27

1	SHOULD PUT ON THE RECORD.
2	THE COURT: YOU CAN STEP DOWN.
3	MS. SCHMAUSS: MR. JONES WILL BE ALLOWED TO BRING
4	BACK TO THE LABORATORY FOR PROPER STORAGE ALL THE BLOOD
- 5	SAMPLES AND THE FROZEN OR THE PIECES OF BLANKET THAT ARE
6	CUT OUT.
7	BUT I DO NEED TO PUT OFFICER DONLEY ON FOR
8	IDENTIFICATION OF THE SAMPLES BEFORE HE LEAVES.
9	THE COURT: PUT HIM ON. WE ARE BACK NOW TO A WITNESS
10	WHO WAS INTERRUPTED.
11	YOU ARE STILL UNDER OATH. GO AHEAD.
12	MICHAEL DONLEY,
13	HAVING BEEN PREVIOUSLY SWORN, RESUMED THE STAND, WAS
14	EXAMINED, AND TESTIFIED AS FOLLOWS:
15	DIRECT EXAMINATION, RESUMED
16	BY MS. SCHMAUSS:
17	Q OFFICER DONLEY, I AM BRINGING TO YOU FOUR BLOOD
18	SAMPLES THAT HAVE JUST BEEN TESTIFIED TO BY MR. JONES
19	FROM THE CRIME LAB. AND WE HAVE GOT EXHIBIT 71 FOR
20	IDENTIFICATION, EXHIBIT 72 FOR IDENTIFICATION, EXHIBIT 70
21	FOR IDENTIFICATION, AND EXHIBIT 75. SO, WHY DON'T WE
22	START WITH EXHIBIT 70 FOR IDENTIFICATION WHICH IS LABELED
23	RIGGS, BRITTANY.
24	AND MY QUESTION IS WERE YOU PRESENT WHEN THIS VIAL OF
25	BLOOD WAS COLLECTED FROM THE VICTIM IN THIS CASE, BRITTANY
26	RIGGS?
27	A YES, I WAS.
28	Q WHEN DID THIS OCCUR?

1	A OCTOBER 11, 1993.
2	Q AND WHERE DID IT OCCUR?
3	A AT THE AUTOPSY.
4	Q AND DID YOU OBSERVE ANYONE TO WITHDRAW BLOOD
5	FROM BRITTANY?
6	A YES. DR. SHERIDAN.
7	Q OKAY. IS THERE ANY WAY FOR YOU TO IDENTIFY THIS
8	VIAL OF BLOOD THAT I AM HOLDING IN MY HAND AS BLOOD THAT
9	YOU WITNESSED BEING WITHDRAWN?
10	A YES. IT HAS MY NAME WRITTEN ON IT.
11	Q OKAY. WHAT DID YOU DO WITH THIS VIAL OF BLOOD
12	AFTER IT WAS WITHDRAWN FROM THE VICTIM?
13	A IT WAS COLLECTED FROM DR. SHERIDAN AND TAKEN
14	BACK TO THE POLICE STATION AND PLACED INTO EVIDENCE.
15	Q WAS IT PUT IN ANY SORT OF REFRIGERATOR?
16	A I DON'T RECALL IF THAT ONE WAS OR NOT. I HAVE
17	IN MY REPORT THAT IT WAS IN THE EVIDENCE LOCKER. SO, I
18	DON'T KNOW IF IT WAS ACTUALLY REFRIGERATED OR NOT.
19	Q OKAY. WAS IT TAKEN BY ANYONE TO THE CRIME LAB?
20	A YES, IT WAS BY I WAS TOLD THAT IT WAS GOING
21	TO BE TAKEN WITHIN A DAY. SO I BELIEVE TOOK IT THE NEXT
22	DAY.
23	Q AND DO YOU HAVE SOMEBODY DESIGNATED TO DO THAT?
24	A AT THE TIME, YES, WE DO. AT THE TIME, I
25	BELIEVE IT WAS SHERRY WENTSTER WHO NO LONGER WORKS FOR OUR
26	POLICE DEPARTMENT, AND MIKE CUNA, MIKE CUNA'S PREDECESSOR.
27	Q TURNING TO EXHIBIT 71 FOR IDENTIFICATION WHICH
28	TS LABELED CHICK JOHNSON, WERE YOU PRESENT WHEN BLOOD WAS

		222
1	WITHDRAWN	FROM THE DEFENDANT IN THIS CASE?
2	A	YES, I WAS.
3	Q	AND WHAT DAY DID THIS OCCUR?
4	A	OCCURRED ON JULY 26 OF 1995.
5	QQ	OKAY. AND WHO WITHDREW THE BLOOD?
6	A	IT WAS N. MARQUEZ WHO WAS FROM LAW ENFORCEMENT
7	MEDICAL S	ERVICES.
8	Q	OKAY. DID YOU WITNESS HER TO ACTUALLY TAKE THIS
9	BLOOD SA	MPLE OUT OF THE DEFENDANT?
10	A	YES, I DID.
11	Q	AND DID YOU LABEL IT WITH ANYTHING TO ALLOW YOU
12	TO IDENTI	FY IT?
13	A	A YES, I HAD MY INITIALS AND MY I.D. NUMBER.
14	Q	WHAT DID YOU DO WITH THIS VIAL OF BLOOD AFTER IT
15	WAS WITHD	RAWN?
16	A	I HAD TAKEN IT BACK TO THE MONTCLAIR POLICE
17	DEPARTMEN	T AND PLACED IT IN REFRIGERATOR.
18	Q	DID ANYBODY PERHAPS FORWARD IT TO THE CRIME LAB?
19	A	YES.
20	Q	WHO?
21	A	I BELIEVE IT WILL BE MIKE CUNA.
22	Q	HE WAS WORKINGHE WAS THE ONLY ONE THAT DOES
23	THE EVIDE	NCE AT MONTCLAIR POLICE DEPARTMENT NOW?
24	A	YES.
25	Q	OKAY. THEN TURNING TO EXHIBIT 72 FOR
26	IDENTIFIC	ATION, LABELED TRAVIS JOHNSON. WERE YOU PRESENT
27	WHEN BLOO	D WAS WITHDRAWN FROM TRAVIS JOHNSON?
28	A	YES.

		556
1	Q	WHO WAS TRAVIS JOHNSON, BY THE WAY?
2	A	TRAVIS JOHNSON IS THE DEFENDANT'S SON.
3	Q	HOW OLD IS HE NOW?
4	A	I BELIEVE HE IS THREE.
5	Q	OKAY. AND WHAT DATE WAS BLOOD WITHDRAWN FROM
6	TRAVIS?	
7	A	THAT WAS TAKEN ON OCTOBER 19 OF 1995.
8	Q	WHERE?
9	A	MONTCLAIR POLICE DEPARTMENT.
10	Q	WHO DID THE WITHDRAWAL?
11	A	THAT WAS DONE BY MARY QUINN OF THE LAW
12	ENFORCEME	NT MEDICAL SERVICES.
13	Q	OKAY. AND IS THERE ANY MARKING ON THIS VIAL
14	THAT ALLO	WS YOU TO IDENTIFY IT?
15	A	YES, IT HAS MY INITIALS AND MY I.D. NUMBER.
16	Q	OKAY. WHAT DID YOU DO WITH THIS VIAL AFTER IT
17	WAS WITHD	RAWN FROM TRAVIS JOHNSON?
18	A	THAT WAS DIRECTED DIRECTLY TO MIKE CUNA WHO WAS
19	THE EVIDE	NCE CLERK.
20	Q	TO TRANSPORT TO THE CRIME LAB?
21	A	YES.
22	Q	AND THEN FINALLY, EXHIBIT 75, FOR IDENTIFICATION
23	WHICH WAS	LABELED STEVE LOPEZ. WERE YOU PRESENT WHEN
24	BLOOD WAS	WITHDRAWN FROM STEVE LOPEZ?
25	A	YES.
26	Q	WHEN WAS THAT?
27	A	THAT WAS TAKEN ON JULY 25TH OF 1995.
28	Q	OKAY. WHERE DID THAT OCCUR?

	557
1	A THE MONTCLAIR POLICE DEPARTMENT.
2	Q WHO WITHDREW IT?
3	A THAT WAS TAKEN BY N. MARQUEZ, ALSO OF LAW
4	ENFORCEMENT MEDICAL SERVICES.
5	Q ARE YOUR INITIALS ANYWHERE ON THIS BLOOD VIAL?
6	A YES.
7	Q AND YOU PLACED THOSE RIGHT AFTER THE BLOOD WAS
8	WITHDRAWN?
9	A YES.
10	Q WHAT DID YOU DO WITH THIS VIAL AFTER IT WAS
11	WITHDRAWN?
L2	A I GAVE IT TO MIKE CUNA.
L3	Q AND HE TRANSPORTED IT TO THE CRIME LAB?
L4	A YES.
L5	MS. SCHMAUSS: THANK YOU. AT THIS TIME, YOUR HONOR,
L6	I AM GOING TO RETURN ALL THESE ITEMS.
L7	THE COURT: LET'S SEE THE EXHIBIT NUMBERS THAT I
L8	ASSUME YOU ARE MOVING THEM INTO EVIDENCE.
L9	MS. SCHMAUSS: YES. PEOPLE WOULD MOVE TO ADMIT ITEM
20	NO. 69, WHICH IS THE PIECE OF THE BLANKET, ITEM NO. 70,
21	71, 72, 73, AND 74 AND 75 INTO EVIDENCE.
22	MR. ABLARD: NO OBJECTION.
23	THE COURT: THEY ARE RECEIVED. THAT MEANS, LADIES
24	AND GENTLEMEN, YOU WILL BE ABLE TO CONSIDER THEIR
25	EXISTENCE LATER. BUT FOR REASONS OF PRESERVATION AND
26	AGREEMENT BY THE PARTIES, THEY ARE GOING TO BE RETURNED
27	BACK TO THE CRIME LAB. SHOULD WE NEED THEM OR SHOULD YOU
28	NEED THEM FOR EXAMINATION OR EVALUATION, AT YOUR REQUEST,

1	WE WILL HAVE THEM RETURNED IN THE CARE, CUSTODY OF SOMEONE
2	FROM THE CRIME LAB FOR YOUR PERUSAL WHILE DELIBERATING.
3	BUT ONLY UPON REQUEST. BECAUSE IT IS IMPORTANT THEY BE
4	PRESERVED.
5	MS. SCHMAUSS: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.
6	THE COURT: MS. SCHMAUSS, DID YOU HAVE ANY CIVILIANS
7	THAT YOU WANTED TO CALL, INTERRUPTING THIS WITNESS?
8	MS. SCHMAUSS: ACTUALLY, NOW, I DON'T. I CAN
9	CONTINUE WITH MR. DONLEY.
10	THE COURT: WELL, IF YOU HAVE CIVILIANS THAT YOU'D
11	LIKE TO GET IN AND OUT THAT ARE
12	MS. SCHMAUSS: ALL RIGHT. I DO.
13	THE COURT: WHY DON'T WE DO THAT.
14	MS. SCHMAUSS: OKAY.
15	THE COURT: IF THAT'S ALL RIGHT WITH YOU.
16	MR. ABLARD: THAT'S FINE, YOUR HONOR.
17	THE COURT: WELL, I KNEW IT WOULD BE.
18	MS. SCHMAUSS: I HAVE ANGELA JOHNSON OUTSIDE.
19	THE COURT: WHY DON'T YOU GET MS. JOHNSON ON AND OFF.
20	MS. SCHMAUSS: THAT'S A GOOD IDEA.
21	THE COURT: IS THAT THE END OF IT?
22	MS. SCHMAUSS: LISA WOOD.
23	THE COURT: LISA WOOD IS HERE TOO?
24	MS. SCHMAUSS: YES. THAT'S THE END OF IT EXCEPT FOR
25	THEM.
26	THE COURT: OKAY.
27	

ANGELA MARIE JOHNSON

1	CALLED AS A WITNESS BY THE PLAINTIFF, WAS SWORN AND
2	TESTIFIED AS FOLLOWS:
3	THE CLERK: PLEASE RAISE YOUR RIGHT HAND.
4	YOU DO SOLEMNLY SWEAR THE TESTIMONY YOU
5	MAY GIVE IN THE MATTER NOW PENDING BEFORE THIS COURT
6	SHALL BE THE TRUTH, THE WHOLE TRUTH, AND NOTHING BUT
7	THE TRUTH, SO HELP YOU GOD.
8	THE WITNESS: I DO.
9	THE CLERK: THANK YOU. PLEASE BE SEATED. PLEASE STATE
10	YOUR FULL NAME SPELLING YOUR LAST NAME FOR THE RECORD.
11	THE WITNESS: ANGELA MARIE JOHNSON J-O-H-N-S-O-N.
12	DIRECT EXAMINATION
13	BY MS. SCHMAUSS:
14	Q MS. JOHNSON, ARE YOU CURRENTLY THE WIFE OF THE
15	DEFENDANT IN THIS CASE?
16	A YES, MA'AM.
17	Q ARE YOU IN THE PROCESS OF DIVORCE?
18	A YES, MA'AM.
19	Q WERE YOU MARRIED TO THE DEFENDANT AS OF OCTOBER
20	10, 1993?
21	A YES, MA'AM, I WAS.
22	Q DO YOU REMEMBER THAT DAY?
23	A YES.
24	Q ON THAT DATE, WERE YOU CONTACTED BY THE
25	DEFENDANT?
26	A YES.
27	Q AND THAT WAS VIA WHAT?
28	A A TELEPHONE CALL.

0 AND WHAT DID HE TELL YOU ON THE TELEPHONE? 1 2 Α THE FIRST PHONE CALL TOLD ME THAT THEY COULD NOT 3 FIND BRITTANY; THAT BRITTANY WAS MISSING. DO YOU RECALL APPROXIMATELY WHAT TIME THAT WAS? 4 0 5 A APPROXIMATELY A LITTLE BIT, A LITTLE TIME BEFORE 6 9. 7 OKAY. AND DID YOU RECEIVE A LATER PHONE CALL 0 FROM THE DEFENDANT? 8 9 YES, I DID. Α 10 ABOUT WHAT TIME? 0 11 I AM NOT SURE OF THAT EXACT TIME. I KNOW IT 12 WAS AFTER THEY HAD FOUND HER. AND HE TOLD ME THAT SHE WAS 13 DEAD. SO, IT WOULD HAVE TO HAVE BEEN AFTER 10. 14 DID HE ASK TO COME OVER? Q 15 YES, HE DID. Α 16 AND DID YOU INVITE HIM TO COME OVER? Q I CHECKED WITH MY MOTHER FIRST TO MAKE SURE IT 17 WAS ALL RIGHT. AND SHE CLEARED IT SO, THAT HE COULD BE 18 19 REACHED BY MONTCLAIR POLICE DEPARTMENT AT OUR TELEPHONE. 20 AND WERE YOU STAYING WITH YOUR MOM AT THE TIME? 21 Α YES, I WAS. WHERE WAS THAT? 22 0 23 Α 628 NORTH SAN ANTONIO AVENUE IN ONTARIO. 24 DID THE DEFENDANT COME OVER? Q 25 YES, HE DID. Α 26 DO YOU REMEMBER APPROXIMATELY WHAT TIME HE GOT 0 TO YOUR MOTHER'S HOUSE? 27 28 Α APPROXIMATELY 10:30, 11:00, I BELIEVE.

1	Q AND DID HE TELL YOU ONCE HE GOT TO YOUR MOM'S
2	HOUSE WHAT HAD HAPPENED AT 9393 EXETER?
3	A YES, HE DID.
4	Q TO THE BEST OF YOUR RECOLLECTION WHAT DID HE
5	TELL YOU?
6	A WELL, HE STARTED OFF TOLD MY MOTHER BECAUSE SHE
7	WAS IN THE ROOM, ONE OF THE FIRST STATEMENTS OUT OF HIS
8	MOUTH WAS YOU DON'T HAVE A MURDERER IN YOUR HOUSE. TOLD
9	US WENT ON TO TELL US THAT THERE WAS FIRST THAT THEY
10	COULDN'T FIND HER, AND TOLD THEM TOLD US THAT PARDON
11	ME. SORRY.
12	STARTED OFF SAYING HOW ABOUT THE MORNING HOW HE WOKE
13	UP 7, 7:30 APPROXIMATELY. HE GOT UP, WENT OUT TO CLEAN
14	THE BUG. BRITTANY WAS UP. SHE FOLLOWED HIM OUT TO HIS
15	BUG TO HELP HIM CLEAN THE BUG OUT. THEN HE WENT INSIDE
16	A FEW MINUTES. A COUPLE MORE THINGS HAPPENED.
17	HE SAID HE FIXED HER JUICE AND SOMETHING TO EAT I
18	BELIEVE IT WAS JUICE AND TOAST AND WENT BACK TO HIS
19	ROOM. AND LET'S SEE. THEN WENT BACK TO BED HIMSELF.
20	AND LATER ON, HE HAD AWOKEN TO A PHONE CALL THAT I
21	BELIEVE DARIN WAS ON THE OTHER LINE. BUT SOMETHING ABOUT
22	THE CALLER HAD HUNG UP.
23	THEN HE AWOKE AGAIN TO THE SOUND OF DARIN'S VOICE
24	CALLING FOR BRITTANY IN THE BACKYARD. AND HE PEEKED OUT
25	THE BLINDS TO SEE WHAT WAS GOING ON AND ASKED DARIN.
26	DARIN REPLIED THAT THEY COULDN'T FIND BRITTANY, COULD
27	YOU PLEASE COME OUT AND HELP. TELL HIM THAT HE GOT
28	DRESSED. HE HAD THROWN ON SOME WORK CLOTHES AND WENT OUT

DRESSED. HE HAD THROWN ON SOME WORK CLOTHES AND WENT OUT

TO HELP LOOK FOR BRITTANY; THEY, THAT HE SEARCHED, 1 2 SEARCHED FOR AROUND THE HOUSE; THAT HE COULDN'T FIND HER; 3 AND THAT THEN THEY GAVE HIM A PICTURE; AND THAT HE WENT TO A NEIGHBOR'S HOUSE TO SEE THEN ABOUT THAT TIME. 5 WHEN HE GOT BACK, THE POLICE HAD ALREADY ARRIVED AND THAT THEY HAD FOUND HER WHEN HE GOT BACK. HE SAID THAT 6 7 THE POLICE HAD FOUND HER AND THEN ALSO THAT HE HAD PREVIOUSLY IN THE TIMES WHILE THEY WERE LOOKING FOR HER, 8 HE DID, YOU KNOW, HE DID MENTION AT THAT TIME THAT HE MENTIONED LATER FACT --10 11 WELL, NO. JUST AT THE INITIAL TIME THAT HE 12 FIRST CAME OVER TO YOUR HOUSE, I AM ASKING YOU. I APOLOGIZE. 13 A THERE IS A LATER CONVERSATION. I WILL ASK YOU 14 ABOUT THAT. 15 I APOLOGIZE. 16 А 17 BUT WHEN HE FIRST CAME OVER, WHAT DID HE SAY 18 WHEN HE FIRST CAME OVER? 19 OKAY. FROM THAT POINT, HE SAID THAT POLICE SEARCHED THE HOUSE. HE HELPED THEM. AND WHEN, LATER ON 20 HE WAS OUT I BELIEVE HE WAS OUT TALKING IN I BELIEVE THE 21 22 LIVING ROOM OR THE KITCHEN AREA, HE SAW ONE OF THE OFFICERS HAD HER IN HIS ARMS AND WAS TAKING HER OUT THE 23 24 DOOR. 25 THIS FIRST CONVERSATION, FIRST CAME OVER BETWEEN

10:30 AND 11:00. DID HE SAY ANYTHING ABOUT KNOWING

A AT THAT TIME, NO, HE DIDN'T.

BRITTANY HAS BEEN IN HIS CLOSET?

26

27

1	Q DID HE LATER ON THAT DAY GO WITH SOME POLICE
2	OFFICERS TO THE POLICE DEPARTMENT FOR AN INTERVIEW?
, 3,	A YES. THEY PICKED HIM UP AT THE 628 ADDRESS.
4	Q AND THEN DID YOU GO TO PICK HIM UP AFTER THE
5	INTERVIEW WAS OVER?
6	A YES, I DID.
7	Q ABOUT WHAT TIME WAS THAT, IF YOU CAN REMEMBER?
8	A I DON'T REMEMBER AN EXACT TIME.
9	Q LATER THAT AFTERNOON?
10	A YEAH.
11	Q ON THE 10TH?
12	A YES, IT WAS.
13	Q OKAY. AND AFTER HE RETURNED FROM THE POLICE
14	DEPARTMENT, DID HE TELL YOU SOMETHING ADDITIONAL THAT HE
15	HADN'T TOLD YOU IN THE MORNING?
16	A YES, HE DID.
17	Q WHAT WAS THAT?
18	A THAT WAS THAT HE HAD INITIALLY SEEN BRITTANY IN
19	THE CLOSET AND HE PANICKED. AND THAT HE JUST, HE DIDN'T
20	KNOW WHAT TO DO. HE DIDN'T WANT TO BE BLAMED FOR IT. AND
21	THAT HE JUST KIND OF COVERED HER UP AND CLOSED THE CLOSET.
22	HE DIDN'T WANT TO DIDN'T EVEN WANT TO BELIEVE THAT SHE
23	WAS THERE.
24	Q NOW, IN THIS CONVERSATION, THE SECOND
25	CONVERSATION AFTER HE HAD COME BACK FROM THE POLICE
26	DEPARTMENT, DID HE SAY ANYTHING ABOUT WHETHER HE BELIEVED
27	ANYBODY FROM THE OUTSIDE COULD HAVE COME IN AND HARMED
28	BRITTANY?

1	A NO, HE DIDN'T. HE DIDN'T STATE IT AT THAT TIME.
2	WELL, HE KNEW, YOU KNOW THAT THERE WAS NOBODY HE KNEW.
3	STEVEN WAS ASLEEP. HE KNEW DARIN AND JENNIFER WERE
4	ASLEEP. HE WAS OUTSIDE. BUT HE HAD STATED THAT IN THE
-5	CONVERSATION EARLIER THAT MORNING
6	Q SO HE TOLD YOU IN ONE OF THE CONVERSATIONS THAT
7	HE KNEW NOBODYWELL, FIRST OF ALL, DID HE SAY WHETHER HE
8	THOUGHT SOMEBODY FROM OUTSIDE, A STRANGER, HAD COME IN AND
9	HARMED BRITTANY?
10	A NO, HE DIDN'T.
11	Q DID HE SAY SOMETHING TO THAT EFFECT; THAT HE
12	KNEW NO ONE?
13	A YEAH. HE DID SAY THAT HE KNEW NOBODY COULD HAVE
14	GOT IN BECAUSE YOU KNOW, HE WAS UP.
15	Q AND THEN WHAT DID HE SAY ABOUT THE OTHER PEOPLE
16	IN THE HOUSEHOLD?
17	A HE SAID JENNY AND DARIN WERE ASLEEP AND THAT
18	STEVE WAS ASLEEP. BUT HE WASN'T SURE, YOU KNOW, HE WASN'T
19	SURE WHETHER STEVE WOULD HAVE DONE IT OR IF JENNIFER WOULD
20	HAVE DONE IT. BUT IT DIDN'T SEEM LIKE DARIN WOULD DO IT.
21	Q HE SAID THAT AS FAR AS HE KNEW, THEY WERE ALL
22	ASLEEP?
23	A RIGHT.
24	Q HE CAME BACK TO YOUR MOTHER'S HOUSE AFTER THE
25	INTERVIEW AT THE POLICE STATION. DO YOU KNOW WHERE HE
26	WENT LATER THAT DAY?
27	A YES, HE WENT TO HIS MOTHER'S HOUSE IN MONTEREY
28	DADK

DO YOU KNOW WHETHER HE STAYED THE NIGHT THERE? 1 YES, I DID. BECAUSE HIS FATHER CALLED ME WHEN 2 Α HE DID REACH THEIR APARTMENT. 3 DID YOU HEAR HIM IN THE BACKGROUND WHERE HIS -5-FATHER CALLED YOU? 6 Α I BELIEVE HE WAS TALKING TO HIS MOTHER, YES. 7 O AND YOU RECOGNIZE HIS VOICE? YES. Α WERE YOU AWARE THAT HE WAS PLACED INTO CUSTODY 9 THE NEXT DAY? 10 11 YES. Α 12 AND THEN DID YOU HAVE CONTACT WITH HIM AFTER HE 13 WAS PLACED INTO CUSTODY? NOT WITH HIM. I BELIEVE I HAD CONTACT WITH 14 Α 15 OFFICER DONLEY WHO RELEASED HIS KEYS AND WALLET TO ME. 16 BACK TO CHUCK HIMSELF, THOUGH? 0 NO. 17 Α DID YOU GO SEE HIM AT THE JAIL? 18 0 YES, I WENT. 19 Α 20 Q OKAY. 21 A VIRTUALLY EVERY OTHER DAY. THE PERIOD OF TIME BETWEEN OCTOBER 11 THROUGH --22 23 OCTOBER THE 10TH, OCTOBER 20TH, DO YOU KNOW WHERE CHUCK 24 JOHNSON WAS? 25 YES, I DO. Α 26 O WHERE? 27 A WEST VALLEY DETENTION CENTER. 28 Q HOW DO YOU KNOW PERSONALLY THAT HE WAS THERE?

1	A I WENT TO SEE HIM.
2	Q EVERY DAY?
3	A AT LEAST EVERY OTHER DAY, SOMETIMES EVERY DAY.
4	Q AS FAR AS YOU KNOW WAS HE IN CUSTODY AT WEST
5-	VALLEY DETENTION CENTER THROUGHOUT THE MONTH OF OCTOBER?
6	A YES, HE WAS.
7	Q OF '93?
8	A YES.
9	Q THAT'S BECAUSE YOU WENT TO VISIT HIM?
10	A YES.
11	Q DID YOU KNOW BRITTANY RETHORN RIGGS?
12	A FOR A BRIEF PERIOD OF TIME BEFORE HER DEATH,
13	YES, I DID.
L4	Q IS THAT FROM YOUR COMING OVER TO THE HOUSE?
L5	A YES, IT WAS.
L6	Q WHAT KIND OF A CHILD WAS SHE?
L7	THE COURT: I AM SORRY. I DON'T THINK YOU HAVE A
L8	FOUNDATION FOR THAT KIND OF QUESTION FOR SOMEONE WHO JUST
L9	MET HER ON OCCASION.
20	Q BY MS. SCHMAUSS: DID CHUCK EVER COMMENT ON HIS
21	FEELINGS ABAOUT BRITTANY TO YOU?
22	MR. ABLARD: I AM GOING TO OBJECT ON HEARSAY, LACK OF
23	FOUNDATION.
24	MS. SCHMAUSS: I CAN GIVE YOU AN OFFER OF PROOF IF YOU
25	WANT.
26	THE COURT: WELL, YOU BETTER.
27	MS. SCHMAUSS: DO YOU WANT IT
28	(SIDE BAR; NOT REPORTED.)

		567
	1	THE COURT: MOVE ALONG.
	2	Q BY MS. SCHMAUSS: MS. JOHNSON, WAS THERE EVER AN
	3	OCCASION THAT YOU VISITED THE DEFENDANT AT THE HOUSE AT
	4	EXETER?
AT MICH. THE P. CASA SEE LAND MICH.	5	A YES, MA'AM.
	6	Q PRIOR, OF COURSE, TO THE DEATH OF BRITTANY?
	7	A YES, THERE WAS.
	8	Q DO YOU RECALL WHEN IT WAS IN RELATION TO OCTOBER
	9	10TH, 1993 THAT YOU WERE AT THE HOUSE ON EXETER?
	10	A I'M SORRY.
	11	Q WELL, WHAT IS THE TIME FRAME THAT YOU WERE
	12	THERE?
	13	A ON THE 10TH?
	14	Q NO. IN RELATION TO THE 10TH, SO, WELL, LET ME
	. 15	BACK UP.
	16	ARE YOU AWARE THAT THE DEFENDANT LIVED AT THE HOUSE
	17	AT EXETER A SHORT PERIOD OF TIME?
	18	A YES.
	19	Q DO YOU KNOW ABOUT LONG?
	20	A APPROXIMATELY SIX WEEKS.
	21	Q SO, DURING THOSE SIX WEEKS, DID YOU EVER VISIT?
	22	A YES, I DID. SORRY.
a a	23	Q OKAY. HOW MANY TIMES WAS THAT?
	24	A TEN, FIFTEEN TIMES.
•	25	Q DID YOU EVER GO IN HIS ROOM?
	26	A YES.
	27	Q BEHIND YOU IS AN EXHIBIT MARKED 3 FOR
	28	IDENTIFICATION, IS A COUPLE OF PHOTOGRAPHS ON THE

1	RIGHT-HAND SIDE. DO YOU RECOGNIZE THOSE?
2	A YES, I DO.
3	Q WHAT ARE THEY?
4	A A IS THE HOUSE ON EXETER. B, THAT IS THE DOOR
5	TO CHUCK'S ROOM. C, THAT'S A PICTURE OF HIS ROOM, THE
6	FARTHER PART, THE OPEN CLOSET. AND D IS PICTURE OF THE
7	NIGHT STAND THAT LOOKING INTO THE CLOSET.
8	Q THE DISARRAY THAT'S SHOWN IN THOSE TWO PICTURES
9	OF THE DEFENDANT'S ROOM, IS THAT HOW HIS ROOM NORMALLY
10	LOOKED?
11	A AT TIMES, YEAH.
12	Q DURING THE TIME THAT YOU VISITED?
13	A YEAH.
14	Q OKAY, DID YOU EVER SPEND THE NIGHT THERE WITH
15	YOUR SON, TRAVIS?
16	A YES, I DID.
17	Q NOW, YOU HAD AT THE TIME, 1993, HOW MANY
18	CHILDREN DID YOU HAVE?
19	A IN 1993, AS OF SEPTEMBER 13, I HAD TWO.
20	Q OKAY. TRAVIS WAS HOW OLD?
21	A TRAVIS WAS APPROXIMATELY ELEVEN MONTHS.
22	Q AND THEN YOUR YOUNGER CHILD, HOW OLD WAS HE?
23	A APPROXIMATELY TWO AND A HALF WEEKS.
24	Q DID YOU SPEND THE NIGHT WITH BOTH CHILDREN OR
25	JUST THE ONE?
26	A WITH BOTH.
27	Q OKAY. AND WHEN YOU VISITED WITH THE TWO KIDS,
28	DID YOU BRING ALL THE BEDDING AND THINGS THAT THEY MIGHT

	569
1	NEED?
2	A YES, I DID ALWAYS.
3	Q HOW MANY TIMES DID YOU SPEND OVERNIGHT WITH THE
4	DEFENDANT WITH THE KIDS?
5	A ONCE.
6	Q AND WHEN WAS THAT IN RELATION TO THE TIME I AM
7	TALKING ABOUT?
8	A APPROXIMATELY THE WEEKEND. I WANT TO SAY THE
9	WEEKEND BEFORE THE INCIDENT. THE, THIS INCIDENT HAPPENED.
10	Q WAS THERE EVER A TIME THAT ANY OF YOUR CHILDREN
11	SPENT TIME ALONE WITH THE DEFENDANT IN HIS ROOM?
12	A ALONE, YES.
13	Q WHO WAS THAT?
14	A TRAVIS.
15	Q ONLY TRAVIS?
16	A YES.
17	Q NOT THE YOUNGER CHILD?
18	A HUH-UH. I WAS BREAST FEEDING AT THE TIME.
19	Q OKAY. AND WHEN WAS IT THAT TRAVIS SPENT
20	SOMETIME WITH THE DEFENDANT ALONE?
21	A HE SPENT TIME WITH HIM FREQUENTLY AT THE HOUSE
22	ON SEVERAL OCCASIONS. AT ONE POINT IN TIME, THERE WAS
23	ARRANGEMENT FOR HIM TO STAY THE NIGHT. BUT HE, I BELIEVE
24	HE DID END UP BRINGING HIM BACK LATE. I DON'T KNOW A
25	DATE.
26	Q YOU DON'T KNOW HOW SOON BEFORE BRITTANY'S DEATH?
27	A LET'S SEE. HER DEATH OCCURRED ON A SATURDAY.

28

WAS IT SATURDAY?

THE COURT: WELL, EXCUSE ME. 1 THE WITNESS: SUNDAY. 2 3 THE COURT: I'M SORRY. THE ANSWER IS YOU DON'T KNOW. THE WITNESS: I'M NOT REMEMBERING--5 THE COURT: THAT'S FINE. THE WITNESS: -- DAYS OF THE WEEK. 6 7 THE COURT: I'S OKAY NOT TO KNOW STUFF. DO YOU HAVE A LOT OF QUESTIONS THAT SHE DOESN'T HAVE 8 ANSWERS FOR? YOU HAVEN'T TALKED TO HER. 9 10 EXCUSE ME. WHEN I TALK, EVERYONE ELSE IS QUIET, 11 INCLUDING YOU. 12 THE WITNESS: SORRY. THE COURT: GOT THE MESSAGE. 13 14 THE WITNESS: PARDON ME. THE COURT: IF YOU HAVEN'T TALKED TO THIS WITNESS AND 15 YOU DON'T KNOW WHAT SHE IS TALKING ABOUT, OR HAVE ANY IDEA 16 WHAT THE ANSWERS ARE FOR HER QUESTIONS, SHE WILL HAVE TO 17 STEP DOWN. 18 19 MS. SCHMAUSS: I TALKED TO HER, YOUR HONOR. 20 THE COURT: WELL, LET US BE PRECISE, THEN. MS. SCHMAUSS: IT'S BEEN A COUPLE OF YEARS. MAYBE I 21 22. CAN ASK IT THIS WAY. BY MS. SCHMAUSS: WAS IT WITHIN THE SAME WEEK? 23 0 YES, IT WAS. 24 Α I AM GOING TO SHOW YOU EXHIBIT NUMBER I 25 OKAY. 0 26 THINK IT IS 5. EXHIBIT 5 FOR IDENTIFICATION. I AM GOING 27 TO ASK YOU IF YOU HAVE EVER SEEN THIS BLANKET BEFORE? 28 Α YES, I HAVE. I HAVE SEEN IT IN THE LAUNDRY AT

	I .	
1	THE HOUSE O	N EXETER.
2	Q 0	KAY. AND DOES IT BELONG TO YOU?
3	A N	O, IT DOES NOT.
4	Q D	OES IT BELONG TO CHUCK JOHNSON?
5	A N	O, IT DOES NOT.
6	Q I	N YOUR PRESENCE WAS THIS EVER USED WITH EITHER
7	OF YOUR CHI	LDREN?
8	A No	0.
9	Q A'	T THE TIME THAT TRAVIS SPENT ALONE AT THE HOUSE
10	AT EXETER W	ITH THE DEFENDANT, DID YOU SEND BLANKETS AND
11	OTHER THING	S WITH TRAVIS?
12	A A	LWAYS.
13	Q WI	HEN YOU GOT TRAVIS BACK THAT NIGHT AFTER HE
14	SPENT SOMET	IME WITH THE DEFENDANT, WAS TRAVIS BLEEDING IN
15	ANY WAY?	
16	A NO	ONE, NONE WHATSOEVER.
17	Q Al	ND THE NIGHT THAT YOU SPENT THE NIGHT WITH THE
18	TWO BOYS, D	ID EITHER OF THEM BLEED?
19	A NO	ONE WHATSOEVER.
20	Q D:	ID YOU BLEED?
21	A NO	o.
22	Q YO	OU STARTED TO MENTION ABOUT OFFICER DONLEY
23	GIVING YOU	PERMISSION TO GO TO THE HOUSE, PICK UP SOME
24	PROPERTY. 1	DO YOU REMEMBER WHAT DATE THAT WAS?
25	A TI	HAT WAS THE DATE OF HIS ARREST.
26	Q 01	KAY. AND DID YOU TAKE SOME OF HIS PROPERTY
27	HOME WITH YO	OU?
28	A YI	ES, I DID.

1	Q WHAT KIND OF PROPERTY?
2	A I STRIPPED EVERYTHING THAT WAS ON THE FLOOR THAT
3,	YOU SEE IN THIS PICTURE. HIS TOOL BOX, I STARTED TO TAKE.
4	BUT I DID RELEASE THEM. I DID GIVE THEM TO THEM, TO
5	OFFICER DONLEY AT THE HOUSE AND WAS TAKEN TO MONTCLAIR
6	POLICE DEPARTMENT. BUT EVERYTHING ELSE.
7	THE COURT: WHAT ELSE?
8	THE WITNESS: EVERYTHING ELSE YOU SEE.
9	THE COURT: YOU SAW THEM TAKE TO THE POLICE
10	DEPARTMENT?
11	THE WITNESS: I SAW HIM TAKE IT FROM THE HOUSE.
L2	THE COURT: YOU SAID YOU SAW HIM TAKE IT TO THE
L3	POLICE DEPARTMENT.
L4	THE WITNESS: I APOLOGIZE. I SAW HIM TAKE IT FROM
L5	THE HOUSE.
L6	THE COURT: WHY DON'T YOU JUST ANSWER THE QUESTIONS
L7	THAT ARE ASKED. NEXT QUESTION.
L 8 <sub>.</sub>	MS. SCHMAUSS: I HAVE NO FURTHER QUESTIONS.
L9	THE COURT: THANK YOU. CROSS.
20	MR. ABLARD: I HAVE NOTHING.
21	THE COURT: THANK YOU. YOU CAN STEP DOWN.
22	MS. SCHMAUSS: NEXT WITNESS WILL BE LISA WOOD.
23	THE COURT: THANK YOU.
24	MR. ABLARD: MAY WE APPROACH?
25	THE COURT: CERTAINLY. START BRINGING THE OTHER
26	WITNESS IN, THOUGH.
27	(SIDE BAR; NOT REPORTED)
28	THE COURT: FOLKS, DON'T GO ANYWHERE.

	5/3
1	(THE FOLLOWING PROCEEDINGS WERE HELD IN CAMERA:)
2	THE COURT: OKAY. WE ARE IN THE IN CAMERA WITH THE
3	COURT REPORTER, CLERK, MR. ABLARD.
4	YOU WANT YOUR CLIENT IN HERE?
5	MR. ABLARD: NO.
6	THE COURT: THANK YOU.
7	MR. ABLARD: HE IS WAIVED.
8	THE COURT: WELL, I WILL BRING HIM IN IF YOU WANT.
9	MR. ABLARD: NO. HE WAIVED ALL APPEARANCES BACK
10	HERE.
11	THE COURT: OKAY. THAT'S FINE. THE NEXT WITNESS IS
12	WHO?
13	MS. SCHMAUSS: LISA WOOD.
14	THE COURT: LISS WOOD. LISA WOOD IS GOING TO SAY IN
15	19
16	MS. SCHMAUSS: EASTER OF '91, SHE, ANGELA, AND CHUCK
17	JOHNSON WERE ALL VISITING. AND THEY ARE TALKING ABOUT
18	MR. JOHNSON'S KNOWLEDGE OF SELF DEFENSE AND WHAT THEY
19	WOULD DO IF SOMEONE CAME UP BEHIND THEM.
20	THE COURT: YES, MA'AM.
21	MS. SCHMAUSS: NONE OF
22	THE COURT: YEP. NONE OF THAT HAS ANYTHING TO DO
23	WITH THE KILLING.
24	MS. SCHMAUSS: SHE SAID SHE SAID THE PERFECT WAY TO
25	KILL SOMEONE IS TO PUT A BAG OVER THEIR HEAD AND A TIE
26	AROUND THEIR NECK. AND THEY WOULD SUFFOCATE SLOWLY.
27	THE COURT: THANK YOU.
28	MS. SCHMAUSS: HE SAID FINGERPRINTS WOULD NOT BE

1 OBTAINABLE. 2 THE COURT: HOW IS THAT RELEVANT TO THE CASE NOW THAT WE HAVE DETERMINED THAT THE CAUSE OF DEATH IS NOT A BAG ON 3 4 HER FACE, BUT IN FACT A BLANKET WITH BLOOD AND SALIVA ON 5 IT? MS. SCHMAUSS: SHE WAS SUFFOCATED. 6 7 THE COURT: YES, SHE WAS. MS. SCHMAUSS: AND A PLASTIC BAG WAS USED AT SOME POINT. 9 10 MR. ABLARD: IF I MAY, WE HAVE TWO--11 THE COURT: THAT DOESN'T APPEAR TO BE THE EVIDENCE. 12 MR. ABLARD: SHERIDAN SAID THAT THE PLASTIC BAG WAS 13 NOT THE CAUSE OF DEATH. THE OFFICER KELLY FOR THE FIRST TIME IN THREE BOXES OF MATERIAL SAID ANYTHING ABOUT A HEAD 14 15 BEING IN A BAG, ATTEMPTED TO TIE IT WITH THE TIE. THAT 16 HAS NEVER BEEN ANYWHERE. IT WAS NOT TRUE. AND NOW, WE 17 ARE IN A POSITION TO SEE THAT IT WAS MERELY LAYING OVER 18 AND IT IS NOT THE CAUSE OF DEATH. 19 MS. SCHMAUSS: DR. SHERIDAN SAID IT COULD HAVE BEEN 20 USED. 21 MR. ABLARD: NO. MS. SCHMAUSS: THERE WAS CONFUSION ABOUT WHAT--22 23 THE COURT: NOT IN MY MIND. TIE WASN'T USED. NO STRANGULATION MARKS. KELLY EVENTUALLY SAID THAT THE BAG 24 25 WAS NOT OVER HER-- HER HEAD WAS NOT IN THE BAG. 26 SO, THERE WAS NO BAG PLACED OVER HER HEAD AND TIED.

27 SIMILAR TO THE TESTIMONY THAT YOU EXPECT TO ELICIT, 28 DOESN'T TIE UP ANY MORE THAN I THOUGHT IT DID.

MS. SCHMAUSS: KNOW. 1 2 THE COURT: I THOUGHT THAT YOUR EVIDENCE WAS AS KELLY FIRST SAID THAT THERE WOULD BE A BAG OVER HER HEAD-- I DON'T MEAN OVER HER FACE, BUT OVER HER HEAD-- AND THAT 4 THERE WAS A TIE MAYBE LOOSELY TIED, MAYBE NOT, CAN'T TELL. BUT ALL THE EVIDENCE CLEARLY NOW SUGGESTS THAT THAT MAY 6 7 HAVE BEEN AN ACCIDENTAL LOCATION OF THE BAG. I MEAN, COME 8 ON. HE IS-- WE KNOW HE DID IT. PUSHED DOWN, GOT SALIVA, GOT THE BLOOD FROM HER. HELD HER DOWN WITH THE BLANKET 9 10 UNTIL SHE DIED. DON'T WE KNOW THAT? MS. SCHMAUSS: I THINK WE DO. 11 12 THE COURT: I THINK WE NOW KNOW THAT. AND SO THE 13 SOLE STATEMENT WAY BACK WHEN IS THE PREJUDICE OUTWEIGHS THE PROBATIVE VALUE SINCE THE CAUSE OF DEATH I THINK 14 15 OVERWHELMINGLY IS CLEARLY SOMETHING OTHER THAN A BAG OVER 16 TIED OVER HER HEAD. 17 MS. SCHMAUSS: I UNDERSTAND. 18 THE COURT: ASPHYXIA. 19 MS. SCHMAUSS: SO, SHE HAS NOTHING ELSE TO SAY. 20 THEREFORE, I WON'T CALL HER. THE COURT: THANK YOU. THEN WE ARE BACK TO DONLEY. 21 22 THE SAME. BECAUSE DOESN'T CHANGE. ANY OTHER PEOPLE WHO 23 COULD HAVE KILLED HER. 24 (THE FOLLOWING PROCEEDINGS WERE HELD IN OPEN COURT:) 25 THE COURT: REMEMBER YOU ARE GOING TO NEED THIS

28 THE COURT: AFTER WE DO YOUR WORK THIS AFTERNOON.

MS. SCHMAUSS: OFFICER DONLEY. YES, I WILL.

WITNESS TOMORROW, I BELIEVE.

26

	373
1	MS. SCHMAUSS: YES, YOUR HONOR.
2	THE COURT: JUST REMINDING YOU.
3	MS. SCHMAUSS: OKAY.
4	MICHAEL DONLEY,
5	HAVING BEEN PREVIOUSLY SWORN, RESUMED THE STAND, WAS
6	EXAMINED, AND TESTIFIED AS FOLLOWS:
7	DIRECT EXAMINATION, RESUMED
8	BY MS. SCHMAUSS:
9	Q OFFICER DONLEY, YESTERDAY WE WERE TALKING ABOUT
10	TIMES AND PLACES. AND BACK TO THE 10TH OF OCTOBER, 1993,
11	DID YOU GO TO DOCTOR'S HOSPITAL AT SOME POINT?
12	A YES, I WENT TO DOCTOR'S HOSPITAL AFTER I DROPPED
13	MR. JOHNSON OFF AT THE STATION.
14	Q AND THEN DID YOU RETURN TO THE HOUSE AT EXETER
15	AT SOME POINT?
16	A YES. IT WAS ABOUT THIRTY, FORTY MINUTES AFTER
17	THAT.
18	Q SO, THAT WOULD GET US TO THE HOUSE AT EXETER ON
19	THE 10TH ABOUT WHAT TIME?
20	A ABOUT 1, 1. RIGHT AROUND 1:00 IN THE AFTERNOON.
21	Q AND WHO WAS THERE WHEN YOU GOT THERE?
22	A OFFICER KELLY.
23	Q AND NO CIVILIANS; CORRECT?
24	A NO.
25	Q WHEN YOU WERE AT DOCTOR'S HOSPITAL OR LATER AT
26	THE AUTOPSY, DID YOU FINGERPRINT THE VICTIM'S BODY?
27	A NO.
28	Q WHY NOT?

1	A WELL, IT IS ONLY DONE IN RARE CASES. I HAVE
2	NEVER DONE IT NOR HAVE I EVER SEEN IT DONE. AND IN A
3	SITUATION LIKE THIS, WHERE SHE OBVIOUSLY WAS INTUBATED AND
4	HAD PEOPLE TOUCHING HER FACE THE ENTIRE MORNING, IT WOULD,
5	I DON'T BELIEVE BE VERY PRODUCTIVE. ALSO, THE FACT THAT
6	THE MOTHER OBVIOUSLY TOUCHED THE CHILD THE NIGHT BEFORE
7	AND MR. JOHNSON I BELIEVE GAVE HER A HUG THE NIGHT BEFORE,
8	so.
9	Q WOULDN'T HAVE YIELDED ANY RESULTS THAT WOULD BE
10	USEFUL?
11	A NOT IN MY OPINION.
12	Q HAVE YOU HEARD IN SEMINARS YOU HAVE GONE ABOUT
13	THIS PROCESS OF FINGERPRINTING THE BODY?
14	A I HAVE READ LITERATURE. I HAVE NEVER ACTUALLY
15	NEVER ACTUALLY HEARD ANYBODY TALK ABOUT IT. BUT
16	Q IS IT A VERY
17	A I HAVE NEVER SEEN IT DONE.
18	QDIFFICULT PROCEDURE?
19	A THAT, I DON'T KNOW.
20	MS. SCHMAUSS: OKAY. I HAVE NO FURTHER QUESTIONS,
21	YOUR HONOR.
22	THE COURT: OKAY. SUBJECT TO RECALL FOR FOUNDATION
23	TOMORROW.
24	MS. SCHMAUSS: CORRECT.
25	MR. ABLARD: YES. THANK YOU.
26	THE COURT: OTHER THAN THAT, DO YOU HAVE ANY
27	QUESTIONS?
28	MR. ABLARD: YES, I DO, YOUR HONOR.

1	CROSS-EXAMINATION
2	BY MR. ABLARD:
3	Q YOU HAD MENTIONED IN THE BEGINNING OF YOUR
4	TESTIMONY YESTERDAY AFTERNOON WE HAD TALKED ABOUT YOU
5	MENTIONED SOMETHING ABOUT AT SOME POINT THE SCENE HAS
6	BEEN PROCESSED?
7	A YES.
8	Q OKAY. WHAT DOES THAT MEAN?
9	A WELL, BASICALLY IT HAD BEEN GONE THROUGH AND
LO	CHECKED.
L1	Q OKAY. ONCE A SCENE HAS BEEN PROCESSED, DOES
L2	THAT MEAN THE INVESTIGATION IS OVER?
L3	A NO.
L4	Q WHAT DAY, IN OTHER WORDS, ALL ONCE IT HAS BEEN
L5	PROCESSED, ALL THE EVIDENCE AND WHAT ELSE IS THERE LEFT TO
L6	DO AFTER IT'S BEEN PROCESSED?
L7	A YOU MEAN TO THE SCENE?
L8	Q YES?
L9	A OR WHAT A ONCE IT HAS BEEN PROCESSED AND YOU
20	HAVE GOT ALL THE EVIDENCE THAT YOU WANT OUT, THEN YOU CAN
21	OPEN IT UP AND AS I DID, AND LET HIS WIFE GET THE STUFF
22	OUT.
23	Q OKAY. WELL, THAT'S WHAT I WAS INTERESTED IN. I
24	WAS CURIOUS. ONCE IT HAS BEEN PROCESSED AND ALL THE
25	EVIDENCE IS OUT, IN OTHER WORDS, IN THIS PARTICULAR SCENE
26	EVERYBODY WAS OUT THAT YOU WANTED OUT FOR EVIDENCE WHEN AT
27	THE TIME YOU SAID IT HAS BEEN PROCESSED?
2.8	A T DON'T RECALL AT WHAT POINT I WAS REFERRING TO

1	IT BEING COMPLETED OR PROCESSED.
2	Q I BELIEVE YOU TESTIFIED IT WAS ON OCTOBER THE
3	13TH?
4	A WELL, THE 12TH WAS THE DAY WE WENT BACK AND
-5	COMPLETED COLLECTING EVIDENCE. ON THE 13TH HIS WIFE WENT
6	THROUGH IT.
7	Q RIGHT. WAS IT ON THE 13TH THAT THE SCENE HAS
8	BEEN PROCESSED? IN OTHER WORDS, THE PROCESS OF COLLECTING
9	EVIDENCE WAS OVER. THIS LAST PIECE OF EVIDENCE THAT I
10	COLLECTED WAS THE TOOL BOX FROM HIS WIFE.
11	Q AND YOU HAD GONE OVER TO THE HOUSE WITH HER?
12	A YES. OR I HAD MET HER THERE. I DON'T REMEMBER
L3	IF I DROVE HER THERE.
L4	Q YEAH?
L5	A OR WHAT.
L6	Q YOU-ALL ENDED UP THERE AT THE SAME
Ļ7	A WE ENDED UP THERE, YES.
L8	Q AND YOU WENT INSIDE WITH HER?
L9	A YES.
20	Q OKAY. YOU WALKED BACK INTO THE BEDROOM WITH
21	HER?
22	A SHE WALKED BACK THERE. AND I KIND OF LEFT HER
23	ALONE. I MEAN, I WALKED BACK TO THE BEDROOM. AND ONCE
24	SHE WAS INSIDE THE ROOM, I DIDN'T STAY RIGHT WITH HER.
25	Q RIGHT. SORT OF STAYED AROUND THE FRONT LIVING
26	ROOM AREA?
27	A THE KITCHEN AREA, HALLWAY.
28	O VES RICHT WELL THE KITCHEN AREA IS THE

1	HALLWAY STARTS. AND THE KITCHEN AREA IS THROUGH THE SIDE
2	OF THE HALLWAY AT THE ENTRANCE; RIGHT?
3	A RIGHT.
4	Q OKAY. SO, SHE WAS IN THERE DOING WHATEVER SHE
-5	DOES; RIGHT?
6	A YES.
7	Q AND PICKING UP SOME OF THOSE CLOTHES AND TOOL
8	BOX. THAT SCENE IN HIS ROOM HAD ALREADY BEEN PROCESSED.
9	ANYTHING YOU NEEDED, YOU HAD TAKEN?
LO	A AS FAR AS I WAS CONCERNED, YES.
L1	Q OKAY. AND YOU WERE THE LEAD INVESTIGATOR?
L2	A YES.
L3	Q NOW, AFTER THE SCENE WAS PROCESSED, DO YOU TAKE
L4	OFF THESE LITTLE EVIDENCE SEAL THINGS THAT YOU TALKED
L5	ABOUT?
L6	A USUALLY, THEY STAY ON THERE. THEY SOMETIMES
L7	AFTER THEY TEAR, THEY COME OFF. I DON'T MAKE A PRACTICE
L8	OF ACTUALLY RIPPING THEM OFF. IS THAT WHAT YOU ARE ASKING.
L9	Q BUT ONCE THEY ARE BROKEN, YOU DON'T REPLACE THEM
0 20	AFTER IT'S BEEN PROCESSED?
21	A IF IT'S BEEN PROCESSED, NO.
22	Q AND THIS HAD BEEN PROCESSED? THIS SCENE HAS
23	BEEN PROCESSED ON OCTOBER THE 13TH, CORRECT?
24	A YES.
25	Q AND WHEN SHE WENT INTO JOHNSON'S ROOM, WAS IT
26	SEALED WHEN SHE WENT IN?
27	A I DON'T BELIEVE. I DON'T REMEMBER FOR SURE.
2.8	BUT T DON'T BELIEVE SO.

1	Q YOU, SINCE IT HAS BEEN PROCESSED, YOU DIDN'T
2	REPLACE THE SEAL?
3	A NO.
4	Q OKAY. NO ONE AT YOUR DIRECTION REPLACED THE
5-	SEAL?
6	A NO.
7	Q HOW ABOUT TO THE FRONT DOOR?
8	A THERE WAS NO SEAL ON THE FRONT DOOR EITHER.
9	Q THERE WAS NO SEAL ON THE FRONT DOOR BEFORE THE
LO	13TH?
L1	A I DON'T RECALL ONE. OFFICER BALES SEEMED TO
L2	THINK THERE WAS ONE. BUT I DON'T REMEMBER IT.
L3	Q WOULD THAT BE SOMETHING YOU WOULD KNOW SINCE YOU
L <b>4</b>	WERE THE HEAD OF THE INVESTIGATION?
L5	A IF I HAD PUT IT THERE, I WOULD KNOW.
L6	Q OKAY. WOULD IT BE PUT THERE AT YOUR DIRECTION
L7	OR TAKEN OFF AT YOUR DIRECTION, OR IS THAT JUST THE
L8	PATROLMAN, THAT THEY SEE FIT?
L9	A BALES MIGHT HAVE DONE IT AS HE SAW FIT.
20	Q HOW DOES IT WORK IN A HOMICIDE INVESTIGATION?
21	WHO WAS YOUR INVESTIGATION INVESTIGATIVE TEAM?
22	A WELL, ACTUALLY, IT STARTS FROM THE DISPATCHER
23	ON. THE ACTUAL PERSON THAT CALLED THE SHOTS ON THE ACTUAL
24	DATE OF THE DEATH WAS SERGEANT LEONI. AND THEN ON THE
25	FOLLOWING MONDAY, THE NEXT DAY, LIEUTENANT PIPER SORT OF
26	TOOK OVER AND SERVED OUT THE ENTIRE INVESTIGATION:
27	Q HANDS-ON TYPE OF SITUATION?
28	A WELL, IT WAS WITH LEONI. PIPER BASICALLY DOES

_		
1		S ALL LIEUTENANTS DO, SIT BACK AND TELL YOU WHAT
2	TO DO.	
3	Q	BUT LEONI WAS SORT OF A HANDS-ON SORT OF
4	SITUATION	. HE WAS THERE AT THE ORIGINAL CALL?
5	A	RIGHT. SO, HE, YES, I MET WITH HIM AND BALES
6	BEFORE I	EVEN GOT STARTED IN THIS THING.
7	Q	RIGHT. AND DID HE DESIGNATE YOU AS THE LEAD
8	INVESTIGA	FOR?
9	, A	THAT WAS DONE BY LIEUTENANT PIPER.
10	Q	OKAY. ALL RIGHT. NOW, YOU MENTIONED YESTERDAY
11	THAT THER	E WEREN'T ANY THAT THE DEFENSE TEAM, I GUESS,
12	THE OTHER	LAWYER HAD SOME FINGERPRINTING DONE ON THE
13	PLASTIC BA	AG AND TOOL BOX?
14	А	SENT SOMEBODY OUT TO DO IT, YES.
15	Q	AND YOU WERE PRESENT?
16	A	YES.
17	Q	OKAY. YOU WATCHED THIS HAPPEN?
18	A	YES.
19	Q	AND YOU, YOURSELF, TRIED TO TAKE FINGERPRINTS
20	OFF ITEMS	IN THE PAST. YOU KNOW WHAT THAT IS?
21	A	CORRECT.
22	Q	AND THERE WERE NO FINGERPRINTS ON THE TOOL BOX?
23		THAT WAS MY UNDERSTANDING. THAT'S WHAT HE TOLD
24	ME.	
25	Q	OKAY. YOU WERE RIGHT THERE. DID YOU LET HIM DO
26	IT?	
27	A	YES.
28	Q	DID YOU SEE ANYHOW DID HE DO THAT?

1	A WELL, HE DID IT OVER A TWO-TIME PERIOD. IN
2	OTHER WORDS, ONE TIME, HE CAME IN AND DID THE FINGERPRINT
3	ON THE INSIDE. AND THEN HE CAME BACK ON A DIFFERENT DATE
4	AND FINGERPRINTED THE OUTSIDE OF IT. BUT HE DUSTED IT AND
5	HE TRIED TO LIFT IT WITH THE TAPE. AND HE TOLD ME HE
6	COULDN'T GET ANYTHING.
7	Q THERE WAS NOTHING THERE?
8	A WELL, HE SAID HE COULDN'T LIFT ANY FINGERPRINTS.
9	Q OKAY. NOW, THE BAG, HE COULDN'T GET ANY PRINTS
10	OFF THE BAG?
11	A THAT'S NOT. HE COULD NOT.
12	Q DID HE WELL, DID HE TELL YOU WHY?
13	A NO. BASICALLY HE JUST LOOKED AT IT AND I
14	BELIEVE HE PUT SOME POWDER ON IT. BUT HE JUST SAID THERE
15	WAS NO WAY.
16	Q DID HE MENTION TO YOU THAT HAD IT BEEN PRESERVED
17	PROPERLY, MIGHT HAVE BEEN ABLE TO GET SOME PRINTS OFF OF
18	IT?
19	A NO.
20	Q ARE YOU AWARE YOU CAN GET FINGERPRINTS OFF OF
21	PLASTIC BAGS LIKE THAT IF PRESERVED PROPERLY?
22	A IF IT IS SMOOTH AND UNWRINKLED AND UNSTRETCHED,
23	SOMETIMES YOU CAN GET IT THROUGH A PROCESS CALLED
24	SUPERGLUING. BUT THE BAG IN THE CONDITION IT WAS, I
25	DON'T KNOW IF YOU'D BE ABLE TO GET PRINTS OFF OF IT OR
26	NOT.
27	Q IT'S BEEN ALL CRINKLED UP?

CRINKLED UP, YES.

1 0 NOW, SPEAKING OF MR. JOHNSON'S ROOM AND THE BAG 2 AND EVERYTHING? 3 Α YES. YOU, ON THE DAY, WAS IT THAT YOU -- WAS IT ON THE 4 10TH THAT YOU AND OFFICER KELLY AND BALES SEARCHED THE 5 6 ROOM? 7 YES. Α OKAY. AND YOU MENTIONED YESTERDAY THAT WHAT DID 8 YOU CALL IT? DID YOU NOT CONSIDER IT A CRIME SCENE AND SO 9 10 THE SEARCH WOULD HAVE BEEN DIFFERENT HAD IT BEEN A CRIME 11 SCENE? 12 Α RIGHT. 13 OKAY. NOW, WHY WAS IT NOT CONSIDERED A CRIME SCENE WHEN YOU AND BALES AND KELLY SEARCHED IT? 14 WHEN I GOT THERE, THE ONLY INFORMATION THAT I 15 Α HAD FROM SERGEANT LEONI AND OFFICER BALES WAS THAT IT WAS 16 17 SUSPICIOUS DEATH. WE OBVIOUSLY HAD A CHILD THAT WAS 18 DECEASED. I HADN'T HAD A CHANCE TO TALK TO MR. JOHNSON AT 19 THIS POINT. I WAS INTERESTED IN GETTING IN THERE AND 20 FINDING OUT HOW SHE WAS OR HOW SHE DIED ALONG WITH IF 21 THERE WAS EVIDENCE AS TO WHO DID IT, I WAS INTERESTED IN 22 THAT. BUT AT THAT POINT, I DIDN'T KNOW IF WE HAD A 23 HOMICIDE. I DIDN'T KNOW IF WE HAD A CHILD THAT SUFFOCATED 24 BY HERSELF OR I JUST DIDN'T KNOW. AND SO, I BASICALLY, I 25 WENT IN THERE JUST SEARCHING FOR EVIDENCE, CANDY THAT SHE 26 MIGHT HAVE SWALLOWED, OR I MEAN, THAT'S BASICALLY WHY. 27 IT DID NOT BECOME A CRIME SCENE UNTIL AFTER I TALKED

TO MR. JOHNSON AND AFTER I WENT TO THE AUTOPSY. AND ONCE

1	I WAS ASSURED BY DR. SHERIDAN THAT IT WAS IN FACT AT THE
2	HANDS OF ANOTHER, THEN IT BECAME A CRIME SCENE.
3	Q BEFORE YOU WENT TO SEARCH ON THE 10TH, HAD YOU
4	BEEN TO THE HOSPITAL WHERE THE CHILD WAS TAKEN?
5	A YES.
6	Q DID YOU SPEAK WITH ANYONE THERE?
7	A I SPOKE WITH THE DEPUTY CORONER.
8	Q AND DID WHAT DID HE MENTION TO YOU?
9	A HE JUST MENTIONED THAT THERE WAS NO REAL OUTWARD
LO	SIGNS OF INJURY OTHER THAN THERE WAS SOME BLEEDING FROM
11	THE FLAP OF SKIN THAT HOLDS THE UPPER LIP AND THE GUM. I
12	DON'T KNOW THE MEDICAL TERM FOR IT. AND ALSO A LITTLE
L3	BIT OF BLOOD AND I BELIEVE IT WAS HER RIGHT EAR.
L4	Q HE TOLD YOU THE FRENULUM WAS TORN; RIGHT, THAT
L5	LITTLE PART OF THE UPPER HERE?
L6	A I DON'T REMEMBER IF THAT WAS HIS TERM. I
L7	REFERRED TO IT AS A FLAP OF SKIN.
18	Q RIGHT.
L9	A I DON'T KNOW. IF HE TOLD ME THAT, I WOULDN'T
20	HAVE KNOWN WHAT THAT MEANT.
21	Q OKAY. DID YOU DISCUSS WHAT THAT MEANT WITH
22	DEPUTY CORONER MORROW?
23	A HE PULLED HER LIP BACK AND SHOWED ME THE
24	ABRASION OR THE TEAR.
25	Q OKAY. DID HE TELL YOU WHAT THAT WAS INDICATIVE
26	OF?
27	A NO.
28	Q HE DIDN'T?

			586
1	A	NO.	
2	Q	NO?	
3	A	NOT THAT I RECALL.	
4	Q	I'M SORRY. YOU SAID WHAT YOU DIDN'T	
5	<b>A</b>	NOT THAT I RECALL HIM EXPLAINING WHAT IT WAS	** ** ** ** **************************
6	Q	OH, I DON'T THINK HE GAVE ME ANY MEDICAL	
7	INFORMATI(	ON.	
8	Q	OKAY. LET ME ASK YOU. AT THE TIME OF THIS	
9	INCIDENT,	WHAT, YOU WERE A HOMICIDE DETECTIVE; RIGHT?	
10	A	AT ONE TIME, I WAS. NOT AT THIS PARTICULAR	
11	TIME. I F	AD ROTATED OUT OF THE DETECTIVE BUREAU.	
12	Q	WHEN WERE YOU ARE A HOMICIDE DETECTIVE?	
13	A	I BELIEVE IT WAS THE YEARS '88 THROUGH '90,	
14	THREE YEAR	RS.	
15	Q .	OKAY. AND DURING THAT PERIOD OF TIME, DID YO	U
16	EVER DEAL	WITH ANY SUFFOCATION TYPE HOMICIDES?	
17	A	I DEALT WITH A STRANGULATION.	
18	Q	DID YOU EVER DEAL WITH ANY SUFFOCATION-TYPE	
19	HOMICIDES		
20	A	NOT THAT I CAN RECALL.	
21	Q	NOW, THE SEARCH ON THE 10TH IN THAT ROOM, IT	WAS
22	MORE CASUA	AL THAN HAD IT BEEN POSSIBLE HOMICIDE SCENE;	
23	RIGHT?		
24	A	IT WAS A LOT MORE CASUAL THAN IF WE WERE TO H	(AVE
25	FOUND A BO	DDY THAT WAS DEAD IN A FIELD. I MEAN, YEAH.	IT
26	WAS A LOT	MORE CASUAL.	
27	Q	AT SOME POINT THOUGH ONCE IT WAS CONSIDERED A	7
28	SCENE OF A	A POSSIBLE HOMICIDE, THE CRIME SCENE	

	307
1	INVESTIGATION I TAKE IT WOULD SET UP IN A DIFFERENT
2	CATEGORY?
,3	A YES.
4	Q OKAY. AND WHEN IT STEPPED UP TO THAT CATEGORY,
5	WHAT THEN WOULD HAPPEN AS FAR AS WHAT YOU WOULD DO PER A
6	CRIME SCENE INVESTIGATION?
7	A WELL, WE WENT BACK TO THE RESIDENCE AND PICKED
8	OFFICER BALES PICKED UP THE BED SHEET AND THE ORANGE
9	JUICE. AND BASICALLY, THAT'S ALL THAT WE REALLY FELT WE
10	NEEDED AT THAT TIME.
11	Q AND WHEN DID HE GO BACK? WHEN WAS THAT?
12	A THAT WAS ON THE 11TH. THAT WAS THE DATE THAT
13	MR. JOHNSON WAS ARRESTED.
14	Q OKAY. THEN YOU'D GONE BACK AGAIN ON THE 12TH
15	TO DO MORE CRIME-SCENE INVESTIGATIONS?
16	A I DON'T RECALL IF WE WENT BACK ON THE 12TH OR
17	NOT.
18	Q DO YOU HAVE ANY NOTES THAT WOULD REFLECT WHETHER
19	OR NOT YOU WENT BACK ON THE 12TH?
20	A I HAVE TO LOOK HERE.
21	Q VERY WELL. THAT'S OKAY.
22	A THE ONLY SECOND OR THE THIRD TIME WOULD BE THE
23	ITEM WITH THE TOOL BOX IS ALL I CAN TELL YOU THAT I WAS ON
24	THE 13TH.
25	Q THAT'S FINE. I MEAN, I TAKE IT THAT WHAT YOUR
26	THOUGHT WAS THAT YOU HAD A DEAD CHILD. YOU DIDN'T KNOW
27	WHAT THE PROBLEM WAS. AND SO YOU WENT BACK, SEARCHED THE
28	ROOM TO SEE IF THERE WAS SOMETHING SHE CHOKED ON OR

1	WHATEVER?
2	A YES.
3	Q RIGHT. THAT WAS AROUND 1 OR 2 IN THE AFTERNOON
4	ON THE 10TH.
5	A AROUND 1:00, YES.
6	Q NOW, IN YOUR REPORT OF 10-10, SUPPLEMENT TWO, IT
7	SAYS ON 10-10 AT APPROXIMATELY 1100 HOURS I WAS CONTACTED
8	AT HOME IN REFERENCE TO A POSSIBLE HOMICIDE WHICH HAD
9	OCCURRED AT 9393 EXETER IN THE CITY OF MONTCLAIR?
10	A RIGHT.
11	Q RIGHT. NOW, THAT WAS BY SERGEANT PIPER?
12	A NO. IT WAS THROUGH DISPATCH.
13	Q OKAY. SO, DISPATCH TELLS YOU AT 11:00 THERE'S A
14	POSSIBLE HOMICIDE?
15	A NO. THEY TOLD ME IT WAS A SUSPICIOUS DEATH.
16	Q WHAT DOES YOUR REPORT SAY?
17	A THE REPORTS SAYS A POSSIBLE HOMICIDE. THAT'S
18	WHAT I AM PUTTING DOWN WHEN I AM WRITING THE REPORT AFTER
19	I HAVE TALKED TO MR. JOHNSON.
20	Q OKAY. SO, YOUR REPORT SAYS THAT THEY CALL YOU
21	AT HOME WITH THE POSSIBLE HOMICIDE. BUT WHAT REALLY
22	HAPPENED IS THEY CALL YOU AT HOME WITH THE SUSPICIOUS
23	DEATH?
24	A THEY TOLD ME WHEN THEY CALLED ME WAS IT WAS A
25	SUSPICIOUS DEATH AND THEN SHE WENT ON AND SHE EXPLAINED
26	WHAT THE CIRCUMSTANCES THEY SAID THEY DIDN'T KNOW
27	EXACTLY WHAT IT WAS. THAT'S WHAT I WAS PERCEIVING IT AS.

Q BUT THAT'S NOT WHAT YOU PUT IN YOUR REPORT?

	589
1	A WELL, I PUT IN THERE POSSIBLE HOMICIDE.
2	Q RIGHT.
3	A YES.
4	Q OKAY. SO, NONETHELESS YOU DIDN'T TREAT IT LIKE
5	A POSSIBLE HOMICIDE IN YOUR SEARCH. AND YOU WENT AND
6	SEARCHED THE ROOM; RIGHT?
7	A NOT ON THE 10TH, NO.
8	Q DIDN'T YOU AND BALES AND KELLY DIDN'T SEARCH THE
9	HOUSE I MEAN THE ROOM ON THE 10TH?
10	A WELL, I BELIEVE YOU JUST SAID AS A CRIME SCENE.
11	Q I DON'T THINK I DID. BUT IF I DID, I
12	APOLOGIZE?
13	A WELL, I APOLOGIZE IF YOU DIDN'T.
14	Q OKAY.
15	A I AM JUST SAYING ON THE 10TH, WE WENT IN THERE
16	AND DID A SEARCH TO TRY AND FIND OUT WHAT BRITTANY DIED
17	FROM.
18	Q AND WHEN DID YOU GO IN THERE FOR THE CRIME-SCENE
19	SEARCH?
20	A THAT WAS ON THE NEXT DAY.
21	Q AND?
22	A THE 11TH.
23	Q DID YOU BAG UP ALL THESE CLOTHES AND ALL THESE
24	THINGS AND TAKE THEM DOWN?
25	A NO.
26	Q DID YOU WHAT DAY WAS IT YESTERDAY YOU WERE
27	TALKING ABOUT YOU LIFTED A BED?
28	A THAT WAS ON THE 10TH.

1	Q AND YOU DIDN'T MOVE THE BED OVER, YOU LIFTED IT
2	UP?
3	A YES, I JUST LIFTED THE MATTRESS AND BOX SPRINGS
4	UP.
5	Q AND THEN YESTERDAY, YOU WERE REMEMBERING WHETHER
6	OR NOT YOU SAW THAT BLANKET. BUT YOU DON'T KNOW; DO YOU?
7	A I SAW A BLANKET WHICH I PERCEIVED AS A CHILD'S
8	BLANKET. I COULDN'T EVEN BEGIN TO TELL YOU IF I HAD A
9	PATTERN ON IT OR IF IT WAS DISNEY OR ANY OTHER THING. IT
10	JUST DIDN'T LOOK OUT OF PLACE. BECAUSE OF THE OTHER
11	BECAUSE OF THE KIDS CLOTHING.
12	Q SO, EVERYTHING THERE LOOKED SORT OF OUT OF PLACE
13	BUT NOT OUT OF PLACE?
14	A RIGHT. IT DIDN'T LOOK UNUSUAL CONSIDERING IT
15	WAS WITH CHILDREN'S CLOTHING AND TOYS AND THINGS LIKE
16	THAT.
17	Q AT THAT TIME, YOU KNEW THAT ANYTHING YOU SAW IN
18	THERE WAS NOT BRITTANY'S; RIGHT?
19	A I'M SORRY.
20	Q AT THAT TIME ON THE 10TH, YOU KNEW THAT
21	EVERYTHING YOU SAW IN JOHNSON'S ROOM, ALL THOSE CLOTHES
22	AND ALL DIFFERENT THINGS WAS NOT BRITTANY'S?
23	A WELL, THE CLOTHING WAS OBVIOUSLY NOT AND THE
24	DIAPERS, I DIDN'T BELIEVE WERE BRITTANY'S. BECAUSE SHE
25	SEEMED TOO OLD.
26	Q RIGHT.
27	A THE TOYS, WHO KNOWS. I DIDN'T KNOW WHOSE TOYS
28	THEY WERE.

1	Q RIGHT. AND HOW ABOUT THE MORE GENERIC SORT OF
2	THINGS. YOU DIDN'T KNOW WHOSE BLANKET THAT WAS THAT YOU
3,	SAW NOW THAT YOU SAW?
4	A I DON'T KNOW WHOSE BLANKET IT WAS.
5	Q WELL, YOU SAW IT ON THE 10TH. YOU WENT BACK ON
6	THE 11TH TO DO THE CRIME SCENE INVESTIGATION.
7	A UH-HUH.
8	Q NOT KNOWING WHOSE BLANKET IT WAS?
9	A UH-HUH.
10	Q WHY DIDN'T YOU TAKE IT?
11	A ON THE 11TH.
12	Q WHENEVER YOU DID THE CRIME SCENE INVESTIGATION?
13	A RIGHT.
14	Q WHY DIDN'T YOU TAKE IT IF YOU KNEW IT WAS THERE?
15	A BECAUSE IT DIDN'T EVEN REGISTER. THEN WHEN WE
16	WENT IN ON THE 10TH, IT DID NOT EVEN COME TO MIND. NEXT
17	THING I KNOW IS ON THE 20TH AND I THOUGHT WELL, I THINK
18	I SAW THAT BLANKET. DIDN'T EVEN REGISTER.
19	Q HAD YOU EVER YOU NEVER PUT THAT IN A REPORT;
20	DID YOU? I MEAN ABOUT IT YOU MIGHT NOT EVEN HAVE SEEN
21	THAT BLANKET. YOU DON'T KNOW; DO YOU?
22	A I DON'T KNOW. IT SEEMS TO ME WHEN THEY WERE
23	TALKING ABOUT A BLANKET, WHEN OFFICER CARLOS TOLD ME ABOUT
24	A BLANKET, I THOUGHT I SAW IT. BUT I DO NOT KNOW, TO BE
25	PERFECTLY HONEST WITH YOU.
26	THE COURT: THAT'S WHAT WE ARE HERE LOOKING FOR.
27	MR. ABLARD: THANK YOU.
<b>1</b> 0	O DY MD ADIADD. ALCO VOLLALCO MENUTONED

1	YESTERDAY THAT THIS LITTLE HOOK AND EYE LOCK THAT FOR
2	WHATEVER REASON, YOU DON'T THINK IT WAS THERE ON THE 20TH
3	OR BEFORE THE 20TH?
4	A NO. I SAID I DIDN'T KNOW IF IT WAS THERE ON THE
5	20TH. I SAW IT THERE ON THE 10TH. AND I BELIEVE I SAW
6	IT AGAIN THERE ON THE 11TH. BUT AFTER THAT, I COULDN'T
7	TELL YOU.
8	Q WHAT LITERATURE HAD YOU READ ABOUT TAKING
9	FINGERPRINTS OFF OF BODIES OR TAPE LIFTING PRINTS?
0	A I DON'T KNOW. I READ A LOT OF LITERATURE. AND
1	SAW IT SOMEWHERE, SOMEDAY. AND I AND I DON'T BELIEVE IT
.2	WAS DONE WITH TAPE IF THAT IS WHAT YOU ARE ASKING ME.
_3	THE COURT: WAIT. WAIT A MINUTE. ARE WE MOVING INTO
4	A SUBJECT ABOUT WHICH HE REALLY DOESN'T KNOW ANYTHING?
.5	MR. ABLARD: I DON'T KNOW.
.6	THE COURT: WELL, WE WILL FIND OUT. DO YOU KNOW
.7	ANYTHING ABOUT ANY OF THIS?
.8	THE WITNESS: I READ AN ARTICLE. BUT I DON'T KNOW
.9	ANYTHING ON HOW IT IS DONE.
0 2	THE COURT: WHEN YOU READ IT, OR WHAT IT WAS, OR WHY
21	IT WAS OR
22	THE WITNESS: YEAH.
23	MR. ABLARD: I WON'T GO INTO IT.
24	THE COURT: LET'S DON'T TRY TO PROVE STUFF THAT WE
25	DON'T.
26	MR. ABLARD: I AGREE.
27	THE COURT: DON'T MAKE ISSUES OUT OF NON-ISSUES.
8.8	Q BY MR. ABLARD: DURING YOUR CRIME-SCENE

1	INVESTIGATION, YOU TAKE SOME MEASUREMENTS?
2	A NO. THE BODY HAS BEEN MOVED.
3	Q DID YOU TAKE A MEASUREMENT HOW CLOSE THE ROOMS
4	WERE, THE WALL, THE CLOSET, THE BATHROOM?
5	A NO.
6	Q THEY DO ANY DEMONSTRATION AS FAR AS WHERE SOUND
7	WAS COMING FROM, SO ON AND SO FORTH?
8	A NO. IT IS NO, I DIDN'T.
9	Q DID YOU EVER DO YOUR CRIME-SCENE INVESTIGATION,
10	EVER CHECK THE OTHER ROOMS IN THE HOUSE?
11	A YES, WHEN I INITIALLY WENT IN, I DID A CURSORY
12	SEARCH OF THE OTHER ROOMS. MY MAIN FOCUS HOWEVER WAS ON
13	THE ROOM THAT SHE WAS IN.
14	Q RIGHT. AND THE CURSORY SEARCH, YOU MEAN WHAT?
15	A JUST LOOKING AROUND, LOOKING AT THE FLOOR PLAN,
16	LOOKING AT THE CLOSETS, JUST LOOKING AROUND.
17	Q OKAY. WASN'T A CRIME SCENE SEARCH?
18	A NO.
19	Q NEVER DID A CRIME-SCENE SEARCH ON ANY OTHER ROOM
20	EXCEPT MR. JOHNSON'S; CORRECT?
21	A CORRECT.
22	Q DID YOU EVER LOOK IN THE TRASH CANS AND GARAGE
23	OR OUTSIDE?
24	A I DON'T BELIEVE SO.
25	Q EVER LOOK IN THE TRASH CAN IN THE HOUSE?
26	A I LOOKED THROUGH SOME TRASH CAN IN THE HOUSE,
27	YES.
28	Q WHICH ONES?

	354
1	A THERE'S A TRASH CAN IN HIS BEDROOM.
2	Q UH-HUH. ANY OTHER TRASH CANS?
3	A I DON'T RECALL SPECIFICALLY.
4	Q YOU MAY HAVE AND YOU MAY NOT HAVE?
5	A MAY HAVE, MAY NOT HAVE.
6	Q ALL RIGHT. THAT'S FAIR ENOUGH. AS TO THOSE
7	AREAS THAT YOU DID DISCOVER, DID YOU MAKE NOTES OF THOSE
8	OTHER THAN MR. JOHNSON'S ROOM?
9	A NO.
10	Q NOW AS FAR AS ANY TYPE OF FORENSICS IN THIS
11	PARTICULAR CRIME SCENE THAT WAS TO BE GATHERED WITH AN EYE
12	TOWARDS FORENSICS TESTING?
13	A YES.
14	Q WITH THAT WAS THERE A DECISION OR WAS THAT
15	SOMEBODY ELSE'S DECISION?
16	A YOU MEAN COLLECTION OF EVIDENCE?
17	Q WHAT TO COLLECT?
18	A IT WAS MY DECISION TO COLLECT THE JAR OF
19	VASELINE, THE NECKTIE, THE TOOL BOX, THE BAG, THE PLASTIC
20	BAG. AND I BELIEVE THAT'S IT.
21	Q WHEN GIVEN THOSE INSTRUCTIONS TO COLLECT THOSE,
22	WERE YOU DOING IT WITH AN EYE TOWARDS SOME FORENSIC
23	TESTING?
24	A I DIDN'T COLLECT THEM. BUT YES, WHENEVER YOU
25	TAKE EVIDENCE, YOU WANT TO TRY AND PRESERVE IT THE BEST
26	YOU CAN.
27	Q HAD YOU PLANNED ON TAKINGDID YOU KNOW WHAT
28	TESTS YOU SORT OF HAD AN EYE TOWARDS TAKING HAVING DONE ON

1	THIS EVIDENCE THAT YOU HAD COLLECTED BY PEOPLE?
2	A WELL, IT DEPENDED ON HOW THE INVESTIGATION WENT.
3	BUT CERTAINLY, YOU HAVE AN IDEA WHY YOU WOULD TAKE
4	SOMETHING.
5	Q CORRECT. NOW, I KNOW THAT WE TALKED ABOUT
6	ACTUALLY YOU AND THE PROSECUTOR TALKED ABOUT YOU DON'T
7	KNOW A LOT ABOUT LIVIDITY?
8	A YES.
9	Q AND I AM NOT GOING TO GO INTO IT OTHER THAN TO
10	ASK AS A HOMICIDE INVESTIGATOR
11	A YES.
12	Q ONE, WHEN YOU WENT TO THE HOSPITAL INITIALLY?
13	A YES.
14	Q DID YOUCAN YOU RECOGNIZE LIVIDITY?
15	A YES.
16	Q WAS THERE LIVIDITY ON THE CHILD?
17	A YES.
18	Q OKAY. NOW, AS A HOMICIDE INVESTIGATOR, DID YOU
19	ATTEMPT TO LOOK AT THAT LIVIDITY TO MATCH IT UP AS TO
20	WHERE THE CHILD WOULD HAVE BEEN LAYING?
21	A WELL, HERE AGAIN, AND WE MAY BE GETTING OUT OF
22	MY EXPERTISE. BUT LIVIDITY
23	Q I DON'T WANT TO CHANGE THAT?
24	A CHANGES WITH MOVEMENT OF THE BODY. SO, IF SHE
25	HAS BEEN LAYING ON HER BACK AT THE HOSPITAL FOR AN HOUR OR
26	SO, OR TWO HOURS, WHATEVER IT WAS, THAT LIVIDITY CAN
27	CHANGE.
28	Q EXACTLY.

1	A SO, NO, I DIDN'T DO ANY CHECKING TO SEE IF IT
2 .	MATCHED ON WHERE SHE WAS. BECAUSE IT COULD HAVE CHANGED.
3	Q WHO DO YOU KNOW IS THE FIRST OFFICER AT THE
4	HOSPITAL? THAT WOULD HAVE BEEN KELLY.
5	A AT THE HOSPITAL?
6	Q YES?
7	A IT WAS. IT WAS KELLY AND SGT. LEONI.
8	Q AS THE PRIMARY INVESTIGATOR IN THIS CASE, WERE
9	YOU WHO THEY DID THE REPORTING TO? BALES AND KELLY, AND
10	ALL THE DIFFERENT REPORTS, THEY WENT TO YOU?
11	A NO. THEY GO TO A SERGEANT, SERGEANT'S APPROVAL.
12	Q SERGEANT WHO?
13	A THE SERGEANTS APPROVE THEM.
14	Q OKAY.
15	A DIFFERENT SERGEANTS.
16	Q DIFFERENT SERGEANTS?
17	A RIGHT.
18	Q OKAY. BUT FOR INVESTIGATIVE PURPOSES, DO THEY
19	GO TO YOU SO YOU CAN SEE WHAT
20	A YES, ONCE THEY ARE WRITTEN, THEY ARE APPROVED BY
21	A SERGEANT AND THEY COME DOWN TO ME.
22	Q AND THEN WHAT DO YOU DO WITH THEM?
23	A READ THEM. LOOK AT THEM, SEE IF THERE'S
24	DIRECTIONS WE NEED TO GO.
25	Q DO YOU, IF YOU HAVE QUESTIONS ABOUT THEM, DO YOU
26	THEN GO BACK TO THE OFFICER WHO WROTE THE REPORT, ASK HIM
27	ABOUT IT, FOLLOW UP WITH IT, THAT SORT OF A THING?
28	A ONLY IF IT IS SOMETHING THAT I SAW THAT DOESN'T

AGREE WITH WHAT I SAW. 1 MR. ABLARD: OKAY. YOUR HONOR, MAY I TAKE A BREAK NOW? OR I GUESS I WILL BE REQUESTING A BREAK NOW. 3 THE COURT: ARE YOU DONE? MR. ABLARD: NO. 5 THE COURT: HOW MUCH LONGER? 6 7 MR. ABLARD: OH, A FEW MINUTES. I AM NOT SURE. THE COURT: WELL, THAT'S OKAY. BECAUSE THEN WE ARE DONE. WE WILL HAVE RUN OUT OF WITNESSES. 9 MR. ABLARD: YOU DON'T HAVE TO WORRY. RAN OUT OF 10 PAPER. SO WE ARE DONE. 11 12 MR. ABLARD: WE WILL KEEP GOING. THE COURT: CHANGE PAPER. 13 14 READY? MR. ABLARD: THANK YOU. 15 Q BY MR. ABLARD: AT SOME POINT, YOU WANT TO LOOK 16 AT MY CLIENT'S HANDS? 17  ${\tt A}$ 18 RIGHT. O OKAY. AND YOU SAW NOTHING? 19 20 A NO. O EVER ASK TO SEE ANYBODY ELSE'S HANDS THERE IN 21 22 THE HOUSE? A I DON'T BELIEVE SO. 23 EVER DIRECT ANYBODY TO SEE ANYBODY ELSE'S HANDS 24 0 25 IN THE HOUSE? 26 A NO. MR. ABLARD: I HAVE NOTHING FURTHER, THEN. THANK 27 28 YOU. RESERVE, PLEASE.

1	REDIRECT EXAMINATION
2	BY MS. SCHMAUSS:
3	Q OFFICER DONLEY, THE BLANKET THAT YOU FEEL YOU
4	MAY HAVE NOTED, DID YOU OPEN IT UP TO INSPECT IT?
5	THE COURT: WELL, WAIT, WAIT. THE BLANKET HE
6	IS NOT SURE HE EVER SAW, DID HE DO ANYTHING WITH IT? I
7	DON'T THINK SO. TRY A DIFFERENT AREA.
8	Q BY MS. SCHMAUSS: OKAY. DID YOU EVER NOTICE
9	ANY BLANKET WITH BLOOD ON IT?
10	A NO.
11	Q DO YOU EVER RECALL OPENING UP A BLANKET AND
12	INSPECTING IT?
13	A NO.
14	MS. SCHMAUSS: NOTHING FURTHER. THANK YOU.
15	THE COURT: THANK YOU. YOU CAN NOW STEP DOWN SUBJECT
16	TO
L7	MR. ABLARD: RECALL.
L8	MS. SCHMAUSS: RECALL TOMORROW.
L9	THE COURT: RECALL TOMORROW. AREAS THAT YOU HAVE TO
20	PREPARE AND WORK ON.
21	WE ARE DONE FOR THE DAY. PROSECUTION WITNESS HAS
22	ANOTHER FIFTEEN MINUTES OF TESTIMONY AND A PRESENTATION.
23	MS. SCHMAUSS: CORRECT.
24	THE COURT: WHICH WILL TAKE AN HOUR OR IS IT AN HOUR
25	AND A HALF?
26	MS. SCHMAUSS: AN HOUR.
27	MR. ABLARD: AN HOUR AND THEN.
28	THE COURT: WELL, YOU HAVE WORK TO DO.

MR. ABLARD: PARDON? I DIDN'T HEAR. I'M SORRY, 1 2 YOUR HONOR. 3 THE COURT: THAT'S OKAY. NEVER MIND. FOLKS, I HATE TO TELL YOU THIS. WE ARE DONE FOR THE DAY. TOMORROW WE WILL HAVE OFFICER DONLEY. WE WILL HAVE 5 SOME OTHER PROSECUTION STUFF FOR YOU WHICH WILL TAKE ALL 6 7 MORNING, NO DOUBT. 8 MS. SCHMAUSS: YES.. THE TAPES WILL BE AN HOUR. 9 THE COURT: AND YOU WILL HAVE WITNESSES FOR THE 10 AFTERNOON. WELL, WE WILL DISCUSS THAT. MR. ABLARD: YES. THANK YOU. 11 THE COURT: IN ANY EVENT, SEE YOU TOMORROW ABOUT 12 13 9:30. GIVE 9:30 A SHOT IF YOU WOULD, PLEASE, FOLKS. DON'T DISCUSS THE CASE, DON'T FORM ANY OPINION OR 14 CONCLUSION. AND WE WILL SEE YOU THEN. DON'T FORGET TO 15 LEAVE YOUR NOTEBOOKS AND PENCILS BEHIND. 16 17 (THE JURY LEFT THE COURTROOM, AND THE 18 FOLLOWING PROCEEDINGS WERE HELD:) THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. THE JURORS ARE GONE. SO, 19 20 OFFICER DONLEY, TOMROROW. 21 INVESTIGATOR DONLEY: YES. I WILL WORK ON THE TAPE. THE COURT: I ASSUME THAT YOU, BOTH. COUNSEL WILL 22 LISTEN TO THE TAPE IN ITS FINAL VERSION. 23 24 MR. ABLARD: YES. 25 THE COURT: APPROVE AND AGREED UPON. 26 MS. SCHMAUSS: IF I CAN GET IT DONE BEFORE. 27 MR. ABLARD: WE WILL HAVE TO DO IT IN THE MORNING, 28 LISTEN TO IT IN THE MORNING.

1	MS. SCHMAUSS: YES. BECAUSE I CAN'T REPRODUCE IT.
2	THE COURT: I AM NOT INTERESTED IN YOUR TECHNICAL
3	PROBLEMS. JUST BOTH LISTEN TO IT BEFORE IT IS OFFERED
4	INTO EVIDENCE SO YOU CAN TELL ME THAT YOU HAVE, AND YOU
5	ARE SATISFIED, AND IT COMPORTS TO YOUR AGREEMENT AND MY
6	RULING. ONE. TWO, PROSECUTION WILL REST TOMORROW AROUND
7	NOON.
8	DEFENSE GOING TO HAVE WITNESSES IN THE AFTERNOON?
9	MR. ABLARD: NO. I'D RATHER I WOULD MAKE THE
10	REQUEST.
11	THE COURT: YES. YOU HAVE A BETTER WAY TO START THAN
12	I WOULD RATHER. BECAUSE SOMETIMES "I WOULD RATHER" DON'T
13	WORK.
14	MR. ABLARD: I DIDN'T COMPLETE THAT "I'D RATHER"
15	THING.
16	IN ALL SERIOUSNESS, I WOULD REQUEST THAT WE START THE
17	DEFENSE ON THURSDAY AND POSSIBLY TOMORROW AFTERNOON WE CAN
18	DO JURY INSTRUCTIONS.
19	THE COURT: I WILL PERMIT THAT.
20	MR. ABLARD: I APPRECIATE IT.
21	THE COURT: FOR YOU TO GET YOUR WITNESSES ORGANIZED.
22	BECAUSE WE ARE CONCLUDING WITH THE PEOPLE'S CASE MAYBE A
23	LITTLE MORE QUICKLY THAN YOU HAD ANTICIPATED. ALTHOUGH
24	SOUNDS PRETTY CLOSE TO THE SCHEDULE I HAD IN MIND AT THE
25	BEGINNING; DOESN'T IT?
26	MS. SCHMAUSS: IT DOES.
27	MR. ABLARD: YES, IT DOES. I THINK WE WILL DO OKAY.
28	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. I WILL PERMIT YOU TO COMMENCE

ON THURSDAY MORNING. 1 2 MR. ABLARD: APPRECIATE IT. THE COURT: DO YOU REMEMBER WE WILL BE GOING OVER TO 3 TUESDAY, THE 7TH BECAUSE I HAVE THAT OTHER CASE ON THE 5 6TH. MR. ABLARD: RIGHT. 6 THE COURT: SO, YOU WILL CONCLUDE YOUR EVIDENCE ON TUESDAY, DO YOU BELIEVE? 9 MR. ABLARD: I WILL PROBABLY CONCLUDE THE EVIDENCE, NO, I WOULD SUSPECT NO LATER THAN WEDNESDAY, MAYBE EVEN ON 10 11 TUESDAY. 12 MS. SCHMAUSS: I HAVE STILL ONLY RECEIVED NOTICE OF --THE COURT: SORRY. I DON'T HAVE ANY QUESTIONS FOR 13 YOU RIGHT NOW. 14 CONTINUE YOUR ANSWER. 15 16 MR. ABLARD: YES. I SUSPECT TUESDAY, MAYBE 17 WEDNESDAY. THE WITNESSES THAT I DO HAVE, DEPENDING UPON CROSS-EXAMINATION, THERE IS NOT THAT MUCH, SHOULD BE DONE 18 I WAS GOING TO SAY WEDNESDAY TO MAKE SURE. 19 THE COURT: WEDNESDAY AT NOON. 20 21 MR. ABLARD: I CAN'T PROMISE IT. BUT I AM REALLY GOING TO TRY. I MEAN, WE HAVE BEEN PRETTY GOOD SO FAR. I 22 AM GOING TO TRY THAT. SO, THURSDAY, TUESDAY, MAYBE EVEN 23 24 TUESDAY. 25 THE COURT: WELL, I LIKE TUESDAY. MR. ABLARD: WE ARE GOING TO TRY FOR TUESDAY. 26 THE COURT: THIS MEANS WE COULD ARGUE AND INSTRUCT 27

WEDNESDAY. THURSDAY IS A THURSDAY-FRIDAY. AND THEN

1	THERE'S A FOUR DAY HOLIDAY BETWEEN THE 10TH AND THE 13TH
2	WHICH IS A FURLOUGH DAY. I WOULD REALLY LIKE THE JURY TO
3	HAVE THE CASE FOR AT LEAST A FULL DAY IF AT ALL POSSIBLE
4	BEFORE WE TELL THEM TO QUIT THINKING ABOUT IT AND TAKE A
- 5	FOUR-DAY BREAK.
6	MR. ABLARD: WORK VERY HARD TO GET IT DONE ON
7	TUESDAY, ARGUE ON WEDNESDAY.
8	THE COURT: OKAY. IF YOU DON'T GET IT DONE UNTIL
9	WEDNESDAY, MY THINKING AND YOU CAN COGITATE UPON THIS IF
10	YOU WILL COUNSEL, IS TO WAIT UNTIL THE FOLLOWING
11	TUESDAY. NOTE YOU ARE NOT HERE ON THAT DAY.
12	MR. ABLARD: I WON'T BE HERE ON THE 14TH.
13	THE COURT: THE 15TH, ALL OF A SUDDEN WE GET A
14	FIVE-DAY BREAK. ARGUMENT AND INSTRUCT. SEE THE PROBLEM?
15	MR. ABLARD: I SEE THE PROBLEM.
16	THE COURT: SO, THE SOLUTION TO THE PROBLEM IS THIS
17	FOLLOWING:
18	IF I PERMIT YOU TOMORROW AFTERNOON NOT TO PRESENT ANY
19	EVIDENCE AND DEFER UNTIL THURSDAY, IT IS MY EXPECTATION
20	THAT YOU WILL DO WHAT THE PROSECUTION HAS FAILED TO DO,
21	THAT IS LINE UP THE WITNESSES SO WE DO NOT RUN OUT OF
22	WITNESSES TO THE EXTENT THAT YOU HAVE THEM AVAILABLE AND
23	WITHIN OUR AREA.
24	MR. ABLARD: I UNDERSTAND.
25	THE COURT: IF THERE IS COSTS TO THAT, WE WILL MAKE
26	SURE THAT SOMEBODY TAKES CARE OF THAT. THE TAX PAYERS WHO
27	HAVE BEEN PAYING ALL THE COSTS IN ONE WAY OR ANOTHER. SEE

YA.

	604
1	RANCHO CUCAMONGA, CALIFORNIA; WEDNESDAY, NOVEMBER 1, 1995
2	A.M.
. 3.	DEPARTMENT 4 HON. ROBERT E. LAW, JUDGE
4	
5	APPEARANCES: SET FORTH ON THE TITLE PAGE.
6	
7	(GAIL GREENLEE, C-8647, OFFICIAL REPORTER.)
8	###
9	THE COURT: GOOD MORNING, LADIES AND GENTLEMEN.
10	YOU WANT TO DO SOMETHING ON GREEN?
11	(PROCEEDINGS REGARDING ANOTHER MATTER WERE
12	REPORTED, AND NOT TRANSCRIBED AT THIS TIME.)
13	THE COURT: MS. SCHMAUSS, ARE YOU READY?
14	MS. SCHMAUSS: YES.
15	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT.
16	MS. SCHMAUSS: CALL OFFICER DONLEY.
17	THE COURT: OKAY. OFFICER DONLEY, YOU ARE STILL UNDER
18	OATH FROM YESTERDAY OR THE DAY BEFORE, WHENEVER YOU WERE
19	FIRST UP.
20	MICHAEL DONLEY,
21	HAVING BEEN PREVIOUSLY SWORN, RESUMED THE STAND, WAS
22	EXAMINED, AND TESTIFIED AS FOLLOWS:
23	en de la composition de la composition La composition de la
24	REDIRECT EXAMINATION, RESUMED
25	BY MS. SCHMAUSS:
26	Q OFFICER DONLEY, IN THE COURSE OF INVESTIGATION
27	IN THIS CASE, DID YOU INTERVIEW THE DEFENDANT?
28	A YES, MA'AM.

)

.•

. . . . .

1	Q AND WHAT WAS THE INITIAL DATE OF INTERVIEWING
2	THE DEFENDANT?
3	A OCTOBER 10, 1993.
4	Q WHERE DID THAT OCCUR?
5	A MONTCLAIR POLICE DEPARTMENT.
6	Q WHO WAS PRESENT DURING THIS INTERVIEW OF THE
7	DEFENDANT?
8	A MYSELF, OFFICER BALES, AND MR. JOHNSON.
9	Q WAS IT ONE CONTINUOUS INTERVIEW, OR WAS THERE
10	WERE THERE ANY BREAKS?
11	A THERE WERE TWO DIFFERENT BREAKS.
12	Q HOW LONG, ROUGHLY, DID THE INTERVIEW LAST?
13	A APPROXIMATELY AN HOUR AND A HALF.
14	Q OKAY. I AM GOING TO SHOW YOU THREE CASSETTE
15	TAPES MARKED EXHIBIT 76, 77, AND 78 FOR IDENTIFICATION,
16	DIVIDED INTO TAPE ONE, SIDE ONE, TAPE ONE, SIDE TWO, AND
17	TAPE TWO?
18	A YES.
19	Q AND ASK YOU IF YOU HAD THE OPPORTUNITY TO LISTEN
20	TO PART OF THOSE THREE TAPES THIS MORNING?
21	A YES.
22	Q AND DID THEY APPEAR TO YOU TO BE FAIR AND
23	ACCURATE COPIES OF THE TAPED INTERVIEW YOU DID WITH
24	MR. JOHNSON ON OCTOBER 10, 1993?
25	A YES.
26	Q DURING THE INITIAL INTERVIEW, WHAT WE WILL CALL
27	AS TAPE ONE, SIDE ONE, WAS THERE A TIME WHEN THE TAPE
28	RECORDER RAN OUT?

1	A YES. AT THE END OF TAPE ONE, WAS IN A RECORDER
2	THAT WAS SUPPOSED TO SWITCH SIDES AUTOMATICALLY AND TURN
3	AROUND, GO BACK THE OTHER DIRECTION AND RECORD. AND I
4	LOOKED DOWN AT IT AND IT HAD STOPPED.
- 5	Q OKAY. SO, YOU LOST PART OF THE INTERVIEW?
6	A YES.
7	Q FROM TAPE ONE, SIDE ONE TO TAPE ONE, SIDE TWO?
8	A YES.
9	Q ANY IDEA HOW MUCH WAS LOST?
10	A I WOULD GUESS A MINUTE, NOT A WHOLE LOT.
11	Q OKAY. IN REVIEWING LATER TRANSCRIPTION OF THE
12	TAPINGS, DID YOU NOTICE ANYTHING THAT SEEMED TO BE
13	GLARINGLY MISSING?
14	A THE ONLY THINK THAT I CAN RECALL THAT WAS
15	MISSING WAS THERE WAS A QUESTION PERTAINING TO HIS DOOR.
16,	AND MR. RIGGS TALKING TO HIM ON THE TELEPHONE WITH THE
17	DOOR OPEN. AND THAT DOESN'T APPEARI COULDN'T HEAR THAT
18	ON THE TAPE. BUT I DON'T RECALL ANYTHING SPECIFICALLY AT
19	THIS TIME.
20	Q OKAY. SO, HAVING REVIEWED ALL THE TAPES IN
21	THEIR ENTIRETY AT SOME TIME?
22	A YES.
23	Q THAT'S THE ONLY THING THAT YOU NOTICE THAT WAS
24	MISSING IN YOUR INTERVIEW?
25	A YES.
26	MS. SCHMAUSS: I DON'T HAVE ANY OTHER QUESTION,
27	FOUNDATIONAL, YOUR HONOR.
28	THE COURT: DID YOU IDENTIFY THE TAPE?

1 MS. SCHMAUSS: YES. THE COURT: OKAY. ANY QUESTIONS ON THIS? 3 MR. ABLARD: NOT RIGHT NOW, YOUR HONOR. THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. MAY THE REPORTER BE RELIEVED 5 OF THE RESPONSIBILITY OF TAKING DOWN ALL TAPE RECORDINGS? MS. SCHMAUSS: YES>. 7 MR. ABLARD: YES. THE COURT: THANK YOU. FOR YOUR INFORMATION, LADIES AND GENTLEMEN, WE ARE GOING TO LISTEN TO A TAPE RECORDING OF CONVERSATION. 10 11 THERE IS A TRANSCRIPT THAT HAS BEEN PREPARED THAT YOU WILL 12 HAVE WITH YOU DURING YOUR DELIBERATIONS. SO, THE 13 TRANSCRIPT WILL BE SOMEONE'S BEST INTERPRETATION WHAT THEY 14 BELIEVE THEY HAVE HEARD ON THE TAPE. 15 BOTH COUNSEL, OF COURSE, HAVE GONE OVER IT. AND YOU WILL SEE SOME INAUDIBLES WHICH IS THE NATURE OF THE BEAST. 16 YOU REALLY OUGHT TO BE GOVERNED BY WHAT YOU HEAR ON THE 17 18 TAPE RECORDING AS OPPOSED TO THIS TRANSCRIPT THAT IS 19 MARKED AND WILL BE PART OF THE EVIDENCE. IT IS ESSENTIALLY OPINION OF OTHERS ABOUT WHAT THEY THINK THEY 20 HEAR. AND IT IS WHAT YOU HEAR THAT IS IMPORTANT AND 21 22 DETERMINE WHAT THE FACTS ARE. 23 YOU WILL ALSO BE ABLE TO LISTEN TO THE TAPE OR 24 PORTIONS THEREOF AS BEST WE CAN DO IT FOR YOU DURING YOUR 25 DELIBERATION IF YOU REQUEST IT. YOU WILL FIND AS YOU 26 LISTEN TO THE TAPE THAT IT JUST IT IS DISJOINTED. AND 27 WHEN YOU LOOK AT THE TRANSCRIPT, YOU WILL ALSO FIND THAT

IT APPEARS TO BE DISJOINTED FOR SOME REASON. AND YOU WILL

SEE SOME BLACKED OUT MATERIAL.

1

3

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

AS I TOLD YOU, IT IS MY OBLIGATION TO BE AN UMPIRE AND TO INTERFERE ONCE IN A WHILE TO MAKE SURE THAT THE EVIDENCE YOU RECEIVE IS RELATED TO THIS CASE AND NOT OTHER MATTERS THAT ARE EXTRANEOUS TO WHY WE ARE HERE. SO, I RUB THIS LITTLE THING CALLED THE EVIDENCE CODE WHICH IS MY BIBLE AS TO WHAT YOU GET TO HEAR AND NOT HEAR. AND SO, YOU ARE TO BE -- YOU WILL SEE THAT I HAVE ORDERED SOME MATERIAL THAT IS IN THESE CONVERSATIONS TO BE EXTRACTED FROM THE TAPE. BECAUSE IT DOESN'T DEAL WITH THIS CASE OR ANYTHING THAT HAS TO DO WITH ANYTHING THAT YOU HAVE TO DECIDE AS I HAVE OCCASIONALLY COUNSELED INQUIRIES ABOUT THINGS THAT NO ONE HAS ANY INFORMATION ABOUT. SO, JUST IGNORE WHAT ISN'T THERE OR IS BLACKED OUT. SO YOU UNDERSTAND WHAT WE ARE DOING AND SO WHEN YOU LISTEN TO THE TAPE, YOU WILL SEE IT OR HEAR IT. THAT IS JUST THE WAY LIFE IS IN MY COURT.

(PROCEEDINGS REGARDING OTHER MATTERS WERE
REPORTED, AND NOT TRANSCRIBED AT THIS TIME.)
MS. SCHMAUSS: MAY I PLAY THE TAPE NOW, YOUR HONOR?
THE COURT: YEAH. BUT YOU DON'T NEED HIM UP THERE.
MS. SCHMAUSS: NO.

THE COURT: SHOULD WE DIM THE LIGHTS?

MS. SCHMAUSS: NO. DON'T ERASE IT BY ACCIDENT. OKAY. TRY NOT TO.

THE COURT: THIS WILL PROBABLY BE THE END OF THE EVIDENCE FOR YOU FOLKS TODAY. START DEFENSE CASE TOMORROW, 9:30 OR SO, MAYBE 10:00. PROBABLY 10:00. SO

1	IF YOU LEAVE YOUR EMPLOYER'S NUMBERS WITH ME, I WILL CALL
. 2	THEM AND TELL THEM THAT YOU FINISHED UP EARLY AND THEY CAN
3	EXPECT YOU TO ARRIVE AT YOUR PLACE OF EMPLOYMENT AT 1:00,
4	MID AFTERNOON.
5	JUROR NO. SIX: THE LONG DISTANCE NUMBERS TOO?
6	THE COURT: I WILL TAKE THE LONG DISTANCE. YEAH.
7	WE WILL CALL; NOT A PROBLEM.
8	MS. SCHMAUSS: READY.
9	THE COURT: PUSH PLAY.
10	(AT WHICH TIME THE AUDIO TAPE WAS PLAYED
11	AND WAS NOT REPORTED.)
12	MS. SCHMAUSS: OKAY. YOUR HONOR, FOR THE RECORD, I
13	AM GOING TO NOW PLAY EXHIBIT 77 FOR IDENTIFICATION WHICH
14	IS TAPE ONE, SIDE TWO.
15	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT.
16	MS. SCHMAUSS: COULD WE INQUIRE IF IT IS HIGH ENOUGH
17	FOR EVERYONE IN THE JURY.
18	THE COURT: IT IS LOUD ENOUGH.
19	MS. SCHMAUSS: OKAY.
20	(AT WHICH TIME THE AUDIO TAPE WAS PLAYED
21	AND NOT REPORTED.)
22	MS. SCHMAUSS: WE HAVE ONE LAST ONE. DO YOU WANT TO
23	TAKE A BREAK BEFORE? NO.
24	THE COURT: NO.
25	MS. SCHMAUSS: FOR THE RECORD, YOUR HONOR, THE FINAL
26	TAPE IS EXHIBIT 78 FOR IDENTIFICATION KNOWN AS TAPE TWO.
27	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT.
28	(AT WHICH TIME THE AUDIO TAPE WAS PLAYED

1 AND NOT REPORTED.) THE COURT: IS THAT THE END? 3 MS. SCHMAUSS: THAT THE END OF THE TAPES, YES, YOUR HONOR. 4 THE COURT: THANK YOU. CALL YOUR NEXT WITNESS. 5 6 MS. SCHMAUSS: I NEED TO CLARIFY SOMETHING BEFORE I CALL HIM BACK. NO, I DON'T HAVE ANY FURTHER QUESTIONS, 7 YOUR HONOR. I DO HAVE AN EXHIBIT THOUGH AND A STIPULATION 8 FOR THE EXHIBIT. 10 THE COURT: WITH MR. ABLARD? MS.SCHMAUSS: YES. WITH MR. ABLARD. IT IS THE TV 11 GUIDE, PAGE. IT IS AN EXHIBIT 79 FOR IDENTIFICATION. AND 12 13 IT IS PHOTOCOPY OF THE TELEVISION GUIDE FROM THE DAILY BULLETIN ON OCTOBER 10, 1993 THAT SHOWS AT 8:30 A.M. ON 14 15 CHANNEL 13 THE CARTOON SPEED RACER OF WHICH TOM SLICK IS A CHARACTER WAS ON. 16 17 MR. ABLARD: I WILL STIPULATE THAT THAT IS WHAT IT 18 SAYS. THANK YOU. 19 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. ANYTHING FURTHER? MS. SCHMAUSS: NO, YOUR HONOR, EXCEPT FOR THE 20 EVIDENCE. PEOPLE, REST. 21 22 THE COURT: THANK YOU. 10:00 TOMORROW. MR. ABLARD: THAT WILL BE FINE, YOUR HONOR. 23 THE COURT: YOU WILL BE READY TO START CALLING 24 25 WITNESSES. 26 MR. ABLARD: YES. THANK YOU. 27 THE COURT: I AM SORRY FOLKS. IT IS 11:10 WHICH IS A

LITTLE EARLY. AND IT IS A RAINY DAY. BUT I WILL SEND

1	YOU OUT TO DO WHAT YOU NEED TO DO. PLEASE DON'T DISCUSS
2	THE CASE. DO NOT FORM ANY OPINIONS OR CONCLUSIONS.
3	PEOPLE HAVE PUT IN ALL THE EVIDENCE THAT THEY ARE GOING TO
4	PUT IN THEIR PROSPECTIVE. AND THE DEFENSE WILL START ON
5	THURSDAY, THAT'S TOMORROW, AT 10:00. I EXPECT WE SHOULD
6	HAVE A FULL DAY. REMEMBER WE WILL BE COMING BACK ON
7	TUESDAY. WAIT A MINUTE. I GUESS IT IS TUESDAY, THE 7TH
8	FOR CONCLUSION OF THE DEFENSE EVIDENCE.
9	I THINK THAT WE WILL CONCLUDE THIS CASE PROBABLY
10	TUESDAY OR WEDNESDAY. WE WILL HAVE ARGUMENT AND
11	INSTRUCTION. AND YOU WILL HAVE THE CASE I AM HOPEFUL
12	WEDNESDAY SO THAT YOU CAN WORK ON THURSDAY. BECAUSE THERE
13	IS A LONG TIME IN BETWEEN THEN AND THE NEXT DAY. THAT IS
14	MY FANTASY. ANYWAY. SO, LET'S HOPE THAT THAT WORKS.
15	DON'T DISCUSS THE CASE. DON'T FORM OPINIONS OR
16	CONCLUSIONS. WE WILL SEE YOU TOMORROW AT 10:00. THANK
17	YOU.
18	COUNSEL IS NOT EXCUSED. WE HAVEN'T CONCLUDED THIS.
19	BUT I WILL GIVE YOU FOLKS A RECESS. TEN MINUTES.
20	MR. ABLARD: THANK YOU.
21	(RECESS)
22	(THE FOLLOWING PROCEEDINGS WERE HELD IN
23	OPEN COURT OUTSIDE THE PRESENCE OF THE JURY:)
24	THE COURT: JOHNSON.
25	MR. ABLARD: WAIVE HIS PRESENCE FOR JURY
26	INSTRUCTIONS.
27	THE COURT: WE ARE DOING EXHIBITS FIRST. WHILE WE
28	ARE WAITING FOR MR. JOHNSON, HAVE YOU REVIEWED THE

INSTRUCTIONS THAT THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY HAS OFFERED AND 1 THE VERDICT FORMS? 3 MR. ABLARD: I LOOKED THEM OVER AT 1:30. I AM PREPARED TO DEAL WITH THAT. 4 THE COURT: WE ARE GOING TO DO IT AT 1:30. WE ARE 5 GOING TO DO IT NOW. THEY ARE NOT CLEAN. SO, WE CAN GO 6 7 THROUGH THEM AS THEY ARE UGLY. YOU HAVE THE JURY 8 INSTRUCTIONS WITH YOU? THE COURT IS INTENDING TO GIVE 1.00, COURT INTENDS TO GIVE 1.01. COURT INTENDS TO GIVE 1.02, 1.03, 1.20, 1.21. 10 11 THE COURT INTENDS TO GIVE 2.00, 2.01, 2.02. THE COURT 12 INTENDS TO GIVE 2.03 SUBJECT TO DISCUSSION. 13 MR. ABLARD: RIGHT. THE COURT: LIMITED EVIDENCE, LIMITED AND PURPOSE, 14 WHAT EVIDENCE WAS ADMITTED? 15 MS. SCHMAUSS: I PULLED THIS ONE NOT KNOWING WHAT 16 17 WOULD HAPPEN. 18 THE COURT: WELL, RIGHT NOW, THE COURT WOULD NOT GIVE 19 2.04 UNLESS IT BECOMES APPROPRIATE. MS. SCHMAUSS: RIGHT. 20 THE COURT: COURT INTENDS TO GIVE 2.11, 2.13, 2.20 21 22 WITH ADJUSTMENT BECAUSE THERE'S NO CHARACTER EVIDENCE THAT I HAVE SEEN PRIOR CONVICTION OF FELONY OR MISDEMEANOR PAST 23 24 CONDUCT. 25 COURT INTENDS TO GIVE 2.21.1, 2.21.2, 2.22 UNLESS THE 26 DEFENSE CALLS NO WITNESSES, 2.27, 2.51, 2.60, 2.61. 27 COURT WILL CONSIDER 2.71 SUBJECT TO DISCUSSION OF WHETHER ANY STATEMENTS ARE IN FACT AN ADMISSION, AND 2.75 IS 28

QUESTIONABLE. WE WILL CONSIDER IT, DETERMINE WHAT, 1 DETERMINE IT. I CANT DETERMINE IT YET UNTIL THE DEFENSE 2 PUTS ON ALL OF THE CASE. 3 MR. ABLARD: 2.72.5 YOU ARE TALKING ABOUT? THE COURT: YES. 5 6 MR. ABLARD: OKAY. 7 THE COURT: THE COURT BASED UPON WHAT IT HEARD SO FAR DOESN'T SEE A 2.72. THAT'S ONE OF THE PROBLEMS THAT GOES 8 WITH 71 AND 71.5. 9 10 MR. ABLARD: RIGHT. THE COURT: COURT INTENDS TO GIVE 2.80, 2.81, 2.82. 11 I DON'T KNOW ABOUT 2.83 UNTIL WE HAVE CONTRADICTORY 12 13 TESTIMONY BY EXPERT. WE DON'T HAVE ANY YET. THE COURT 14 WILL CONSIDER 2.90 UNLESS YOU DON'T LIKE IT, MR. ABLARD. 15 MR. ABLARD: WE ARE TALKING ABOUT THE LEAVING OUT 16 THE--THE COURT: -- PRESUMPTION OF INNOCENCE AND THE 17 REASONABLE DOUBT INSTRUCTION. 18 19 MR. ABLARD: I UNDERSTAND THAT. THE COURT: REVISED '94. 20 MR. ABLARD: YOU ARE USING THAT. AND I WILL BE 21 22 MAKING ARGUMENT FOR THE RECORD FOR THE OTHER ONE. 23 THE COURT: YOU WANT THE MORAL CERTAINTY? MR. ABLARD: AND ALL THAT BUSINESS. 24 25 THE COURT: ABIDING CONVICTION. 26 MR. ABLARD: I GET THAT. I WANT THE MORAL CERTAINTY. 27 THE COURT: THE MORAL CERTAINTY. 28 MR. ABLARD: SO WE CAN DISCUSS THAT AT SOMETIME.

THE COURT: OKAY. I HAVE A 3.0, GENERAL CRIMINAL 1 INTENT WHICH WHAT DOES THAT RELATE TO? 3 MS. SCHMAUSS: WELL, I PULLED A BUNCH OF THEM. AND WE ARE GOING TO HAVE TO HONE THEM DOWN. 4 THE COURT: WE ARE. I DON'T SEE A GENERAL INTENT 5 6 CRIME; DO YOU? YOU KNOW, LAST TIME I LOOKED, 187 HAS A 7 STATE OF MIND THAT IS A SPECIFICALLY-DEFINED STATE OF MIND. 8 9 MS. SCHMAUSS: RIGHT. THE COURT: THEY HAVEN'T CHANGED THAT. 10 11 MS. SCHMAUSS: WHICH IS DEFINED IN THE INSTRUCTION 12 ITSELF. THE COURT: YES. 13 MS. SCHMAUSS: WELL, I CAN WITHDRAW IT. I DIDN'T 14 KNOW ABOUT VOLUNTARY, INVOLUNTARY, ANY LESSERS THAT THE 15 DEFENSE MAY WANT. I PULLED MORE THAN WE NEED. 16 MR. ABLARD: RIGHT. 17 18 THE COURT: WELL, I HAVE ALL THESE MENTAL STATE. 19 THEN YOU HAVE -- OKAY. HERE WE GO. I AM JUST BYPASSING ALL THOSE. BECAUSE THEY ARE NOT FILLED IN AND THEY MAKE 20 NO SENSE. AND THE COURT DOESN'T DO THAT. IF THE COURT 21 22 GIVES THE INSTRUCTION, THEN I DON'T READ THEM I JUST TELL THE JURY WHAT I THINK THEY OUGHT TO DO. 23 MS. SCHMAUSS: THAT WILL BE FINE. 24 25 THE COURT: THE WAY THEY DO IN THE ENGLISH SYSTEM. 26 MR. ABLARD: THAT'S AN INSTRUCTION THAT SAYS THAT WE 27 CAN DO THAT, I GUESS. RIGHT. 28 MS. SCHMAUSS: WANT TO STIPULATE?

MR. ABLARD: WHAT? 1 2 MS. SCHMAUSS: THAT HE CAN TELL THEM WHAT TO DO? MR. ABLARD: THE JUDGE IS GOING TO TELL THEM WHAT TO 3 DO. BUT HE IS GOING TO READ THE INSTRUCTION ANYWAY. MS. SCHMAUSS: SO, WHY DON'T WE JUST PUT A BIG 5 OUESTION MARK IN GENERAL INTENT. 6 7 MR. ABLARD: OKAY. THE COURT: OKAY. I HAVE 80 WHICH IS A DEFINITION OF HOMICIDE INFORMATION. YOU HAVE GOT THE GENERAL 187. 9 10 THERE IS NO EVIDENCE OF A FELONY INHERENTLY DANGEROUS. HAS TO GET CLEANED UP. OKAY. MALICE, 8.11, YES. 11 EXPRESS MALICE. THAT IS 8.20. DELIBERATE DEFINED 12 13 DELIBERATE AND PREMEDITATED. 8.30 IS APPROPRIATE. 8.31 14 IS APPROPRIATE. MS. SCHMAUSS: I DON'T KNOW ABOUT 8.45 IF MR. ABLARD 15 WANTS IT. I AM NOT OFFERING IT. I PULLED IT AS A 16 COURTESY TO HIM. 17 MR. ABLARD: RIGHT. THAT IS CORRECT. I WOULD LIKE 18 TO PASS THAT FOR RIGHT NOW, YOUR HONOR. 19 20 THE COURT: WHAT YOU ARE SAYING IS THAT YOU DON'T KNOW 21 WHETHER YOU WANT A 192B IN THE CASE OR NOT? MR. ABLARD: RIGHT. 22 THE COURT: AND LET ME ASK YOU THIS QUESTION. EVEN 23 24 IF YOU DON'T, AM I NOT COMPELLED TO GIVE IT IF I BELIEVE 25 THE FACTS SUPPORT IT? 26 MR. ABLARD: WELL, IF THE FACTS SUPPORT IT, YOU MIGHT 27 BE COMPELLED TO GIVE IT. I THINK I COULD ALSO, WE CAN 28 WAIVE IT. THERE SEEMS TO BE A SPLIT ON THAT A LITTLE BIT.

1	THE COURT: WELL, MY SPLIT IS TO GIVE THE JURY THOSE
2	INSTRUCTIONS THAT MIGHT IN ANY WAY SHAPE OR FORM HAVE
3	APPLICATION TO THE FACTS AS THEY MAY INTERPRET THEM.
4	MS. ABLARD: I UNDERSTAND. SO, IF WE CAN DISCUSS
5	THAT.
6	THE COURT: WE CAN. WE CAN.
7	MR. ABLARD: THANK YOU.
8	THE COURT: WHICH ALSO DEFERS THE DISCUSSION OF 8.46.
9	MR. ABLARD: RIGHT.
10	THE COURT: AND 8.50.
11	MR. ABLARD: CORRECT.
12	THE COURT: AND 51.
13	MR. ABLARD: CORRECT.
14	MS. SCHMAUSS: I DID NOT PULL VOLUNTARY BECAUSE I DID
15	NOT THINK THERE WAS GOING TO BE ANY EVIDENCE THAT SUGGESTS
16	THAT. VOLUNTARY MANSLAUGHTER. COUNSEL.
17	THE COURT: THAT'S A SUBJECT MATTER WE CAN DISCUSS AT
18	THE CONCLUSION.
19	MR. ABLARD: YES, CORRECT.
20	THE COURT: TWO DEGREES, 8.70, 8.71. 8.72 IF WE GO
21	THAT FAR. THAT'S THE MANSLAUGHTER MURDER CONCLUSIONS. BUT
22	YOU GOT 8.73 WHICH IS PROVOCATION WHICH IS A VOLUNTARY.
23	AND IT IS NOT AN INVOLVED FIRST OR SECOND.
24	MS. SCHMAUSS: SO WE CAN PULL THAT.
25	THE COURT: THAT'S SUBJECT TO WHATEVER. OKAY.
26	MR. ABLARD: YEAH.
27	THE COURT: AND 8.74 WHICH HAS TO BE TAILORED
28	DEPENDING UPON WHAT LESSERS ARE GIVEN. AND 8.75, GOES

WITH IT. AND 17.10 WHICH IT WILL HAVE TO BE PREPARED SPECIFICALLY GIVEN 17.30.

MS. SCHMAUSS: HOW ABOUT 17.11.

THE COURT: YEAH. ALL THE 17'S I JUST PASSED OVER
THEM. INDIVIDUAL OPINION, 40 I WILL GIVE 41. I WILL GIVE
42, 17.43, 45, 47, 48, 49 WON'T WORK. HAS TO BE TAILORED
TO THE CHARGES FIRST, SECOND, AND LESSERS. BUT I CAN DO
THAT WITHOUT AN INSTRUCTION. 50, OBVIOUSLY 51 IS NOT. 52,
AND 53 ARE CAUTIONARY IN CASE. SO THAT LEAVES US WITH
THE INSTRUCTION PROFFERED APPEARS TO BE ACCEPTABLE SUBJECT
TO THE 2.03, 2.09, 2.71, 71.5 AND 72 WHICH DEAL WITH
ADMISSIONS.

MR. ABLARD: RIGHT.

THE COURT: NO CONFLICT IN EXPERT TESTIMONY 8. 3.

AND 3.30. GENERAL CRIMINAL INTENT DOESN'T APPEAR TO BE APPROPRIATE AT THIS JUNCTURE. AND THEN A CLEAR DEFINITION OF FIRST, SECOND, AND INVOLUNTARY IF THAT IS REQUESTED AND HOW THEY ARE TO DO THAT WHICH IS THE 1700 SECTION.

MS. SCHMAUSS: I DIDN'T GET THAT ONE.

THE COURT: WELL, YOU HAVE THEM IN THERE. BUT THEY ARE ALL BLANK.

MS. SCHMAUSS: OKAY. RIGHT. WE WILL TALK ABOUT AND AGAIN WE WILL GET A CLEAN COPY TYPED UP.

THE COURT: YEAH. WE WILL. BUT THAT'S THE ONLY ISSUE REMAINING. MR. ABLARD, IF YOU HAVE INSTRUCTIONS THAT YOU WOULD LIKE TAILOR-MADE TO THIS CASE, YOU CAN SUBMIT THEM AT THE CONCLUSION OF YOUR CASE.

1	MR. ABLARD: I APPRECIATE IT. THANK YOU.
2	THE COURT: NOW, ARE YOU PREPARED TO OFFER EXHIBITS
3	INTO EVIDENCE AT THIS TIME?
4	MS. SCHMAUSS: YES. I WOULD ASK ALL OF THE EXHIBITS
5	THAT I MARKED, I WOULD MOVE TO ENTER INTO EVIDENCE. AND
6	I LOST COUNT. WHERE ARE WE AT NOW, IN THE 70'S
7	SOMEWHERE?
8	THE CLERK: 80.
9	THE COURT: YES, 80. ARE YOU PREPARED TO DO THAT,
10	MR. ABLARD, OR DO YOU NEED SOME TIME?
11	MR. ABLARD: I WOULD LIKE A LITTLE BIT OF TIME, YOUR
12	HONOR. I DO HAVE
13	THE COURT: OKAY. 1:30.
14	MR. ABLARD: THANK YOU VERY MUCH, YOUR HONOR.
15	(NOON RECESS)
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

	619
1	RANCHO CUCAMONGA, CALIFORNIA; WEDNESDAY, NOVEMBER 1, 1995
2	2:25 P.M.
3	DEPARTMENT 4 HON. ROBERT E. LAW, JUDGE
4	
5	APPEARANCES: SET FORTH ON THE TITLE PAGE.
6	
7	(GAIL GREENLEE, C-8647, OFFICIAL REPORTER.)
8	### <b></b> -
9	THE COURT: HAVE YOU GONE OVER ALL THE EXHIBITS?
10	MR. ABLARD: YES, YOUR HONOR.
11	THE COURT: DO YOU HAVE A LIST FOR ME. OKAY. I
12	HAVE A LIST HERE THAT'S BEEN PREPARED BY THE CLERK, SHOWS
13	EIGHTY EXHIBITS HAVE BEEN MARKED. SOME HAVE BEEN RECEIVED
14	INTO EVIDENCE, 69 THROUGH 75, I BELIEVE WERE RETURNED FOR
15	PROPER STORAGE. THE BALANCE OF EXHIBITS HAVE NOT BEEN
16	RECEIVED.
17	MS. SCHMAUSS: EXCEPT NUMBER 6.
18	MR. ABLARD: NUMBER 6 HAS BEEN RECEIVED. AND I HAVE
19	A COMMENT ON THAT.
20	THE COURT: EXCEPT NUMBER 6 WHICH BECAME EVIDENCE ON
21	10-30.
22	MR. ABLARD: RIGHT. WE ADMITTED THAT TO SHOW IN FACT
23	THAT SHE WAS DEAD.
24	THE COURT: WELL, IT IS ADMITTED FOR WHATEVER PURPOSE
25	IT HAD ADMITTED FOR.
26	MR. ABLARD: OKAY.
27	THE COURT: IN ANY EVENT, THAT'S ALREADY IN EVIDENCE.
28	MR. ABLARD: SO, THEN MY OBJECTION STARTS LATER WHEN

THE COURT IS READY. 1 2 THE COURT: WELL, EXHIBIT 1-5, 73, 68, AND 76 THROUGH 3 80 TO WHICH EXHIBITS DO YOU OBJECT? MR. ABLARD: START OFF WITH EXHIBIT NUMBER 38. 5 THE COURT: EXHIBIT NUMBER 38. MR. ABLARD: RIGHT. PICTURE OF CLOSET. 6 7 THE COURT: PHOTO INSIDE OF CLOSET. MR. ABLARD: RIGHT. 8 MS. SCHMAUSS: LET ME SEE IT. 9 10 MR. ABLARD: ONE OF THE--11 THE COURT: WAIT A MINUTE. WHY DON'T WE DEAL WITH 12 THE ONES TO WHICH YOU OBJECT. 38. 13 MR. ABLARD: ACTUALLY, WHOLE BUNCH OF 'EM. 14 THE COURT: THAT'S NOT A RESPONSE. JUST TELL ME THE NUMBER. 15 MR. ABLARD: I AM COUNTING THEM UP. 16 17 THE COURT: JUST GIVE ME THE NUMBERS. 18 MR. ABLARD: I AM TRYING TO GET SOME OF MY SCRATCH OUT. 38 THROUGH 56. 19 THE COURT: ALL OF THOSE RIGHT. 58 THROUGH 60 20 21 INCLUSIVE, 62 AND PART, 64, 66 THROUGH 68 CONCLUSIVE. 22 THE COURT: THAT'S IT. MR. ABLARD: THAT'S IT. 23 24 THE COURT: WHERE IS 38 THROUGH 56? MR. ABLARD: I HAVE GOT THEM. 25 THE COURT: WELL, BRING THEM UP HERE, PLEASE. WELL, 26 27 BRING SO THAT I CAN LOOK AT ALL OF THEM.

MR. ABLARD: AND A DISCUSSION ON 80, YOUR HONOR. I

1 AM SORRY. LET ME SEE. 76 THROUGH 80 INCLUSIVE. I MISSED 2 THE LAST PART.

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT.

MR. ABLARD: NUMBER 38 I OBJECT TO.

THE COURT: WELL, YOU DIDN'T OBJECT TO 37. SO.

MR. ABLARD: RIGHT. THAT IS CORRECT.

THE COURT: 38.

MR. ABLARD: 38 I OBJECT TO THAT ON TWO GROUNDS:

FIRST OF ALL, THE CLOSET, BUT THE ITEMS IN THERE IS NOT

REPRESENTATIVE OF THE WAY IT WAS WHEN THE CHILD WAS FOUND

ON THE--CORRECT ME IF I AM WRONG-- BUT NOT SURE THAT ALL

THE ITEMS HADN'T BEEN MOVED AROUND IN THERE. SO IT IS NOT

REPRESENTATIVE OF THE ITEMS IN THERE, MAY NOT HAVE BEEN

THERE AT THE TIME OF THE INCIDENT. ADDITIONAL GROUP IS

THERE WAS ALSO A WHAT APPEARS TO BE A BOX WITH A CHINA SEX

DOLL ON IT, SORT OF THAT'S WHAT IT SAYS ANYWAY. AND I

WOULD IF THAT WERE TO BE ADMITTED AND THE OTHER GROUNDS

WERE DENIED, THEN I WOULD REQUEST THAT THAT BE CROPPED.

AND THERE'S OTHER PHOTOS PARTICULARLY 37, AS I RECALL

WOULD TAKE-- MIGHT SHOW BASICALLY THE SAME THING, BUT

WITHOUT THAT CHINA SEX DOLL.

MS. SCHMAUSS: 38 IS IMPORTANT. IT SHOWS THE CHILD
TOY IN THERE THAT THERE ARE CHILDREN'S TOYS IN THERE. THE
DEFENDANT MADE A COMMENT THAT HE THOUGHT THAT THE VICTIM
WOULD GO IN AND PLAY WITH TOYS. SO THAT'S WHY THAT IS
IMPORTANT. I DON'T THINK IT SAYS CHINA SEX DOLL ON IT. I
DIDN'T KNOW WHAT IT WAS. I HAD TO ASK ANGELA JOHNSON.

MR. ABLARD: I READ IT WITH THESE GLASSES.

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

INCLUSIVE ARE PICTURES OF THE CLOSET.

THE COURT: YES. AND 37 IS THE PICTURE OF THE 1 2 CLOSET. MR. ABLARD: YES. 3 THE COURT: OTHER THAN THIS, THEN, BEING A PICTURE 4 OF A CLOSET, THEY DON'T HAVE ANYTHING TO DO WITH ANYTHING 5 AS FAR AS THE COURT CAN TELL. 6 7 MS. SCHMAUSS: WELL, THEY ARE CLOSE-UPS OF HOW THE 8 DEFENDANT'S CLOSET APPEARED AFTER THE BODY HAS BEEN 9 REMOVED. 10 THE COURT: WHAT DOES THAT TEND TO SHOW OR NOT SHOW? MS. SCHMAUSS: SHOWS THE STUFF THAT IS THE CLUTTER 11 IN THE CLOSET, THE THINGS THAT ARE THERE PILED ON TOP OF 12 13 HER. THEY ARE FROM DIFFERENT ANGLES. THEY ASSIST THE FINDER OF FACT ON HOW IT APPEARED ON THE DAY IN QUESTION. 14 THERE HAS BEEN TESTIMONY THAT--15 THE COURT: HOW IT APPEARED AFTER IT WAS REMOVED AND 16 17 ARRANGED BY THE POLICE. 37 IS SUFFICIENT. UNLESS YOU 18 GIVE ME SOMETHING THAT IS REALLY RELEVANT CONNECTED TO THE 19 CASE IN 38, 39, AND 40, NOT NECESSARY. MS. SCHMAUSS: I GOT MY PROBLEM IS I HAVE GOT TO SEE 2.0 THESE IN ORDER TO KNOW. THERE'S SO MANY OF THEM. BY NOT 21 BEING ABLE TO LOOK AT THEM, I CAN'T TELL YOU. 22 MR. ABLARD: 41 AS WELL, OUR HONOR. THAT IS THE SAME 23 24 CATEGORY AS 38. THE COURT: 38, 39, 41. 25 26 40 WILL BE RECEIVED. IT IS A DIFFERENT PICTURE. 27 DIFFERENT LOOKING AT THE CLOSET DIFFERENTLY. 37 AND 40 28 NOW WE ARE GOING TO WHAT?

MS. SCHMAUSS: I NEED THE CHILD TOY. 1 THE COURT: THOSE ARE THE ONES THAT ARE NOT ADMITTED 2 YET. SO YOU CAN HAVE THEM. 3 MS. SCHMAUSS: NO. I AM SAYING--THE COURT: EXCUSE ME. THOSE ARE THE ONES THAT ARE NOT YET ADMITTED. YOU CAN HAVE THEM. TAKE THEM WITH 6 7 YOU. WE MOVE TO FORTY--8 9 MR. ABLARD: --1. THE COURT: --TWO. HERE I DON'T HAVE 42. I HAVE 10 7,8,9,10, 11, 12, 13, 35, 36, AND 37. WITHOUT OBJECTION 11 12 ARE RECEIVED. HERE THEY ARE. NO OBJECTION TO 1 THROUGH 13 37 ARE RECEIVED. 6 IS ALREADY RECEIVED. 14 40 IS RECEIVED. I HAVE 59 AND 60. I DO NOT HAVE 15 16 58. MR. ABLARD: OH, THAT'S THE BIG PICTURE, JUDGE. 15 17 18 IS THE BIG PICTURE. THE COURT: 58 IS RECEIVED. 19 MR. ABLARD: THAT IS-- WELL, I HAD AN OBJECTION TO 20 21 THAT. THE COURT: WELL, I KNOW YOU DID. I THOUGHT WE WENT 22 OVER THAT 23 MR. ABLARD: WELL, WE WENT OVER IT. MAYBE I JUST 24 MISTAKEN WE WENT OVER IT AS TO WHETHER OR NOT THEY COULD 25 USE IT TO SHOW THE JURY. I DON'T KNOW IF WE ACTUALLY I 26 27 HAD GONE OVER IT FOR ADMISSION OF EVIDENCE. THE COURT: WELL, IT HAS BEEN PUBLISHED TO THE JURY. 28

1 SO IT IS RECEIVED. MR. ABLARD: OKAY. 3 DON'T--5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

THE COURT: 59 AND 60, TWO MORE PICTURES OF BRITTANY.

MR. ABLARD: YES, CUMULATIVE, YOUR HONOR, AND I

MS. SCHMAUSS: NO THEY ARE NOT, YOUR HONOR. BECAUSE THEY SHOW HER IN DIFFERENT TIMES OVER THE TIME FRAME WHERE THERE WAS A DEBATE OVER HER STATE OF HEALTH. TESTIMONY FROM THE MOTHER WAS THAT THE BIG PICTURE WAS TAKEN IN MAY OF'93. AND THE PICTURE OF THE BEACH WAS TAKEN APPROXIMATELY JUNE, JULY, I BELIEVE. AND THE PICTURE OF HER ON THE HORSE WAS TWO WEEKS BEFORE HER DEATH. SO WE NOW HAVE PHOTOS OF HER OVER THAT SIX MONTH SPAN.

MR. ABLARD: I HAVE OTHER PROBLEM WITH THAT, YOUR HONOR.

THE COURT: THEY ARE?

MR. ABLARD: WELL, FIRST OF ALL, WE HAVE THE BIG PICTURE. TIME FRAMES AREN'T ALL THAT IMPORTANT. BECAUSE A PERSON LOOKS HEALTHY IN A PICTURE DOESN'T MEAN THEY ARE HEALTHY. BUT THE REAL PROBLEM I HAVE WITH IT IS THE PROSECUTION AND EVERYONE HAS SELECTED CERTAIN PHOTOGRAPHS TO SHOW THE JURY THAT ONE IS IN A BATHING SUIT, A RATHER CUTE LITTLE PICTURE. THE OTHER ONE IS ON A HORSEBACK. IF THEY WEREN'T PICTURES FROM THAT TIME PERIOD, SHOUD BE NOT AS PREJUDICIAL. YOU KNOW, WE ALREADY KNOW THAT SHE WAS ALIVE AND NOW SHE IS DEAD. AND THESE ARE RATHER PREJUDICIAL. THE PICTURE, THE JURY CAN SEE HOW CUTE SHE COULD LOOK. THE ONE WITH HER TONGUE STICKING OUT AT THE

```
BEACH, ALL THAT SORT OF THING REALLY GOES A BIT BEYOND
 1
      IDENTIFICATION TO SEE SHE WAS HEALTHY. I WILL SUBMIT.
 2
 3
           THE COURT: BIG PICTURE GOES IN. 59. AND 60 IS NOT.
      ESSENTIALLY IT IS CUMULATIVE.
        MR. ABLARD: THANK YOU.
 5
          THE COURT: 62.
 6
          MR. ABLARD: OKAY.
 7
          THE COURT: IN PART.
 8
          MR. ABLARD: IN PART. AT THE BOTTOM IF THE DISPATCH
 9
     LONG, YOUR HONOR, REFERS TO THE WARRANT FROM THE 242 AND
10
     THE BAIL AMOUNT. I WOULD REQUEST THAT THAT--
11
12
          THE COURT: REDACT IT.
          MR. ABLARD: THANK YOU.
13
14
          THE COURT: 64.
          MR. ABLARD: 64 IS TWEETIE-BIRD VIDEO. MY OBJECTION
15
     IS IN PART THAT WELL, ACTUALLY IT'S MOOT ISSUE AS LONG AS
16
     THEY JUST USE IT FOR THE VIDEO AND DON'T LOOK AT THAT.
17
          THE COURT: TO BE PLAYED.
18
19
          MR. ABLARD: RIGHT. I MEAN IT IS NOT GOING TO PLAY
      IT BUT THAT WAS MY ONLY COMMENT.
20
          THE COURT: NOT TO BE PLAYED. IT IS AN ITEM.
21
22
          MR. ABLARD: RIGHT.
        THE COURT: THE EXISTENCE OF WHICH IS RELEVANT. IT
23
24
     IS RECEIVED.
          THE CLERK: WHICH NUMBER WAS THAT?
25
          THE COURT: 64. 66, 67, AND 68.
26
          MR. ABLARD: OKAY.
27
          THE COURT: WHAT IS 66? I DON'T HAVE 66.
28
```

	62,
1	MR. ABLARD: 66 SHOULD BE IT WAS A PRELIMINARY
2	HEARING DIAGRAM. IF I MAY, MAY I APPROACH?
.3	THE COURT: YEAH, WELL. SORT OF LOOKS LIKE WHEN IT IS
4	ALL FOLDED UP.
5	THE COURT: 67, NOT RECEIVED.
6	MS. SCHMAUSS: I WAS NOT EVEN INTENDED 67 TO BE
7	RECEIVED BY THE JURY. THAT WAS FOR THE COURT.
8	THE COURT: IT IS RECEIVED FOR THE PURPOSES OF THE
9	COURT'S DETERMINATION.
10	MS. SCHMAUSS: RIGHT.
11	THE COURT: BUT NOT FOR THE JURY.
12	MS. SCHMAUSS: FOR THE 402 HEARING.
13	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT.
14	68. I DON'T HAVE ANY DISCUSSION ABOUT THIS.
15	MR. ABLARD: NO.
16	THE COURT: TWO HOOTS AND A HOLLER. 66.
17	MS. SCHMAUSS: WHICH ONE.
18	MR. ABLARD: THAT'S THE ONE OFFICER TESTIFIED THAT
19	MS. SCHMAUSS: KELLY.
20	MR. ABLARD: THAT THAT WAS THE POSITION OF THE BODY.
21	BUT IT'S SO
22	MS. SCHMAUSS: OFFICER KELLY TESTIFIED AT LENGTH
23	ABOUT IT. HE TESTIFIED THIS IS A DRAWING HE MADE AND YES,
24	IT IS KIND OF WEIRD LOOKING. BUT HE EXPLAINED IT. THAT
25	WAS THE POSITION OF THE BODY AND WHICH WAY SHE WAS
26	LAYING. AND HE DREW THE TOOL BOX IN AND HE DREW THE BAG.
27	IT IS NOT ARTFUL, BUT HE TALKED TEN MINUTES ABOUT IT.
28	MR. ABLARD: HE DID DO A DIAGRAM OF THE HOUSE.

1 THE COURT: I WILL RECEIVE IT. 68. MR. ABLARD: JUDGE, THE OBJECTION ON THAT, THAT WAS 3 THE DNA DIAGRAM. THE COURT: RECEIVED. 76 THROUGH 80, CASSETTE. MR. ABLARD: THE JURY HAS ALREADY HEARD THEM. 5 HOWEVER, ONCE AGAIN, I RENEW MY OBJECTION AS INITIALLY 6 7 THAT I OBJECT TO THE JURY HEARING AT ALL. MS. SCHMAUSS: IT SHOWS NOT ONLY THE TESTIMONY BUT 8 DEMEANOR AND SO ON AND SO FORTH. 10 MR. ABLARD: SO, I OBJECT TO THOSE AS BEING 11 PREJUDICIAL. AND THAT 76 OR 69 INCLUSIVE. I MOVE ON TO 80. 80 NEEDS TO BE ADMITTED. 12 13 THE COURT: WELL, EXCUSE ME. I AM GOING TO RULE ON 76 14 THROUGH 69-- THOSE ARE THE THROUGH 78. THOSE ARE THE STATEMENTS MADE, THE THREE SIDES OF THE CASSETTE. THEY 15 HAVE BEEN PLAYED. THE COURT RULED THEY ARE ADMISSIBLE 16 17 RIGHT OR WRONG? THEY ARE IN. 18 79 IS A COPY OF A PAGE OF THE TV GUIDE. THAT WAS 19 STIPULATED TO. MS. SCHMAUSS: RIGHT. 20 THE COURT: THAT'S RECEIVED. 21 22 MR. ABLARD: THAT'S TRUE. ACTUALLY, I CROSSED THAT OUT. IT WAS ONLY 76 THROUGH 67. AND THEN 80. 23 THE COURT: 80. ALL RIGHT. 80 IS AS FOLLOWS: 24 HERE'S WHAT'S HAPPENING TO THE TRANSCRIPT. THE CORRECTED, 25 26 REDACTED, FINAL COPY THAT APPEARS TO TRANSCRIBE THAT WHICH 27 THE JURY HEARD WILL BE MARKED AS AN EXHIBIT AND AVAILABLE

28

FOR THEM.

1 MR. ABLARD: YES.

THE COURT: THE NON-REDACTED TRANSCRIPT WILL BE
MARKED AS 80 PRIME 1, NOT TO BE DELIVERED TO THE JURY, BUT
PRESERVED FOR THE RECORD. THE RECORD, I THINK THEREAFTER,
I THINK AMPLY SHOWS THAT ALL REDACTIONS ARE BY AGREEMENT
EXCEPTED BY THOSE THE RECORD RULED ON WHICH ARE PART OF
THE RECORD. AND THEREFORE, THE RECORD IS PROTECTED WITH
ONLY THE WE DON'T HAVE TO GO THROUGH THE YELLOW AND THE
GREEN AND ALL THE REST OF IT.

MR. ABLARD: THAT IS CORRECT.

THE COURT: SO, WE HAVE TWO. WE HAVE 80 PRIME, OR 80 SUB A OR WHATEVER WE WANT TO CALL IT WHICH IS NON-REDACTED. WE HAVE 80 REAL WHICH IS REDACTED. AND THE RECORD REFLECTS BY AGREEMENT EXCEPT BY WHERE THE COURT MADE ORDERS. THAT'S THE NEED OF THAT.

WHAT ELSE?

MR. ABLARD: WE HAVE TO GO BACK TO PHOTOS OF THE VICTIM. JUST ALERT THE CLERK THAT RIGHT NOW, THE NON-REDACTED IS 80, SO WHEN WE GET THAT BACK.

THE COURT: I TELL YOU WHAT WE DO. KEEP THE RECORD VERY CLEAR. IT SAYS REDACTED. BUT IT'S ONLY GOT THE YELLOW ON IT.

MS. SCHMAUSS: IT REDACTED IN YELLOW.

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. THE NON-REDACTED BUT MARKED WILL BE 80. 81 IS GOING TO BECOME A NEW EXHIBIT WHICH WILL BLACK THROUGH THE WHICH HAS BEEN ORDERED OR AGREED REDACTED FROM 80 WHICH WILL GO TO THE JURY. HOW IS THAT.

28 MR. ABLARD: GREAT. THANK YOU.

1 THE COURT: SO, WE ARE GOING TO ADD AN 80 THAT WE DON'T YET HAVE. THOSE PHOTOGRAPHS YOU HAVE IN HAND. MS. SCHMAUSS: I WILL AGREE OR I WON'T ARGUE ABOUT NUMBERS 38 AND 39. THE COURT: NOT RECEIVED. 5 MS. SCHMAUSS: MY ONLY PROBLEM IS I STILL WANT NUMBER 6 7 41 BECAUSE I THINK IT IS THE ONLY ONE THAT SHOWS THE CHILD 8 TOY THAT HAS BEEN REFERRED TO NUMEROUS TIMES IN THIS TRIAL. 10 THE COURT: RECEIVED. WHAT ELSE? 11 MR. ABLARD: WE HAVE THE 42 THROUGH 56 INCLUSIVE PHOTOS OF THE VICTIM. 12 13 THE COURT: DIDN'T 40--I DIDN'T. MR. ABLARD: WHAT NUMBERS. YES, 42 THROUGH 56 14 15 EXCLUSIVE. MS. SCHMAUSS: I DON'T HAVE THOSE. 16 THE COURT: I HAVE THEM. 17 18 MS. SCHMAUSS: HE WANTS 41 BACK. DID YOU WANT TO 19 COPY OR DO YOU RATHER NOT. THE COURT: AS PART OF THE THING ON HERE? I DON'T 20 KNOW WHICH OF THOSE. 21 22 DO YOU NEED IT? MS. SCHMAUSS: YES. 23 24 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. MS. SCHMAUSS: THOSE ARE NOT MERELY AS GRAPHIC AS THE 25 26 NEXT CASE. 27 THE COURT: I KNOW. BUT THIS IS A LITTLE GIRL. 28 MS. SCHMAUSS: A LITTLE BOY ON THE NEXT CASE.

- 1 MR. ABLARD: INTERESTING LINE BUT I HAVE A OUESTION. 2 IF I MAY OBJECT FOR THE RECORD AS TO THOSE AUTOPSY PHOTOS. I DON'T THINK THAT THEY ARE NEEDED THAT MUCH. THERE HAS BEEN TESTIMONY OF THE BRUISE ON THE BACK OF THE SKILL 4 WHERE THE JURY CAN LOOK AT THOSE AND DECIDE FOR THE 5 6 BRUISES OR WHATEVER THERE WAS IS REALLY A BIT NEBULOUS. 7 AND I THINK GIVEN THOSE, THE ACTUAL AUTOPSY PHOTOS, WHAT THEY LOOK LIKE FOR THE VALUE THAT THE JURY WOULD GET. 8 REALLY BE DEMINIMIS. THERE'S NOTHING THERE. DOESN'T 10 SHOW ANYTHING EXCEPT A SCALP TORN AWAY, A BIG RED BLOODY 11 MASS. MS. SCHMAUSS: I THINK THEY ARE VERY IMPORTANT. THEY 12 13 SHOW VERY GRAPHICALLY THE INJURY TO THIS CHILD. THE COURT: BUT THEY ARE NOT DESCRIBED BY ANY WITNESS 14 THAT THEY ARE MARKS THAT YOU TELL REALLY. 15 MS. SCHMAUSS: DESCRIBED BY DR. SHERIDAN IN GREAT 16
  - DETAIL TALKING ABOUT THE AUTOPSY PICTURES.

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- THE COURT: TALKING ABOUT THESE WHERE THEY GOT HER SKIN AND STUFF. HE DESCRIBED IN GREAT DETAIL THE INJURIES, THE VARIOUS INJURIES OF TEMPLE, AS HE CALLED IT. AND THEN TO THE BACK OF THE HEAD. THAT'S WHAT THEY SHOW. THERE'S ONLY THREE.
- MR. ABLARD: ONE PICTURE IS WORTH A THOUSAND WORDS, YOU KNOW.
- MS. SCHMAUSS: YEAH. AND I THINK THE JURY HAS THE RIGHT AND THE DUTY TO LOOK AT THOSE.
- MR. ABLARD: THE PROBLEM BECOMES TWO THINGS, JUDGE. 27 ONE, SOME BRUISING ON THE INSIDE OF THE TEMPLE AND HE 28

632 TALKED ABOUT THE BRUISE. 1 THE COURT: THE PICTURES SHOW THE BLOOD IN THE 2 PARTICULAR AREAS. IS RELEVANT. I DON'T LIKE THEM. 3 BUT 4 THEY ARE RECEIVED. THE CLERK: ALL OF THEM? 5 6 THE COURT: WELL, THOSE THREE, 54, 5, AND 6. THANK 7 YOU. NOW, THE REST OF THESE ALL ARE APPEAR TO BE ABOUT THE SAME THING. THEY ALL HAVE HER IN VARIOUS STAGES OF 9 THE EMERGENCY ROOM. WHAT DOES THAT SHOW? 10 MS. SCHMAUSS: SHOWS THE BODY, THE CHILD VERY SHORTLY 11 AFTER SHE WAS REMOVED FROM THE CLOSET. THERE WAS A STATEMENT IN TRANSCRIPT SHE LOOKED LIKE SHE WAS SLEEPING. 12 13 THAT'S WHAT SHE LOOKS LIKE. THE DEFENDANT CLAIMING SHE LOOKS DEAD. SHE LOOKED BLUE. 14 THE JURY CAN SEE FOR THEMSELVES. SHE LOOKS LIKE A 15 16 LITTLE GIRL SLEEPING. 17 MR. ABLARD: THE PROBLEM IS THOSE WERE TAKEN NOT IN THE CLOSET, BUT THEY ARE TAKEN AT THE HOSPITAL. WE DON'T 18 19 KNOW EXACTLY WHAT POINT. 20 THE COURT: GIVE ME ANOTHER BASIS FOR THE --MS. SCHMAUSS: HERE IS REDNESS ON THE NECK, 43. 21 THE COURT: RIGHT. 22 MR. ABLARD: THAT IS--23 24 THE COURT: THAT IS DESCRIBED. RECEIVED. 25 MR. ABLARD: I SHOULD INDICATE, JUDGE, IF I MAY, THAT

THE TEMPLE WAS-- THERE WERE NO EXTERNAL BRUISING ON THE

TO BE CONFUSING. BECAUSE THAT WAS FROM RESUSCITATION .

NECK. IT WAS ALL INTERNAL. THE PROBLEM IS THAT IS GOING

26

27

THERE WAS NO BRUISING ON THE EXTERNAL PORTION OF THE NECK. 1 MS. SCHMAUSS: NOT BY THE TIME THE DOCTOR GOT HER. 2. THEY GOT HER SOME TWENTY-FOUR HOURS LATER AFTER SHE WAS IN . THE DEEP FREEZE. MR. ABLARD: THAT'S WHAT AUTOPSIES ARE ABOUT. THEY 5 CAN TELL IF THE SKIN IS DISCOLORED ON THE OUTSIDE OR 6 INSIDE. THE COURT: 43 IS RECEIVED. 8 9 MR. ABLARD: OKAY. THE COURT: 52 IS NOT. 51 IS NOT. 50, WHAT DOES THAT 10 HELP US DECIDE. THAT'S THAT LIVIDITY. NO EVIDENCE OF 11 LIVIDITY. NOBODY QUALIFIED OR HAD AN OPINION. 53. 12 13 MS. SCHMAUSS: WHAT NUMBER WAS THE LIVIDITY? THE COURT: 50. 14 MR. ABLARD: THAT'S NOT. 15 THE COURT: NOT. 50. 16 17 53 IS RECEIVED. SHOWS THE TORN FRENULUM. MS. SCHMAUSS: ALSO, THERE WAS TALK ABOUT A BREATHING 18 19 TUBE. WE HAD A PICTURE SHOWING THAT. THE COURT: THE BREATHING TUBE? 20 21 MS. SCHMAUSS: RIGHT. WHETHER THAT COULD HAVE INJURED HER FRENULUM. AND HE TALKED ABOUT THAT. 22 THE COURT: WELL, YOU CAN'T TELL BECAUSE IT IS ALL 23 24 TAPED. AND THERE'S INJURY IN EXISTENCE. SO, IT DOESN'T 25 TELL ME WHAT ANY OF THE REST OF THESE ASSIST US IN 26 DECIDING. 27 MS. SCHMAUSS: WELL, THE REDNESS ON THE NECK, I WOULD 28 AGAIN--

THE COURT: I DIDN'T I HAVE ONE OF THOSE. 1 MS. SCHMAUSS: DO YOU HAVE ONE OF THOSE? 2 3 MR. ABLARD: WE ALREADY HAVE A RED NECK ONE. MS. SCHMAUSS: GOT A RED-NECK ONE. 4 5 THE COURT: SO, 50--6 MS. SCHMAUSS: OKAY. 46 SHOWS HER SHIRT WITH HER. 7 THE COURT: 50, 51, 52 ARE NOT RECEIVED. MS. SCHMAUSS: HOW ABOUT 46, IF I CHOOSE 46 OUT OF 8 ALL OF THESE TO SHOW? LOOKS LIKE SLEEPING LITTLE GIRL. 9 10 THE COURT: 46 IS IN. 11 MS. SCHMAUSS: I AM ALMOST DONE. 12 THE COURT: OKAY. 46 IS IN. 13 MS. SCHMAUSS: ONLY ONE I WANT IS 47 TO SHOW THE SIZE OF THE CHILD AND THAT'S RIGHT AFTER SHE DIED. IT IS VERY 14 15 RELEVANT. 16 THE COURT: THE SIZE. 17 MS. SCHMAUSS: THIS IS A GOOD LONG SHOT OF HER SIZE. 18 THE COURT: IT IS RECEIVED. 19 MS. SCHMAUSS: WHAT NUMBER? MR. ABLARD: OBJECTION NOTED TO THAT FOR THE RECORD? 20 MS. SCHMAUSS: WHAT WAS THE OTHER ONE I JUST GOT? 21 22 MR. ABLARD: THERE HAS BEEN TESTIMONY OF SIZE OF GIRL. WE HAVE A BIG PICTURE OF THE GIRL. THIS IS ON THE 23 24 ACTUAL AUTOPSY TABLE. AND I THINK IT IS HIGHLY 25 PREJUDICIAL AN CUMULATIVE. MS. SCHMAUSS: THEREFORE, 44, 45, 42, 44, 45, 48, AND 26 27 49 ARE NOT RECEIVED; CORRECT. 28 THE COURT: THAT IS CORRECT.

1 MR. ABLARD: SAY THAT AGAIN. MS. SCHMAUSS: 40-- AGAIN, IN ORDER AGAIN, 42, 44, 3 45, 48, AND 49 ARE NOT RECEIVED. 4 MR. ABLARD: YOU HAVE THE REST. 5 THE COURT: OKAY. MR. ABLARD: I THINK THAT'S IT. AND ALL OF MY 6 7 OBJECTIONS ARE NOTED. THE COURT: YES. 8 9 MR. ABLARD: THANK YOU. 10 MS. SCHMAUSS: THANK YOU. HERE IS THE NOT-RECEIVED. THE COURT: DO YOU HAVE A HUNCH AS TO HOW WE ARE 11 PROCEEDING TOMORROW? WE CAN BE OFF THE RECORD. 12 13 (PROCEEDINGS NOT REPORTED.) MR. ABLARD: NUMBER 41 IS OUT; RIGHT. 14 THE COURT: NO, IN. 15 MR. ABLARD: THEN, JUDGE, I LIKE TO HAVE THAT 16 17 CROPPED. MS. SCHMAUSS: SEX IS CUT OUT, I THINK. 18 19 MR. ABLARD: WELL, YEAH. BUT THERE'S NO SEX ON THAT. CAN YOU CAN'T SEE IT. IT IS LIKE A BOX. 20 THE COURT: YOU CAN'T READ IT. 21 MS. SCHMAUSS: I KNOW. 22 MR. ABLARD: YEAH. I KNOW. BUT WHEN I FIRST LOOKED 23 AT IT, I KNEW IT WAS SOMETHING. I AM NOT GOING TO TELL 24 25 YOU WHY. IT IS RATHER OBVIOUS WHAT IT IS, JUDGE. THERE'S TWO OF US HERE THAT KNEW WHAT IT WAS. I WOULD MAKE A 26 27 MOTION SIMPLY TO HAVE THAT PART CROPPED OUT. THE REST OF

28

IT COMES IN.

1 THE COURT: DENIED. THE REAL WORLD SNEAKS IN. 2 DON'T CUT OUT EVIDENCE THAT EXISTS IN THE REAL WORLD. MR. ABLARD: YOUR HONOR, I CAN READ THAT. THAT'S THE PROBLEM. I CAN READ IT. I MEAN IT IS CLEAR AS DAY. IT SAY CHINA SEX GIRL. THAT'S THE PROBLEM. I MEAN, I CAN 5 READ IT. 6 MS. SCHMAUSS: I CAN'T. 8 MR. ABLARD: HOW DID I KNOW WHAT IT WAS. THE COURT: BECAUSE YOU READ IT IN THE OTHER PICTURE 10 AND KNEW, YOU KNOW. 11 MR. ABLARD: IT IS RIGHT THERE, JUDGE. 12 THE COURT: SO, I WOULD REQUEST THAT THAT'S 13 PREJUDICIAL, PARTICULARLY GIVEN THE PROBLEMS IN THIS CASE WITH MOTIVE AND THE LACK THEREOF, AND ALL THAT, I WOULD 14 REQUEST THAT WE CROP THAT ONE LITTLE JUST CROP THE --15 16 MS. SCHMAUSS: SCRATCH OUT CHINA SEX DOLL. 17 THE COURT: PUT A PIECE OF TAPE ON IT. TELL THEM NOT TO LOOK UNDERNEATH. 18 19 MR. ABLARD: THAT WILL BE FINE. IF I CHANGE MY MIND, 2.0 WE CAN PULL THE TAPE OF. 21 MS. SCHMAUSS: HOW ABOUT IF WE LINE THROUGH THE CHINA 22 SEX GIRL THING. MR. ABLARD: I AM SUBMITTING IT. 23 THE COURT: IF YOU HAVE A PICTURE OF A MAGAZINE ON 24 25 YOUR FLOOR WHEN YOU HAVE YOUR ROOM PHOTOGRAPHED AFTER THE BODY IS FOUND IN IT, THAT'S JUST THE WAY LIFE IS. 26 27 THANK YOU. 28 (PROCEEDINGS CONTINUED TO THE FOLLOWING DAY.)

	637
1	RANCHO CUCAMONGA, CALIFORNIA; THURSDAY, NOVEMBER 2, 1995
2	
3	10:00 A.M.
4	DEPARTMENT 4 HON. ROBERT E. LAW, JUDGE
5	
6	APPEARANCES: SET FORTH ON THE TITLE PAGE.
7	
8	(GAIL GREENLEE, C-8647, OFFICIAL REPORTER.)
9	###
10	(THE FOLLOWING PROCEEDINGS WERE HELD IN
11	OPEN COURT OUTSIDE THE PRESENCE OF THE JURY:)
12	THE COURT: PEOPLE VS. JOHNSON. EVERYBODY IS PRESENT
13	BUT THE JURY. WHAT CAN I DO FOR YOU?
14	MR. ABLARD: JUST BRIEFLY, YOUR HONOR. WITH REGARD
15	TO THE STIPULATION MS. SCHMAUSS AND I DISUCSSED
16	YESTERDAY. AND STIPULATION BE
17	MS. SCHMAUSS: THAT NO POLYGRAPH TEST WAS EVER OR THE
18	DEFENDANT NEVER DID TAKE A POLYGRAPH TEST. PERIOD.
19	WITHOUT ANY FURTHER AMPLIFICATION.
20	THE COURT: WHY.
21	MR. ABLARD: IT WAS IN THE I THOUGHT THAT THEY WERE
22	GOING TO REDACT IT. THEY THOUGHT I AS GOING TO REDACT IT.
23	IT WAS IN THE TAPE, THE ISSUE OF THE POLYGRAPH. AND THERE
24	WAS NEVER ONE TAKEN.
25	MS. SCHMAUSS: HE DIDN'T REQUEST IT. I DIDN'T TAKE
26	OUT ANYTHING ON MY OWN.
27	MR. ABLARD: AND SO WELL, BE THAT AS IT MAY, THE JURY
28	HAS HEARD THAT THERE IS A REQUEST FOR IT. OFFICER SAYS

1 WELL, THE NEXT SCENE COMING. AND IT JUST SORT OF GOES OFF IN ORBIT. AND I SORT OF A LITTLE CONFUSING. I THINK TO 2. THE JURY. MS. SCHMAUSS: WE WILL STIPULATE THAT THE DEFENDANT 4 DID NOT TAKE A POLYGRAPH TEST. 5 MR. ABLARD: THAT'S FINE. WELL, ONE WAS NOT GIVEN. 6 7 MS. SCHMAUSS: YES. BUT SEE, THAT'S OPEN TO INTERPRETATION TOO. HE GOT AN ATTORNEY. AND WE ARE NOT 8 9 ALLOWED--10 THE COURT: I HEARD THAT ON THE TAPE. IT SURPRISED ME SLIGHTLY. I AM GOING TO, IF YOU FOLKS AGREE, INFORM 11 THE JURY THAT THERE WAS NO POLYGRAPH EXAM. 12 13 MS. SCHMAUSS: PERIOD. THE COURT: IN THIS CASE. 14 MS. SCHMAUSS: THERE WAS NONE. 15 THE COURT: AND IF THERE HAD BEEN, I WOULDN'T ADMIT 16 17 IT INTO EVIDENCE ANYWAY BECAUSE THEY ARE NOT RELIABLE. 18 ANYWAY, THANK YOU. MR. ABLARD: AS FAR AS MY 1118 MOTION. 19 THE COURT: IS THAT--20 21 MR. ABLARD: I RESERVE THAT UNTIL AFTER MY CASE IS IN 22 CHIEF. THE COURT: WELL, YOU CAN MAKE ONE ANY TIME YOU 23 24 CHOOSE. MR. ABLARD: THANK YOU. WE ALSO HAVE AN AGREEMENT 25 26 THAT MS. RETHORN, MR. RIGGS, AND MR. LOPEZ COULD BE 27 PRESENT DURING THE OFFICER'S TESTIMONY. BUT THEN THEY 28 WOULD BE EXCLUDED DURING EACH OTHER'S TESTIMONY.

1	MS. SCHMAUSS: YOU MEAN MR. RIGGS.
2	MR. ABLARD: DIDN'T I SAY THAT?
3	MS. SCHMAUSS: I THINK YOU SAID MR. LOPEZ.
4	MR. ABLARD: I AM SORRY. THOSE THREE.
5	THE COURT: FINE.
6	MR. ABLARD: THANK YOU. AND ALSO AS TO WHEN
7	CRAWFORD AND FERRERA TESTIFY, THEY BE EXCLUDED.
8	THE COURT: FINE. ARE WE READY FOR THE JURY?
9	MR. ABLARD: YES, YOUR HONOR.
10	(WHERE UPON THE JURY ENTERED THE COURTROOM, AND
11	THE FOLLOWING PROCEEDINGS WERE HELD:)
12	THE COURT: GOOD MORNING, LADIES AND GENTLEMEN. IT'S
13	BEEN AGREED BY THE LAWYERS THAT I SHOULD ADVISE YOU AS
14	FOLLOWS: THERE WAS MENTION ON THE TAPE YOU HEARD ABOUT A
15	POLYGRAPH EXAMINATION. THERE WAS NO POLYGRAPH
16	EXAMINATION. AND IF THERE HAD BEEN, IT WOULDN'T BE
17	ADMITTED INTO EVIDENCE IN MY COURT ANYWAY. IF THAT HELPS
18	YOU ANY.
19	THE DEFENSE IS GOING TO START THEIR CASE NOW.
20	MR. ABLARD.
21	MR. ABLARD: THANK YOU VERY MUCH, YOUR HONOR. WE
22	CALL OFFICER DONLEY TO THE STAND.
23	THE COURT: OFFICER DONLEY, YOU ARE STILL UNDER OATH
24	FROM EARLIER.
25	MICHAEL DONLEY
26	HAVING BEEN PREVIOUSLY SWORN, RESUMED THE STAND, WAS
27	EXAMINED, AND TESTIFIED AS FOLLOWS:
28	DIRECT EXAMINATION

	040
1	BY MR. ABLARD:
2	Q OFFICER DONLEY, HOW ARE YOU?
3	A YES, SIR.
4	Q OKAY. THE OTHER DAY, WE DISCUSSED THAT YOU WERE
5	THE LEAD INVESTIGATOR IN THIS MATTER; IS THAT CORRECT?
6	A YES.
7	Q OKAY. AND AS THE LEAD INVESTIGATOR, AS THE
8	OTHER REPORTS FROM THE OTHER OFFICERS CONCERNING THIS
9	INCIDENT WOULD GO, BE APPROVED BY A SERGEANT AND THEN COME
10	BACK TO YOU?
11	A CORRECT.
12	Q AND YOU WOULD THEN REVIEW THOSE AND MAKE
13	DETERMINATIONS IF FURTHER ACTIONS WERE TO BE TAKEN?
14	A YES.
15	Q SO, WE CAN ASSUME THEN THAT YOU HAVE READ THE
16	REPORTS AND INTERVIEWS IN THIS MATTER?
17	A YES.
18	Q OKAY. THE FIRST INTERVIEW THAT YOU HAD WITH
19	MR. JOHNSON WAS THAT THE TAPED INTERVIEW THAT WE HEARD
20	YESTERDAY THAT YOU PERSONALLY HAD WITH?
21	A YES, SIR, THAT I HAD WITH HIM.
22	Q YES, CORRECT?
23	A YES.
24	Q THERE WAS ALSO AN INTERVIEW WITH MR. JOHNSON BY
25	OFFICER BALES. THAT WAS WHEN BALES BASICALLY FIRST
26	ARRIVED, WAS AT THE HOUSE THE MORNING OF THE INCIDENT?
27	A YES, SIR. AND BRITTANY WAS DISCOVERED TO BE
28	DEAD.

1	Q ARE YOU AWARE OF ANY TIME THAT MR. JOHNSON GOT
2	TOGETHER WITH MR. RIGGS AND MRS. RETHORN TO DISCUSS ANY
3	TYPE OF WHAT HAPPENED?
4	A NO, I AM NOT AWARE.
5-	Q OKAY. ON THE DAY OF THE INCIDENT, DID
6	MR. BALES, OFFICER BALES INTERVIEW RIGGS AND RETHORN?
7	A YES.
8	Q OKAY. AND THEN ON THE 11TH, YOU WERE PRESENT IN
9	INTERVIEW WITH RIGGS AND RETHORN THAT WAS TAPED?
10	A I BELIEVE THAT WAS ON THE 12TH.
11	Q WAS THAT ON THE 12TH?
12	A YES.
13	Q OKAY. AND IN YOUR INTERVIEW WITH MR. JOHNSON
14	THAT WAS TAPED, DID HE TELL YOU THAT HE WAS AWAKENED THAT
15	MORNING WHEN DARIN YELLED AT BRITTANY NOT TO COME IN
16	WITHOUT KNOCKING?
17	MS. SCHMAUSS: OBJECTION, YOUR HONOR. TAPES SPEAK
18	FOR THEMSELVES.
19	THE COURT: OVERRULED. YOU MAY ANSWER THE QUESTION.
20	A YES, SIR.
21	Q BY MR. ABLARD: AND WHEN YOU REVIEWED OFFICER
22	BALES' REPORT, DO YOU REMEMBER READING RETHORN TOLD BALES
23	THAT SHE AND RIGGS WERE AWAKENED BY BRITTANY COMING INTO
24	THE ROOM AND THAT RIGGS TOLD HER NOT TO ENTER THE ROOM
25	WITHOUT KNOCKING?
26	A YES.
27	Q OKAY. ARE YOU AWARE IN THAT SAME REPORT BALES
28	WRITES THAT RIGGS STATES THAT HE WAS AWAKENED AT 7 A.M. BY

1 BRITTANY COMING INTO THE ROOM; THAT HE SHOUTED AT BRITTANY 2 NOT TO COME IN WITHOUT KNOCKING? MS. SCHMAUSS: I AM GOING TO OBJECT TO HEARSAY ON THE BALES' REPORT; NOT PERSONAL KNOWLEDGE OF THIS OFFICER. 4 MR. ABLARD: YOUR HONOR, IT GOES TO THE ISSUE OF THE 5 6 INVESTIGATION STATE OF MIND. THE COURT: HIS STATE OF MIND? MR. ABLARD: IT GOES TO THE OFFICER'S STATE OF MIND 8 AND WHAT HE DID TO INVESTIGATE THIS MATTER WITH THE 10 INFORMATION THAT HE HAD. THE COURT: SO, YOU ARE ASKING IF HE HAD THIS AS 11 INFORMATION. ALREADY THESE STATEMENTS ARE OUT-OF-COURT 12 13 STATEMENTS BY SOMEBODY WHO ISN'T TESTIFYING TO THEM. SO, THEY ARE GOING TO BE ADMITTED FOR THE LIMITED PURPOSES OF 14 15 WHAT THE OFFICER DID WHEN HE GATHERED THE INFORMATION. AND NOT FOR THE TRUTH THEREOF. 16 17 MR. ABLARD: THANK YOU. 18 THE COURT: I WILL INSTRUCT YOU ON THAT WHEN I ADMIT 19 EVIDENCE FOR LIMITED PURPOSES, YOU ARE ONLY TO UTILIZE IT 2.0 FOR THAT LIMITED PURPOSE. GO AHEAD. 21 Α YOU ARE GOING TO HAVE TO REPEAT YOUR QUESTION. BY MR. ABLARD: OKAY. IN BALES' REPORT--22 0 A YES. 23 -- ORIGINAL REPORT, DO YOU RECALL RIGGS STATED 24 25 THAT HE WAS AWAKENED AT 7 A.M. BY BRITTANY COMING INTO THE 26 ROOM; THAT HE SHOUTED AT BRITTANY TO COME IN WITHOUT 27 KNOCKING? 28 A THAT RIGGS SHOUTED?

	643
1	Q YES?
2	A YES.
3 .	Q OKAY. NOW, ON THE FOLLOWING DAY, THE INTERVIEW
4	WITH JENNIFER RETHORN CONDUCTED BY YOURSELF AND OFFICER
5	IS IT DEMOET?
6	A YES, SIR.
7	Q DEMOET DID RETHORN TELL YOU THAT BRITTANY CAME
8	IN THE ROOM THAT MORNING AND DARIN GAVE HER A HUG AND
9	KISS, THEN YOU ASKED HER IF DARIN SAID ANYTHING ABOUT
10	BRITTANY ABOUT DON'T COME IN THE ROOM UNTIL YOU KNOCK, AND
11	RETHORN SAID NOT THAT MORNING?
12	A YES. THAT WAS IN THE INTERVIEW ON THE 12TH, NOT
13	THE 11TH.
14	Q YES. ON THE SAME DAY IN THE INTERVIEW WITH YOU
15	AND OFFICER DEMOET, CONDUCTED WITH DARIN RIGGS, DID DARIN
16	TELL YOU THAT HE REMEMBERED THAT AT SOME POINT IN THE
17	MORNING, BRITTANY CAME IN THE ROOM; HE WAS STILL ASLEEP,
18	BUT SHE GAVE HIM A HUG OR SOMETHING, AND THEN ASKED HIM IF
19	HE REMEMBERED SAYING ANYTHING ELSE TO HER. AND HE
20	RESPONDED NO?
21	A HE SAID HE DID NOT REMEMBER THAT IT WAS HE WAS
22	NOT COMPLETELY AWAKE.
23	Q DID HE AT ANY TIME IN THAT INTERVIEW RESPOND NO
24	INSTEAD OF I DON'T REMEMBER?
25	A I WILL HAVE TO REFER TO MY NOTES.
26	O IF YOU WILL.

28 OKAY. SO, ON THAT INITIAL INTERVIEW BY BALES,

HE TOLD ME THAT HE HAD NOT.

BOTH MY CLIENT, MR. RIGGS, AND MS. RETHORN WERE ALL 1 CONSISTENT THAT DARIN YELLED ABOUT THE KNOCKING? 2 YES. 3 Α MS. SCHMAUSS: CALLS FOR SPECULATION, YOUR HONOR. I DON'T THINK HE IS -- AGAIN, THIS IS CALLING FOR HEARSAY. 6 THE COURT: WHETHER OR NOT THAT'S A CONCLUSION THAT CAN BE DRAWN IS FOR YOU FOLKS TO DRAW. I ASSUME THAT IS 7 FOUNDATIONAL FOR CONDUCT. 8 9 MR. ABLARD: YES. THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. 10 MR. ABLARD: THANK YOU. 11 12 BY MR. ABLARD: AND THEN NOT THE NEXT DAY, BUT ACTUALLY ON THE 12TH, BOTH MR. RIGGS AND MS. RETHORN 13 DIDN'T TELL YOU ABOUT THE YELLING, BUT THEY TALKED ABOUT 14 THE HUGS AND THE KISSES? 15 THAT IS CORRECT. Α 16 OKAY. WHAT ACTION IF ANY DID YOU TAKE TO 17 CLARIFY THIS DISCREPANCY? 18 NONE AT THAT POINT OTHER THAN TO ASK HIM 19 SPECIFIC OUESTIONS ABOUT IT. 20 21 SO, YOU ASKED HIM SPECIFIC QUESTIONS ABOUT EARLIER YOU TOLD AN OFFICER THAT YOU YELLED ABOUT THE 22 KNOCKING AND NOW YOU ARE SAYING THAT YOU JUST WALKED IN 23 AND HUGS AND KISSES? 24 WELL, YOU GOT TO UNDERSTAND THAT ON THE 11TH OR 25 Α THE 12TH WHEN I DID THE INTERVIEWS WITH MS. RETHORN AND 26 27 MR. RIGGS, I HAD NOT HAD A CHANCE AT THAT POINT TO READ OFFICER BALES' REPORT. HIS REPORT HAD NOT BEEN FURNISHED 28

1	TO ME. AT A LATER TIME, I WAS ABLE TO READ IT.
2	Q OKAY. SO, AT A LATER TIME, YOU READ IT?
3	A UH-HUH.
4	Q THAT'S WHEN YOU REALIZED THERE WAS A
5	DISCREPANCY?
6	A RIGHT.
7	Q OKAY. AND THEN AT THAT POINT, WHAT, IF ANYTHING,
8	DID YOU DO TO CLARIFY THAT DISCREPANCY WITH MR. RIGGS AND
9	MS. RETHORN?
10	A I DIDN'T DO ANYTHING BECAUSE THEY HAD STATED TO
11	ME THAT THEY HAD REMEMBERED THEY HAD A BETTER CLEARER VIEW
12	AS TO WHAT HAPPENED ON THE SECOND DAY. THEY TOLD ME THAT
13	THEIR INTERVIEW WITH OFFICER BALES WAS DONE AT A TIME WHEN
14	THEY WERE UPSET ABOUT THEIR DAUGHTER'S DEATH.
15	Q OKAY. THAT WAS SORT OF THE END OF THAT TOPIC.
16	THAT WORKED FOR YOU?
17	A WELL, I TOOK INTO CONSIDERATION THE
18	INCONSISTENCIES. HOWEVER, LIKE I SAID, PEOPLE FORGET
19	THINGS. PEOPLE DON'T SEE THINGS EXACTLY THE SAME. AND
20	ANYTIME YOU INTERVIEW SOMEBODY, YOU ARE GOING TO GET A
21	STATEMENT THAT CONFLICTS SOMEWHAT.
22	Q NOW, IN YOUR INTERVIEW WITH MR. JOHNSON, THAT
23	WAS ON THE 10TH?
24	A YES.
25	Q DID HE TELL YOU THAT AFTER SPEAKING WITH DARIN
26	AT THE WINDOW, HE GOT UP, GOT DRESSED, WENT TO THE
27	KITCHEN, AND TRIED TO CALM DOWN JENNIFER, THEN HE TOOK A
28	PICTURE OF RRITTANY AND WENT ACROSS THE STREET TAIKED TO

1	THE LADY, WENT NEXT DOOR, NO ONE ANSWERED THE DOOR, THEN
2	HE STOPPED A COUPLE OF CARS THAT WERE DRIVING BY ON
3	EXETER?
4	MS. SCHMAUSS: COMPOUND. IF HE CAN ANSWER, I
5	SUPPOSE IT'S ALL RIGHT.
6	THE COURT: CAN YOU ANSWER IT?
7	THE WITNESS: YES. HE SAID THAT.
8	Q BY MR. ABLARD: NOW, DID YOU VERIFY THAT HE HAD
9	TALKED TO ANY OF THE NEIGHBORS?
10	A YES, I DID.
11	Q WHICH ONES?
12	A WELL, I HAD SPOKEN TO THE NEIGHBORS ACROSS THE
13	STREET. AND THEY SAID THAT SOMEBODY HAD COME ACROSS TO
14	TALK TO THEM THEY DIDN'T KNOW WHO IT WAS AND HAD GIVEN
15	HIM SOME INFORMATION. I WENT THROUGH THE NEIGHBORS TO THE
16	NORTH WHICH THERE WAS NO ANSWER FOR SEVERAL DAYS, ON THE
17	SOUTH, AND ALSO ABOUT FOUR OR FIVE NEIGHBORS ACROSS THE
18	STREET.
19	Q OKAY. NOW, THE NEIGHBOR ACROSS THE STREET, DID
20	YOU GIVE HIM A DESCRIPTION OF MY CLIENT TO DETERMINE
21	WHETHER OR NOT IT WAS MY CLIENT THAT WENT ACROSS THE
22	STREET?
23	A YES. I HAD ASKED THEM IF THEY COULD DESCRIBE.
24	THEY SAID THEY DIDN'T REMEMBER WHO IT WAS. THEY COULDN'T
25	EVEN TELL ME IF IT WAS A NEIGHBOR.
26	Q RIGHT. BUT IT WAS CONSISTENT SOMEBODY WENT
27	ACROSS THE STREET?
28	A YES.

1	Q AND THE NEIGHBOR ON THE NORTH SIDE, HE WENT
2	BACK, INTERVIEWED THEM?
3	A I WENT BACK SEVERAL TIMES; WAS NEVER ABLE TO GET
4	A HOLD OF HIM.
5	Q OKAY. SO, THAT'S NEVER BEEN VERIFIED BECAUSE
6	THEY WERE NEVER HOME?
7	A WELL, NOT WHEN I AM AROUND.
8	Q RIGHT. OKAY. DID YOU HAVE ANYBODY ELSE GO OUT
9	THERE AND CHECK?
10	A NO.
11	Q OKAY. DO YOU EVER TRY AND CALL THEM AFTER AN
12	HOUR OR?
13	A I HAD NO IDEA WHAT THEIR PHONE NUMBER WAS.
14	Q OKAY. DO YOU RECALL ONCE YOU REVIEWED BALES'
15	REPORT THAT JENNIFER RETHORN STATED THAT SHE HAD QUICKLY
16	GOTTEN PHOTOS OF BRITTANY OUT AND GAVE THEM TO BOTH OF THE
17	ROOMMATES, LOPEZ AND JOHNSON IMMEDIATELY WENT OUT INTO THE
18	STREETS OR THEY APPARENTLY WERE TRYING TO LOCATE THE
19	MISSING GIRL?
20	A YES.
21	Q AND ON THE INTERVIEW ON THE 12TH, DARIN RIGGS,
22	DID RIGGS TELL YOU THAT HE KNEW AT ONE POINT, HE SAW
23	JOHNSON RUN ACROSS THE STREET, KNOCK ON THE DOOR, JOHNSON
24	KNOCKED ON THE TWO NEIGHBORS' DOORS?
25	A YES.
26	Q THESE TWO STATEMENTS THAT MS. RETHORN GAVE THEN
27	IMMEDIATELY GOING OUT AND THE RIGGS' STATEMENT THEY SEEMED
28	CONSISTENT?

1	A NO. SHE, MS. RETHORN, ORIGINALLY SAID THAT HE
2	DIDN'T TAKE A PICTURE. HOWEVER, SHE LATER IN HER
3,	STATEMENT MENTIONED THAT HE HAD GONE OUT AND POSSIBLY GAVE
4	A FALSE DESCRIPTION.
5	Q INTERESTING. HE WENT AND CHECKED ON THIS FALSE
6	DESCRIPTION, FOUND OUT THAT THERE WAS NO BASIS FOR THAT.
7	IS THAT NOT CORRECT?
8	A THAT'S CORRECT.
9	Q THANK YOU.
10	A THAT IS CORRECT.
11	Q YES. IT IS. AND YOU SAY THAT INITIALLY,
12	MS. RETHORN SAID THAT HE REFUSED THE PICTURE?
13	A YES.
14	Q CHECK IF YOU WOULD, PLEASE, THE REPORTS. AND
15	VERIFY WHAT WE ARE SAYING HERE, THAT THE FIRST REPORT BY
16	MS. RETHORN INDICATED THAT HE IMMEDIATELY WENT OUT WITH
17	THE
18	A THE FIRST ONE WITH OFFICER BALES.
19	Q BALES?
20	A OFFICER BALES.
21	MS. SCHMAUSS: YOUR HONOR, AGAIN, SO THAT I DON'T
22	KEEP INTERRUPTING, SAME ADMONITION TO THE HEARSAY; NOT
23	OFFERED FOR THE TRUTH, LIMITED PURPOSE.
24	THE COURT: WELL, I DON'T KNOW WHAT HE IS GOING TO
25	ASK.
26	MS. SCHMAUSS: BECAUSE HE IS BEING ASKED CONSISTENTLY
27	ABOUT WHAT DOES BALES' REPORT SAY. AND I AM TAKING THAT
28	HE IS ASKING HIM FOR THE DISCREPANCIES. AGAIN, BECAUSE

OBVIOUSLY THIS OFFICER CAN'T SAY WHAT SHE TOLD BALES. HE 1 2 WASN'T THERE. Q BY MR. ABLARD: LET ME REPHRASE. IN BALES' 3 REPORT, IF THAT IS WHAT IS SAID IN BALES' REPORT? A WELL, IF I CAN FIND IT. 6 MS. SCHMAUSS: AGAIN, THAT'S HEARSAY, WHAT IS SAID IN 7 BALES' REPORT. THE COURT: WELL, OFFERED FOR THE PURPOSES OF 9 IMPEACHMENT TOO. 10 MS. SCHMAUSS: BUT HE CAN'T IMPEACH HER THROUGH 11 BALE'S REPORT. THE COURT: NO. BALES IMPEACHING BALES. BECAUSE HE 12 13 WROTE THE REPORT. MR. ABLARD: DO I NEED TO RESPOND? 14 THE COURT: NO. I WILL PERMIT THE INQUIRY. 15 BY MR. ABLARD: THANK YOU. YOU MAY WANT TO 16 TURN TO ORIGINAL REPORT, PAGE 5, PARAGRAPH 3. 17 18 PAGE 5 PARAGRAPH 3. Α 19 O CORRECT. 20 A YES. SHE MENTIONED THAT SHE GAVE LOPEZ AND 21 JOHNSON A PICTURE. AND HE IMMEDIATELY WENT OUT INTO THE 22 STREET WHERE THEY APPARENTLY WERE TRYING TO LOCATE A MISSING GIRL. 23 24 Q AND THEN ON THE INTERVIEW OF THE 12TH WITH 25 RETHORN, THIS IS WHEN SHE TOLD YOU THAT HE REFUSED TO TAKE 26 A PICTURE TO THE NEIGHBORS; CORRECT? 27 A CORRECT. 28 Q AND THE SAME INTERVIEW, THAT IS WHEN MS. RETHORN

1	SAID THAT MY CLIENT WASN'T RUNNING, HE WASN'T SEARCHING
2	OUTSIDE THE HOUSE. HE WOULDN'T TAKE THE PICTURE WITH HIM
3	OF HER?
4	A YOU ARE REFERRING TO MY REPORT?
5	Q RIGHT.
6	A THAT'S RIGHT. YES.
7	Q CORRECT. NOW THE ORIGINAL STATEMENT THAT YOU
8	GAVE THAT HE IMMEDIATELY RAN OUT WITH THE PICTURES AND
9	THEN HEARD STATEMENT IN OUR INTERVIEW WITH HER, THOSE YOU
10	CONSIDER THOSE TO BE INCONSISTENT STATEMENTS?
11	A YES.
12	Q AND WHAT DO YOU DO TO VERIFY THOSE CLARIFY, I
13	SHOULD SAY?
14	A I TOOK INTO CONSIDERATION THE CIRCUMSTANCES AND
15	FIGURED THAT SHE HAD TIME TO THINK ABOUT IT.
16	Q OKAY. DID YOU GO TO MS. RETHORN'S AND SAY WE
17	HAVE SOME INCONSISTENT STATEMENT HERE, WE NEED AN
18	EXPLANATION?
19	A NO. BECAUSE LATER IN THE INTERVIEW, SHE
20	CLARIFIED IT AND SAID THAT DARIN HAD SEEN HIM GO OUTSIDE
21	WITH THE PICTURES.
22	Q BECAUSE AT SOME POINT, DARIN TOLD HER THAT HE
23	HAD SEEN
24	A YES.
25	Q CORRECT?
26	A APPARENTLY, YES.
27	Q APPARENTLY. SO, THAT IS A LOT DIFFERENT THAN
28	HER VERY FIRM STATEMENT THAT SHE WAS PRESENT, HE TOOK THE

1	PICTURE AND RAN OUT?
2	A VERY MUCH.
3	MS. SCHMAUSS: OBJECTION; ARGUMENTATIVE. CALLS FOR
4	CONCLUSION.
5	THE COURT: THAT'S CORRECT. LADIES AND GENTLEMEN, YO
6	GET TO MAKE THE CONCLUSION.
7	Q BY MR. ABLARD: THAT, NOW AT SOME POINT, YOU
8	WENT TO THRIFTY DRUG STORE TO TALK TO STEVE LOPEZ;
9	CORRECT?
LO	A THAT IS CORRECT.
11	Q AND YOU STATED IN YOUR REPORT THAT THIS PERSON
12	HAS PREVIOUSLY BEEN INTERVIEWED BY OTHER MONTCLAIR POLICE
L3	OFFICERS. BUT YOU WANTED TO CLARIFY WHAT HAD HAPPENED
L4	THE MORNING OF THE DEATH; CORRECT?
15	A YES.
L6	Q AND LOPEZ, DURING HIS INTERVIEW SAID
L7	APPROXIMATELY 900 HOURS ON SUNDAY MORNING LOPEZ WOKE UP,
18	HE WALKED OUT OF HIS BEDROOM AND FOUND THAT THE LIVING
19	ROOM TELEVISION WAS ON, BUT HE DID NOT SEE BRITTANY. HE
20	WENT THROUGH THE SLIDER AT THE NORTHEAST SIDE OF THE
21	FAMILY ROOM AND WENT OUTSIDE TO SMOKE A CIGARETTE.
22	APPROXIMATELY 9:15, BRITTANY'S FATHER, DARIN RIGGS, ASKED
2:3	MR. LOPEZ THROUGH THE WINDOW IF HE HAD SEEN BRITTANY;
24	CORRECT?
25	A YES.
26	Q READING OFFICER BALES' ORIGINAL REPORT THAT
27	STATES THAT LOPEZ HEARS A PARENT YELLING. SO, HE GETS UP

GETS DRESSED, THEN COMES OUT?

MS. SCHMAUSS: OBJECTION, UNLESS IT IS STATED AS THAT IS THAT WHAT BALES'S REPORT SAYS, NOT DID HE TELL 2 BALES. THAT BECAUSE HE COULDN'T KNOW THAT. 3 MR. ABLARD: I THINK I DID MENTION THE REPORT. IF I 5 DIDN'T, THAT'S WHAT WE ARE TALKING ABOUT. 6 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. LIMITED. 7 YES. Α BY MR. ABLARD: I BELIEVE SUPPLEMENTAL 4 REPORT. 8 YOUR REPORT, STATES THAT LOPEZ TOLD YOU THAT AFTER JOHNSON 9 FINALLY CAME OUT OF HIS BEDROOM, HE SETS DOWN ON THE FLOOR 10 NEXT TO THE CABINET BETWEEN HIS ROOM AND LOPEZ'S ROOM AT 11 THE END OF THE HALLWAY AND BECAME HYSTERICAL; 12 CORRECT--PAGE 4, PARAGRAPH ONE. 13 THAT'S MY SUPP? 14 Α Q YES, SIR. 15 A CAN YOU READ IT BACK AGAIN, PLEASE. I DIDN'T 16 17 CATCH. YES. OKAY. THAT LOPEZ TOLD YOU THAT AFTER 18 0 JOHNSON FINALLY CAME OUT OF HIS ROOM, HE SET DOWN ON THE 19 FLOOR NEXT TO THE CABINET BETWEEN HIS ROOM AND LOPEZ'S 20 21 ROOM AT THE END OF THE HALLWAY AND BECAME HYSTERICAL? 22 Α YES. Q OKAY. NOW, AND OFFICER BALES' ORIGINAL REPORT, 23 PAGE 4, PARAGRAPH ONE, DID YOU CONSIDER THE FOLLOWING: 24 25 LOPES STATES THAT HE MERELY JUMPED UP INTO HIS PICKUP 26 TRUCK WITH A PHOTOGRAPH OF BRITTANY AND BEGAN CANVASING 27 THE AREA IN AN ATTEMPT TO LOCATE THE MISSING JUVENILE.

A YES.

1	Q ON THE 12TH, RETHORN TELLS YOU ABOUT WHEN SHE
2	GETS TO CHUCK'S DOOR, BEFORE CHUCK CAME OUT OF THE ROOM
3	AND SHE IS ASKING WHAT BRITTANY WAS WEARING. AND SHE
4	TELLS YOU STEVE HAD ALREADY TOOK OFF IN HIS CAR TO GO
5	LOOK?
6	A YES.
7	Q DO YOU RECALL THAT SAME INTERVIEW, BUT WITH
8	RIGGS?
9	MS. SCHMAUSS: WHICH INTERVIEW? BY WHAT OFFICER?
10	Q BY MR. ABLARD: RIGGS' INTERVIEW WITH DONLEY,
11	DEMOET?
12	A YES.
13	Q OKAY. THAT DARIN SAID THAT STEVE TOOK OFF AND
14	LEFT EVEN BEFORE C.J. OPENED THE DOOR FOR THE FIRST TIME.
15	AND RIGGS RESPONDED YES?
16	A YES.
17	Q OKAY. AS THE INVESTIGATOR IN THIS CASE, IS THAT
18	CONSIDERED AN INCONSISTENCY BETWEEN MR. LOPEZ SEEING WHAT
19	HE OBSERVED IN THE HALLWAY, AND THE OTHER WITNESS THAT SAY
20	IN FACT HE WAS GONE?
21	A YES, APPARENTLY HE DIDN'T SEE THAT. HE WAS
22	RELAYING WHAT HE HAD HEARD. IF YOU READ THE PARAGRAPH, HE
23	IS PUTTING IN INFORMATION THAT HE APPARENTLY HAD HEARD
24	FROM THE MOTHER. THAT'S WHAT HE IS JUST SAYING, THAT IT
25	WAS UNUSUAL THAT AFTER HE LOOKED BACK AT IT, THAT THOSE
26	EVENTS OCCURRED THE WAY THEY DID. I DON'T BELIEVE AT THE
27	TIME HE STATED THAT, THAT HE ACTUALLY MEANT HE HAD SEEN
28	IT.

1	Q DID HE SAY THAT HE HEARD THAT HE DISCUSSED
2	THAT WITH THE MOTHER AND THAT'S WHAT SHE TOLD HIM?
3	A NO. I HAVE ASKED HIM SINCE THEN.
4	Q OH. SO, YOU TALKED TO HIM AGAIN AND ASKED HIM
5	ABOUT THIS?
6	A I ASKED HIM THIS MORNING. I ASKED HIM, YOU
7	KNOW, ABOUT THE DISCREPANCY. AND HE EXPLAINED TO ME THAT
8	THAT IS WHAT HE HAD BEEN TOLD BY THE MOTHER.
9	Q IS THERE A REASON YOU DIDN'T ASK HIM LIKE
.0	BEFORE, LIKE A COUPLE OF YEARS AGO?
.1	A THAT'S THE WAY I TOOK IT WHEN HE TOLD ME THAT HE
2	WAS PUTTING IN INFORMATION ABOUT OBVIOUSLY ABOUT THINGS HE
_3	HAD NOT SEEN.
4	Q SO, SO, THERE WAS JUST SHOWING THAT HE THOUGHT
.5	IT WAS STRANGE, NOT THAT HE ACTUALLY SEEN MR. JOHNSON.
-6	Q OKAY. BUT AT SOME POINT THAT CHANGED IN YOUR
-7	MIND BECAUSE YOU ASKED ABOUT IT TODAY?
.8	A THAT IS CORRECT. I JUST WANTED TO MAKE SURE.
.9	Q AT SOME POINT, DID YOU RECEIVE AN ANONYMOUS
20	PHONE CALL AND THE CALLER EXPRESSED CONCERN
21	MS. SCHMAUSS: OBJECTION, YOUR HONOR. THIS IS
22	HEARSAY, TOTAL HEARSAY. AND I'D LIKE TO BE HEARD AT SIDE
23	BAR, PLEASE.
24	(SIDE BAR; NOT REPORTED)
25	MR. ABLARD: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.
26	Q BY MR. ABLARD: AT SOME POINT, YOU RECEIVED AN
27	ANONYMOUS CALL REGARDING THIS CASE; CORRECT?
0	7 VEC

1	Q AND WHICH WAS THAT?
2	A I'M SORRY.
. 3	Q WHEN WAS THAT?
4	A THE 13TH OF OCTOBER OF '93.
5	Q OKAY. AND AS IT WAS MERELY ANONYMOUS, WHAT DID
6	YOU DO ABOUT IT?
7	A WELL, FIRST OF ALL, THE FACT THAT IT WAS
8	ANONYMOUS
9	THE COURT: JUST NEED YOU TO ANSWER THE QUESTIONS.
10	A WHAT DID I DO?
11	THE COURT: THAT'S WHAT HE ASKS, NOT MEANDER OFF. ALL
12	RIGHT. I MADE SOME RULINGS ON THIS WHOLE INQUIRY. AND
13	YOU DON'T ANSWER HIS QUESTIONS, THEN EVERYTHING I DO IS
14	USELESS.
15	THE WITNESS: OKAY.
16	MR. ABLARD: THANK YOU.
17	THE COURT: AND I DON'T LIKE THAT.
18	THE WITNESS: OKAY.
19	Q BY MR. ABLARD: WHAT DID YOU DO AFTER YOU
20	RECEIVED THE ANONYMOUS CALL?
21	A WELL, I REVIEWED THE RAP SHEETS ON ALL THE
22	PEOPLE INVOLVED HERE JUST TO MAKE SURE. I ALSO
23	RECONFIRMED THAT THERE WAS NO I DON'T KNOW IF I WANT
24	MS. SCHMAUSS: YOUR HONOR, I THINK THE WITNESS
25	DOESN'T UNDERSTAND WHAT HE IS BEING ASKED.
26	Q BY MR. ABLARD: OKAY. LET ME
27	THE WITNESS: IT IS HARD FOR ME TO EXPLAIN IT WITHOUT
28	KNOWING WHAT I CAN SAY AND CAN'T SAY.

MR. ABLARD: FINE. 1 2 THE COURT: THEN BE MORE SPECIFIC. YOU CAN LEAD THE WITNESS IF YOU WISH. HE IS CLEARLY NOT YOUR WITNESS. 3 THIS IS THE LEAD INVESTIGATOR. 4 MR. ABLARD: I WOULD SAY THAT IT WOULD BE AN ADVERSE 5 WITNESS. 6 7 THE COURT: WITHOUT FURTHER DISCUSSION. 8 MR. ABLARD: WOULD BE AN UNDERSTATEMENT. THANK YOU. BY MR. ABLARD: DID YOU TAKE ANY STEPS TO LOCATE 10 WHO THE ANONYMOUS CALLER WAS? DID YOU AT SOME POINT GO TO MR. RIGGS OR MS. RETHORN TO FIND OUT IF THEY HAD ANY 11 INFORMATION ON THAT? 1.2 13 A YES. Q OKAY. WHEN WAS THAT? 14 A THAT WAS THE OCTOBER 24TH, 1994. 15 0 OKAY. SO--16 17 Α OR 25TH, '94. OKAY. SO, IN OCTOBER, OCTOBER OF '94, YOU WENT 18 0 19 TO THEM. AND BECAUSE OF THEIR EFFORT, THEY FOUND OUT WHO 2.0 THE ANONYMOUS CALLER WAS; IS THAT CORRECT? 21 I FOUND OUT WHO THE ANONYMOUS CALLER WAS. THEY 22 GAVE ME A LIST OF PEOPLE THEY TALKED TO. Q RIGHT. AND THE LIST THAT THEY GAVE YOU WAS THE 23 LIST FROM THE DETERMINED I GUESS THE GATHERING ON OCTOBER 24 25 11TH, THE DATE AFTER BRITTANY'S DEATH; CORRECT? 26 A THAT IS CORRECT. 27 OKAY. THOSE WERE THE PEOPLE THAT HAD ATTENDED

THAT GATHERING; CORRECT -- AT LEAST THAT'S WHAT YOU

1	REQUESTED?
2	A WELL, I BELIEVE THE LIST I GOT WAS A LIST OF THE
3	PEOPLE THEY HAD TALKED TO BETWEEN THE TIME OF THE DEATH
4	AND THE TIME OF THE 13TH. I DON'T BELIEVE THAT I HAD TO
5	DO WITH ONLY THE PEOPLE -
6	Q SO, THE LIST INCLUDED BOTH THE PEOPLE WITH WHOM
7	THEY SPOKE AND THE PEOPLE THAT WERE AT THIS OCTOBER 11TH
8	GATHERING?
9	A YES.
10	Q OKAY. AND AT SOME POINT, YOU FOUND OUT WHO THE
11	ANONYMOUS CALLER WAS?
12	A YES.
13	Q CORRECT?
14	A THAT IS CORRECT.
15	Q AT SOME POINT, YOU INTERVIEWED THAT ANONYMOUS
16	CALLER?
17	A CORRECT.
18	Q AND WHEN DID YOU FIND OUT WHO THE ANONYMOUS
19	CALLER WAS?
20	A THE 26TH.
21	Q OF?
22	A 94. I'M SORRY. OCTOBER 26, 1994.
23	Q OKAY. AND WHO WAS THAT ANONYMOUS CALLER? WAS
24	THAT JENNIFER FERRERA?
25	A HANG ON A SECOND.
26	Q OKAY?
27	A I TAKE THAT BACK. I CONTACTED HER ON THE 27TH
28	OF OCTOBER, 1994 AND YES, THAT WAS JENNIFER FERRERA.

1	Q AND YOU INTERVIEWED HER AND DID SHE TELL YOU
2	THAT?
3	MS. SCHMAUSS: YOUR HONOR, I AM GOING TO OBJECT TO
4	WHAT SHE TOLD HIM IN LIGHT OF OUR DISCUSSION.
5	MR. ABLARD: OUR DISCUSSION, YOUR HONOR, IS GOING
6	WITH STATEMENTS OF THE INCONSISTENCIES ONLY.
7	THE COURT: THANK YOU.
8	MR. ABLARD: THANK YOU.
9	Q BY MR. ABLARD: DID SHE DURING THAT INTERVIEW
.0	TELL YOU THAT JENNIFER RETHORN SAID THAT AT SOME POINT
.1	THEY GOT UP BEFORE 8:57 AND GOT JENNIFER SOME BREAKFAST OR
.2	SOMETHING? DO YOU RECALL THAT?
.3	A I AM GOING TO HAVE TO READ IT, YOUR HONOR.
.4	MS. SCHMAUSS: ALSO, YOUR HONOR, THIS IS NOT FOR THE
.5	TRUTH OF WHAT JENNIFER FERRERA STATED. IT'S FOR WHAT HE
.6	MIGHT HAVE HEARD AND WHAT HE FOLLOWED UP ON.
.7	MR. ABLARD: THAT'S CORRECT.
.8	MS. SCHMAUSS: FOR LIMITED PURPOSE.
.9	THE COURT: THAT IS CORRECT. APPARENTLY, WE ARE
0	TRACKING THE INVESTIGATION OR LACK THEREOF.
1	MR. ABLARD: THANK YOU.
2	THE COURT: THAT'S CORRECT.
3	MR. ABLARD: YES, THANK YOU.
4	THE COURT: THANK YOU. SO, THIS IS ADMITTED FOR
5	THAT PURPOSE AS OPPOSED TO WHETHER THERE'S ANY TRUTH WHAT
6	SOMEBODY SAID SOMEWHERE IS NOT AN ISSUE BEFORE YOU YET.
27	IT MAY BECOME ONE LATER. BUT AT THIS POINT, IT IS NOT.
Ω	THE WITHERS. I THINK I AM COING TO ANGWED VOID

	·
1	QUESTION.
2	A SHE MADE THE COMMENT THAT THEY WERE ASLEEP AND
3	DID NOT HEAR ANYTHING IS WHAT I REMEMBER REFERRING TO.
4	Q BY MR. ABLARD: THAT'S ONE OF THEM. THEY ARE
5	ASLEEP AND DID NOT HEAR ANYTHING?
6	A OKAY. AND WHAT WAS THE SECOND QUESTION OR THE
7	FIRST QUESTION.
8	Q OKAY. THE FIRST QUESTION WAS ACTUALLY DID
9	RETHORN EVER MENTION ANYTHING TO MS. FERRERA IN YOUR
10	INTERVIEW THERE ABOUT RETHORN AND/OR RIGGS GETTING UP AND
11	GETTING SOME JUICE OR BREAKFAST OR SOMETHING FOR BRITTANY?
12	A YES.
13	Q THANK YOU. NOW, ONCE YOU HAD THAT INFORMATION,
14	DID YOU THEN GO TO MS. RETHORN AND GET A CLARIFICATION OF
15	THAT INCONSISTENCY?
16	A NO.
17	Q IN YOUR EXPERIENCE AND TRAINING IN THIS CASE,
18	YOU CONSIDER THAT A MAJOR INCONSISTENCY; TRUE?
19	A WELL.
20	Q YES, OR NO?
21	A IF TRUE, YES.
22	Q OKAY. I TAKE IT YOU JUST DIDN'T BELIEVE IT?
23	A NO. IT IS NOT THAT I DIDN'T BELIEVE IT. IT
24	IS NOT THAT. WHEN PEOPLE RELATE THINGS.
25	Q YES OR NO, OFFICER, PLEASE?
26	MS. SCHMAUSS: YOUR HONOR, HE ASKED HIM TO EXPLAIN.
27	AND NOW HE IS CUTTING HIM OFF. AND HE IS TRYING TO

EXPLAIN.

1	BY MR. ABLARD: IF I DID, I WAS MISTAKEN. I THOUGHT
2	I CALLED FOR A YES OR NO ANSWER.
3	THE COURT: WHY DON'T YOU ASK ANOTHER QUESTION AND
4	START OVER.
5	MR. ABLARD: I WILL. THANK YOU.
6	Q BY MR. ABLARD: YOU NEVER CONFRONTED RETHORN
7	WITH THAT INFORMATION; CORRECT?
8	A ABOUT THE JUICE?
9	Q ABOUT THEM GETTING UP EARLY AND GETTING BRITTANY
10	SOME JUICE?
11	A NO.
12	Q ALL RIGHT. NOW, THE OCTOBER 12TH INTERVIEW WITH
13	JENNIFER RETHORN, DO YOU RECALL HER TELLING YOU THAT
14	MR. JOHNSON KEPT ALL OF THIS CAR STUFF IN THE CORNER OF
15	THE GARAGE BECAUSE HE WASHES AND WORKS ON HIS CAR A LOT?
16	A YES.
17	Q AND YOU RECALL THAT DURING THE INTERVIEW WITH
18	MR. JOHNSON THAT YOU HEARD YESTERDAY THAT HE PUT BRITTANY
19	INSIDE ON THE COUCH AND TURNED ON THE TELEVISION; WENT
20	BACK OUTSIDE?
21	A YES.
22	Q AND THAT HE TALKED TO YOU ABOUT GOING OUT SECOND
23	TIME. AND HE EXITED THE GARAGE DOOR?
24	A YES.
25	Q AND THAT DURING THAT SECOND TRIP HE WENT OUT AND
26	TO CLEAN UP, CLEAN OUT THE GARAGE AND HE CLOSED UP THE
27	GARAGE DOOR?
28	A CORRECT

1	Q THE BIG GARAGE DOOR. SO HE GOES OUT THE GARAGE
2	INTO THE GARAGE FROM THE INTERIOR GARAGE DOOR AND CLEANS
3	OUT AND THEN CLOSES THE GARAGE DOOR OUT?
4	A THAT WAS MY UNDERSTANDING, YES.
5	Q OKAY. NOW, YOU REVIEWED OFFICER KELLY'S REPORT;
6	CORRECT?
7	A YES. WHICH REPORT ARE YOU REFERRING TO?
8	Q I BELIEVE IT WAS THE SUPPLEMENTAL ONE. HE WAS
9	THE FIRST ONE ON THE SCENE; CORRECT?
LO	A YES.
L1	Q AND THAT REPORT, IT STATED THAT JENNIFER RETHORN
L2	TOLD HIM THAT THEY AWAKENED. THE FRONT DOOR HAD BEEN
L3	LOCKED, AND THAT THE DOOR LEADING TO THE GARAGE AREA WAS
L4	UNLOCKED ALONG WITH THE SLIDER; CORRECT?
L5	A YES.
L6	Q AND THE FACT THAT SHE STATED THAT THE GARAGE
L7	DOOR WAS UNLOCKED WHEN SHE FIRST GOT UP, IN YOUR MIND DID
L8	THAT LEND CREDENCE TO THE SCENARIO THAT MY CLIENT HAD GONE
L9	OUT TO THAT GARAGE DOOR EARLIER?
20	MS. SCHMAUSS: OBJECTION; CALLS FOR A CONCLUSION.
21	THE COURT: I THINK IT DOES.
22	MR. ABLARD: YES, I DO TOO.
23	THE COURT: WHY DON'T YOU REPHRASE IT.
24	Q BY MR. ABLARD: THANK YOU. LET'S CONTINUE FOR A
25	MOMENT. DID YOU EVER GO INTO THE GARAGE OR HAVE ANYBODY
26	GO INTO THE GARAGE TO LOOK THROUGH TRASH CANS AND
27	DIFFERENT THINGS TO SEE WHETHER OR NOT THERE WAS ANY
28	MATERIAL IN THERE THAT CAN VERIFY THE STORY THAT

1	MR. JOHNSON WAS OUT THE SECOND TIME?
2	A NO, I DIDN'T. NOT AT THAT
3	Q OKAY. NOW, I BELIEVE IN YOUR REPORT, OFFICER,
4	ON 10-11, OFFICER BALES MET RIGGS AND RETHORN AT THE
5	RESIDENCE SO THEY COULD PICK UP PERSONAL ITEMS; IS THAT
6	CORRECT?
7	A YES.
8	Q AND ON 10-13, OFFICER DONLEY, YOU ACCOMPANIED
9	ANGELA JOHNSON TO THE HOUSE SO SHE COULD PICK UP SOME
10	ITEMS; CORRECT?
1.1	A CORRECT.
12	Q ARE THERE REPORTS, ANY OTHER REPORTS, OF ANYONE
13	ELSE BEING IN THAT HOUSE DURING THAT PERIOD OF TIME, SAY
14	UP UNTIL THE 20TH OF OCTOBER?
15	A ON THE 11TH, THE TIME WE WENT BACK, THE TIME I
16	WAS WITH OFFICER BALES, IS THAT WHAT YOU'RE REFERRING TO?
17	Q WELL, IN THE REPORT, AS I UNDERSTAND IT, THERE
18	IS TWO SEPARATE INSTANCES WHERE OFFICERS ACCOMPANY OR
19	MET
20	A UH-HUH.
21	Q SOME PEOPLE THAT ARE GOING BACK INTO THAT HOUSE?
22	A YES.
23	Q OKAY. ONE WAS ON THE 11TH, ONE ON THE 13TH?
24	A YES.
25	Q WERE THERE ANY OTHER REPORTS SAYING THAT
26	OFFICERS WERE WITH PEOPLE IN THAT HOUSE FROM THE 10TH TO
27	THE 20TH?
28	A THERE WAS IN MY REPORT SAYING THAT I WAS WITH

1	ANGELA. AND MY REPORT STATING THAT I WAS WITH MR. JOHNSON
2	AND OFFICER BALES. IF THAT IS WHAT YOU ARE ASKING.
.3	Q RIGHT. SO, YOU WERE WITH ANGELA ON THE 13TH?
4	A YES.
5	Q OKAY. YOU WERE WITH MR. JOHNSON AND OTHERS ON
6	THE 11TH?
7	A YES.
8	Q OTHER THAN THOSE TWO DATES?
9	A OH.
10	Q YEAH. I DIDN'T MAKE THAT VERY CLEAR. OTHER
11	THAN THOSE TWO DATES, ARE THERE ANY REPORTS INDICATING
12	THAT ANY OFFICERS ACCOMPANIED ANYONE ELSE BACK TO THAT
13	HOUSE BETWEEN THE 10TH OF OCTOBER AND THE 20TH OF OCTOBER?
14	A THE ONLY REPORT THAT WOULD BE AFTER THE 13TH
15	WOULD BE MINE WHEN I WENT BACK ON THE 20TH WITH THE
16	DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE TO DO THE VIDEO TAPE.
17	Q RIGHT. THAT'S IT?
18	A THAT'S IT. BUT NOTHING BETWEEN THE 13TH UNTIL
19	THE 20TH.
20	Q RIGHT. AND OTHER THAN THE 11TH AND THE 13TH AND
21	THAT 20TH WHEN YOU AND THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY WENT THERE TO
22	VIDEOTAPE?
23	A YES.
24	Q THERE'S NO REPORT OF ANYBODY ELSE GOING BACK IN
25	THERE?
26	A NONE THAT I AM AWARE OF.
27	Q THAT'S SOMETHING YOU'D BE AWARE OF?
28	A I WOULD HOPE SO.

1 OKAY. ON 10-12 INTERVIEW WITH MR. JOHNSON, HE TOLD YOU ABOUT THE PHONE RINGING. HE PICKED UP THE PHONE. 2 IT WAS DARIN; THAT DARIN ASKED BRITTANY IF BRITTANY WAS IN 3 THE ROOM; CORRECT? 5 A ON THE INTERVIEW OF 10-12, ARE YOU REFERRING TO THE ONE ON THE 10TH? 6 YES, OCTOBER 12TH, I AM SORRY? I AM GOING TO HAVE YOU ASK ME YOUR QUESTION 8 9 AGAIN, PLEASE. I DIDN'T HEAR. I AM SORRY. 10 I AM GOING TO HAVE YOU ASK ME YOUR OUESTION 11 Α 12 AGAIN, PLEASE. 13 OKAY. Q A YOU THREW ME OFF WHEN YOU SAID THE 12TH. 14 15 RIGHT. OKAY. DURING THE INTERVIEW ON THE 12TH, 16 MR. JOHNSON MENTIONED TO YOU THAT THE TELEPHONE RANG; HE 17 PICKED IT UP. IT WAS DARIN. DARIN ASKED IF BRITTANY WAS IN THE ROOM. HE SAID NO? 18 YES. AND THE INTERVIEW ON THE 10TH, YES. 19 20 YES. AND IN OFFICER BALES' REPORT, ORIGINAL REPORT, WERE YOU ALSO AWARE THAT MR. JOHNSON REPORTED TO 21 22 BALES IN THE INTERVIEW THAT TOOK PLACE SHORTLY AFTER WHEN 23 THE BODY WAS FOUND, ON THAT DAY, THE SAME THING. IN OTHER 24 WORDS, A PHONE HAD RUNG AND--25 NOT WHEN THE BODY HAD BEEN FOUND. Α 0 NO. NOT WHEN THE BODY THAT DAY ON THE 10TH? 26 27 Α YES.

RIGHT. OKAY. AND BOTH RETHORN AND RIGGS IN THAT

28

Q

1	ORIGINAL REPORT MENTIONED THAT THAT SAME EVENT HAPPENED?
2	A WELL, I CAN'T FIND IT HERE. BUT IT SEEMS TO ME
. 3	THAT THEY DID SAY THAT.
4	Q RIGHT.
5	A IF YOU GOT A REFERENCE POINT.
6	Q I AM LOOKING FOR MY OWN REFERENCE POINT,
7	ORIGINAL, PAGE 5, PARAGRAPH FIVE.
8	A PAGE 5, PARAGRAPH FIVE.
9	Q THAT'S RIGGS MENTIONED THAT THIS CALLER HAD HUNG
10	UP AND HE WAS ON THE PHONE ASKING ABOUT BRITTANY?
11	A BALES' REPORT.
12	Q THAT WAS
13	A YES.
14	Q AND PARAGRAPH THREE, JENNIFER RETHORN STATES
15	THAT AS WELL.
16	A YES.
17	Q OKAY. DID MR. LOPEZ IN YOUR INTERVIEW ON
18	OCTOBER 11TH TELL YOU ABOUT THE SAME PHONE CALL THAT WE
19	ARE DISCUSSING RIGHT NOW?
20	A YES.
21	Q NOW, ON THE 10-11 INTERVIEW WITH JENNIFER
22	RETHORN, ABOUT THIS SAME PHONE CALL, DID SHE TELL YOU NO,
23	THAT THE PHONE WOULD HAVE WOKEN THEM UP?
24	A YES.
25	Q AND IN THE RIGGS' INTERVIEW OF 10-11 YOU ASKED
26	RIGGS IF HE CALLED JOHNSON ON THE PHONE OR TALKED TO
27	JOHNSON ON THE PHONE THAT MORNING. HE SAID NO.
28	A YES. HE SAID HE DIDN'T REMEMBER.

1	Q IS THERE A PLACE THOUGH THAT HE SAID NO, DID HE
2	SAY NO ON PAGE 16, LINE 23?
3	A PAGE 16, PAGE 16. I HAVE ONLY GOT PAGE 6.
4	MR. ABLARD: MAYBE I HAVE THE PRELIMINARY HEARING.
5	THAT'S A POSSIBILITY.
6	MS. SCHMAUSS: YES.
7	MR. ABLARD: DO YOU THINK SO?
8	MS. SCHMAUSS: YES.
9	Q BY MR. ABLARD: OKAY. AS TO THE STATEMENT OF I
10	DON'T REMEMBER, OKAY. OR NO, I GUESS I CAN PULL THAT OUT
11	TO SAVE TIME, DO YOU CONSIDER THOSE STATEMENTS RETHORN AND
12	RIGGS TO BE INCONSISTENT WITH THE OTHER STATEMENTS TO
13	BALES THAT IN FACT THAT PHONE CALL HAD TAKEN PLACE?
14	A YES.
15	Q WHAT DID YOU DO TO CHECK THAT?
16	A WELL, HERE AGAIN, I DIDN'T DO ANYTHING. BECAUSE
17	IT WAS A CHANGE IN THEIR THOUGHT PROCESS. PEOPLE FORGET
18	STUFF.
19	Q OKAY. THAT IS CORRECT. OKAY. NOW, GOING BACK
20	THIS MS. FERRERA, THE ORIGINAL ANONYMOUS CALLER?
21	A YES.
22	Q AS A RESULT OF THAT TIME AND THE ANONYMOUS
23	CALLER, YOU ALSO INTERVIEWED A MS. CROCKER?
24	A YES, I DID.
25	MR. ABLARD: OKAY. I HAVE NOTHING FURTHER AT THIS
26	TIME.
27	CROSS-EXAMINATION
28	BY MS. SCHMAUSS:

1	Q OFFICER DONLEY, YOU HAVE NO WAY OF PERSONALLY
2	KNOWING IF OFFICER BALES WROTE DOWN EVERYTHING EVERYBODY
3	SAID TO HIM ONE-HUNDRED PERCENT ACCURATELY; DO YOU?
4	A THAT IS CORRECT.
5	Q YOU HAVE BEEN A POLICE OFFICER FOR HOW MANY
6	YEARS?
7	A APPROXIMATELY TWELVE.
8	Q AND I WOULD ASSUME THAT IN THOSE TWELVE YEARS
9	HAVE YOU INTERVIEWED HUNDREDS IF NOT THOUSANDS OF PEOPLE?
10	A PROBABLY THOUSANDS.
11	Q AND IN THOSE TWELVE YEARS, ABOUT HOW MANY
12	HOMICIDE CASES HAVE YOU INVESTIGATED?
13	A WELL, I PROBABLY BEEN INVOLVED IN TWENTY-FIVE.
14	I HAVE PERSONALLY BEEN RESPONSIBLE FOR APPROXIMATELY OH, I
15	WOULD SAY TEN TO FIFTEEN, SOMEWHERE ABOUT THERE.
16	Q AND THEN CASES OF OTHER TYPES, HUNDREDS?
17	A YES.
18	Q THAT YOU HAVE INVESTIGATED?
19	A YES.
20	Q IN ANY OF THOSE CASES THAT YOU HAVE
21	INVESTIGATED, HAVE YOU EVER HAD WITNESSES A
22	HUNDRED-PERCENT CONSISTENT WITH EACH OTHER?
23	A NO. AS A MATTER OF LAW, WHEN THEY ARE A HUNDRED
24	PERCENT CONSISTENT, THAT'S WHEN I GET SUSPICIOUS.
25	Q WHY?
26	A WELL, IF EVERYBODY HAS THE EXACT TIMES AND THE
27	EXACT STORIES, AND THE EXACT SCENARIO DOWN, THEN YOU START
28	TO WONDER I WONDER IF SOMERODY HAS MADE THAT IID ALMOST

1	EVERY INVESTIGATION I HAVE DONE I HAVE SEEN FROM THE SMALL
2	AMOUNT OF VARIATIONS TO A LARGE AMOUNT, EVEN WHEN THE
3	WITNESSES THAT I KNEW WERE THERE THAT SAW IT. THEY HAVE
4	ALL GOT A DIFFERENT OPINION, DIFFERENT VIEW. IT IS NOT
5	UNUSUAL AT ALL.
6	Q AND IN THE STRESS OF EXCITEMENT, EVEN WHEN ONE
7	HAS JUST LEARNED ONE'S CHILD HAS BEEN MURDERED, HAVE YOU
8	HAD EXPERIENCES WHERE PEOPLE CAN'T EVEN REMEMBER THEIR
9	PHONE NUMBER?
10	A IT IS A FREQUENT OCCURRENCE NOT EVEN IN A
11	SITUATION WHERE SOMEBODY LOST THEIR CHILD, I MEAN JUST THE
12	FACT THAT AN OFFICER IS THERE AND THAT THEY ARE UNDER A
13	STRESSFUL SITUATION, PEOPLE SOMETIMES CAN'T REMEMBER, YOU
14	KNOW, THEIR MOTHER'S MAIDEN NAME OR THEIR PHONE NUMBERS OR
15	ANYTHING LIKE THAT.
16	Q AND YOU HAVE ALREADY STATED THAT ONE OF THE
17	THINGS JENNIFER TOLD YOU TWO DAYS LATER IS THAT SHE
18	REMEMBERED MORE BECAUSE SHE HAD TIME TO REFLECT; IS THAT
19	TRUE?
20	A IT IS ONE OF THE REASONS WHY I WAITED UNTIL THE
21	12TH, TWO DAYS LATER, TO GIVER HER TIME TO COME DOWN. SHE
22	AND DARIN WERE GRIEVING. AND IT WOULDN'T BE FAIR TO
23	ANYBODY TO EXPECT THE PARENTS OF THIS CHILD TO BE
24	INTERVIEWED.
25	Q MR. ABLARD ASKED YOU ABOUT AN INVESTIGATION.
26	PART OF THE INVESTIGATION WAS SOME COMMENT THAT THE

DEFENDANT MAY HAVE GIVEN A FALSE DESCRIPTION OF BRITTANY.

27

28

DO YOU REMEMBER THAT?

1	A YES, MA'AM.
2	Q DID YOU CHECK THAT OUT AND FIND OUT WHAT HAD
3	HAPPENED THERE?
4	A APPARENTLY IT HAD BEEN GOING FROM NEIGHBOR TO
5	NEIGHBOR TO NEIGHBOR. AND SOMEWHERE ALONG THE LINE THIS
6	PERSON HAD GOT THE DESCRIPTION OF A BLOND HAIRED LITTLE
7	GIRL.
8	Q SO, IT WAS LIKE A GAME OF TELEPHONE?
9	A PROBABLY CHANGE WITH EVERY STORY.
10	Q SO IT WASN'T NECESSARILY THAT SOMEBODY FROM THE
11	HOUSEHOLD GAVE A FALSE DESCRIPTION, BUT AS THE INFORMATION
12	WAS PASSED FROM NEIGHBOR TO NEIGHBOR, IT GOT CONVOLUTED,
13	CONTORTED?
14	A KIND OF THE SAME WAY AS IF SOMEBODY IS TELLING A
15	STORY ABOUT SOME OCCURRENCE. SOMEBODY WILL TELL ONE THING
16	AND BY THE TIME IT GETS DOWN TO THE TENTH OR ELEVENTH GUY,
17	THE STORY IS COMPLETELY DIFFERENT.
18	Q THE ISSUE ABOUT STEVE LOPEZ AND WHAT HE SAW AND
19	WHAT HE MIGHT HAVE HEARD FROM SOMEONE ELSE, DID YOU ASK TO
20	CLARIFY WITH HIM TODAY?
21	A YES, I DID.
22	Q THAT'S WHY YOU CLARIFIED IT WITH HIM TODAY?
23	A YES.
24	Q THE ANONYMOUS CALLER, IT WAS A FULL YEAR AFTER
25	THE INCIDENT THAT YOU TALKED TO JEN FERRERA?
26	A NO. THE ANONYMOUS CALLER CALLED ON THE 13TH.
27	BUT SHE DIDN'T GIVE ME ENOUGH INFORMATION WHICH KIND OF
28	RAISED A RED FLAG RIGHT THERE. IT SOUNDED LIKE SHE HAD A

1	VENDETTA OR SOMETHING.
2	Q OKAY. BUT THIS INTERVIEW THAT YOU HAD WITH HER
3	THAT MR. ABLARD ASKED ABOUT ABOUT THE JUICE AND HE GOT UP
4	AND GAVE HER JUICE?
5	A UH-HUH.
6	Q THIS WAS A YEAR LATER; IS THAT CORRECT, A YEAR,
7	OVER A YEAR?
8	A YES.
9	Q AFTER THE INCIDENT THAT SHE CLAIMS THAT JENNIFER
10	SAID THEY GOT UP AND GAVE HER JUICE?
11	A YES. IN FACT, SHE TOLD ME THERE WERE MINOR
12	DISCREPANCIES. SHE WAS ANONYMOUS. AND THEN WHEN SHE
13	WHEN I TALKED TO HER AT A LATER TIME, SHE RELAYED TO ME
14	ABOUT THE JUICE.
15	Q OKAY. DID SHE TELL YOU THAT SHE HAD WRITTEN
16	THIS DOWN, HAD MEMORIZED IT AT THE TIME, OR ANYTHING OF
17	THAT SORT?
18	A NO, MA'AM, SHE DID NOT.
19	Q OKAY. AND THEN YOU STARTED TO EXPLAIN THAT YOU
20	DIDN'T INVESTIGATE THIS ANY FURTHER AND YOU SAID IF TRUE,
21	YOU WOULD HAVE DONE SOMETHING MORE. WHAT DID YOU MEAN BY
22	THAT?
23	A WELL, I DON'T REMEMBER EXACTLY REMEMBER THE
24	CONTEXT, WHERE I SAID THAT.
25	Q WELL, MR. ABLARD SAID, I BELIEVE, HE WANTED TO
26	KNOW WHY YOU DIDN'T OR HE SAID DID YOU CONFRONT JENNIFER
27	WITH FERRERA'S COMMENTS. AND YOU SAID NO. SO, I WILL ASK
28	YOU THIS: WHAT'S THE REASON THAT YOU DIDN'T CONFRONT

	071
1	JENNIFER WITH MS. FERRERA'S COMMENTS?
2	A WELL, HERE AGAIN, THE TIME LAPSE, THE FACT THAT
3	SHE WAS ANONYMOUS, THE FACT THAT DURING MY INITIAL
4	INTERVIEW WITH HER, SHE SOUNDED LIKE SHE HAD A VENDETTA.
5	I DON'T KNOW. I DIDN'T EVEN KNOW IF THEY KNEW EACH OTHER
6	AT THAT POINT.
7	Q YOU JUST NOTED IT. NOW, YOU DID MAKE A FULL
8	REPORT?
9	A MADE A FULL REPORT EXPLAINING EXACTLY WHAT WAS
10	SAID IN FACT, I BELIEVE THE SECOND INTERVIEW WAS
11	TAPE-RECORDED.
12	Q RIGHT. AND YOU PROVIDED THIS TO THE DEFENSE?
13	A YES, I DID.
14	Q AND YOU EVEN TOLD MS. FERRERA THAT YOU WOULD BE
15	PROVIDING THIS TO THE DEFENSE?
16	A YES, I DID.
17	Q SO, YOU DIDN'T TRY TO HIDE ANY OF IT?
18	A NO, MA'AM.
19	Q MOVING ON TO THE DEFENDANT'S TAPE-RECORDED
20	INTERVIEWS WITH YOU, YOU WERE ASKED ABOUT A COMMENT ABOUT
21	THE GARAGE DOOR. AND I AM READING NOW FROM A TRANSCRIPT
22	FROM OF TAPE TWO THAT WE HEARD YESTERDAY. PAGE 2, OR TAPE
23	TWO, PAGE 6. IF YOU CAN FIND IT.
24	A OKAY.
25	Q AND I AM AT LINE SEVEN.
26	A OKAY.

FIND IT. JOHNSON-- WELL, I AM GUESSING MAYBE

FIVE, TEN MINUTES I HAD TO SHUT THE GARAGE DOOR?

27

1	A YES.
2	Q HE DID
3 .	TAPE-RECORDED ABO
4	A NO.
5	Q SO, YO
6	DOOR, PADLOCKED
7	DOOR OR NOT YO
8	A I DON'
9	Q SO THA
10	ABOUT THE GARAGE
11	A YES.
12	Q SO, WO
13	WELL, JENNIFER A
14	BECAUSE MR. JOHN
15	IT OR NOT?
16	A YES.
17	Q YOU WE
18	YOU GO TO THE GAI
19	TRY TO VERIFY.
20	A WELL,
21	TOWELS WERE THER
22	APPARENTLY WASHE
23	BEFORE THEY PROB
24	WERE THERE FROM '
25	SOMETHING THAT H
26	APPARENTLY DID L
27	WHETHER THAT WAS
28	O ALSO,

N'T SAY ANYTHING FURTHER TO YOU THAT WAS OUT THE GARAGE DOOR; DID HE?

U CAN'T TELL US WHETHER HE SHUT THE GARAGE IT, OR NOT; WALKED AROUND TO THE FRONT U DON'T KNOW?

T KNOW.

T HE SAID THAT WE HEARD IS ALL YOU KNOW DOOR?

ULDN'T IT BE JUMPING TO CONCLUSIONS TO SAY ND DARIN MUST BE LYING ABOUT THE PADLOCK SON DIDN'T TELL YOU WHETHER HE PADLOCKED

RE ASKED BY MR. ABLARD WELL, WHY DIDN'T RAGE AND/OR DID YOU GO TO THE GARAGE AND YOU SAID NO. WHY NOT?

I WOULD HAVE NO WAY TO KNOW IF THOSE E FROM THE NIGHT BEFORE OR WHEN HE D HIS CAR OR EVEN THOUGH YOU KNOW A WEEK ABLY WOULD HAVE STILL BEEN WET. BUT THEY THE NIGHT BEFORE. FINDING EVIDENCE OF APPENED THAT LIKE WASHING THE CAR THAT HE AST NIGHT, I WOULD HAVE NO WAY TO TELL THE LAST NIGHT OR FROM THAT DAY.

ALSO, WHETHER THERE WAS A PADLOCK OR NOT ON THE

	9.75
1	GARAGE WHEN YOU GOT THERE, YOU WERE NOT THERE AT THE
2	INITIAL SCENE; WERE YOU?
3 ,	A NO.
4	Q YOU GOT THERE SOME HOURS LATER?
5	A YES.
6	Q SO, WOULD IT BE RELEVANT WHAT WAS THE STATE OF
7	THE HOUSE HOURS AFTER THE INCIDENT?
8	A NO.
9	Q WHERE THERE PEOPLE STILL THERE?
10	A NO.
11	MS. SCHMAUSS: THANK YOU. I HAVE NOTHING FURTHER.
12	MR. ABLARD: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.
13	REDIRECT EXAMINATION
14	BY MR. ABLARD:
15	Q AS TO THIS GARAGE BUSINESS, IF YOU NEVER WENT
16	OUT THERE AND LOOKED HOW WOULD YOU KNOW?
17	A HOW WOULD I KNOW?
18	Q IN OTHER WORDS YOU SAID THERE WOULD BE PROBABLY
19	NO WAY OF KNOWING IF THERE ARE MOIST TOWELS. DID YOU EVER
20	THEN GO OUT THERE?
21	A NO.
22	Q CONSISTENT WITH CLEANING AND FIXING UP THE CAR
23	THAT YOU ARE ASSUMING THAT IT WOULDN'T DO ANY GOOD TO LOOK
24	OUT THERE; RIGHT? I MEAN IT IS AN ASSUMPTION ON YOUR
25	PART?
26	A WELL, I DON'T THINK I WAS ASSUMING. I JUST
27	DIDN'T GO OUT THERE. IT WOULDN'T TELL ME ANYTHING. IT
28	WOULDN'T TELL ME WHETHER HE WIPED HIS CAR TODAY OR WHETHER

1 HE WASHED HIS CAR LAST NIGHT. THE TOWELS, I HAVE NO WAY OF TELLING. O WELL, YOU THINK THERE MIGHT HAVE BEEN SOMETHING OUT THERE, IT WAS A CRIME SCENE; WAS IT NOT? A WELL, AT THE ORIGINAL TIME, I WAS THERE, NO, IT WAS NOT A CRIME SCENE UNTIL WE WENT BACK ON THE 12TH. 6 RIGHT. AND YOU DIDN'T-- BUT YOU DIDN'T LOOK IN THE GARAGE ON THE 12TH BECAUSE YOU FIGURED IT WOULDN'T DO 8 9 YOU ANY GOOD? 10 I DON'T RECALL IF I LOOKED IN THE 12TH OR NOT. AS PART OF AN INVESTIGATOR, YOU TRY TO GIVE THE 11 BENEFIT OF DOUBT TO THE DEFENDANT TO VERIFY HIS STORY? 12 13 A I TRY AND BE EQUALLY FAIR FOR EVERYBODY, YES. AND YOU WERE FAIR IN THIS CASE? 14 A I BELIEVE SO. 15 DID YOU CONSIDER MY CLIENT'S STATEMENT TO YOU TO 16 17 BE A HUNDRED-PERCENT CONSISTENT? CONSISTENT WITH? 18 Α HIS STORIES. HE INTERVIEWED WITH YOU. WE 19 20 HEARD THE STATEMENT YESTERDAY. 21 YES. MS. SCHMAUSS: YOUR HONOR, I WOULD OBJECT. THIS 22 CALLS FOR CONCLUSION. 23 MR. ABLARD: THIS IS RE-CROSS. SHE HAD ASKED HIM THE 24 QUESTION ON INCONSISTENCIES. THIS IS MY RE-CROSS. 25 MS. SCHMAUSS: I AM NOT CLEAR WHAT HE IS ASKING. 26 27 THE COURT: I AM GOING TO OVERRULE IT. MR. ABLARD: THANK YOU. 28

1 Α CAN I CLARIFY? ARE YOU ASKING ME--Q BY MR. ABLARD: I WILL CLARIFY. THANK YOU. 3 OKAY. GO AHEAD. Α YESTERDAY, WE HEARD THE TAPE. 0 5 A YES. MR. JOHNSON'S TESTIMONY. 6 Q 7 YES. Α OF WHAT HE SAID TO YOU, HIS STATEMENT I MEAN? 8 Q 9 Α YES. 10 OKAY. AND IN THERE YOU TALK ABOUT INCONSISTENCIES AND LYING AND SO ON AND SO FORTH; CORRECT? 11 12 Α YES. 13 OKAY. YOU DO NOT CONSIDER HIS STATEMENT TO YOU A HUNDRED PERCENT CONSISTENT; DID YOU? 14 A NO. 15 THANK YOU. I HAVE NO FURTHER QUESTIONS? 16 17 THE COURT: WELL, DO YOU HAVE ANYTHING FURTHER? 18 MS. SCHMAUSS: NO, YOUR HONOR. 19 THE COURT: DO YOU FEEL LIKE A PING-PONG BALL? HAVE A SEAT. TAKE A SHORT RECESS, FOLKS. DON'T DISCUSS THIS 20 21 CASE. DON'T FORM OPINIONS OR CONCLUSIONS. THE JURY IS EXCUSED. BUT COUNSEL SHALL REMAIN. THE CASE IS NOT IN 22 RECESS. 23 MR. ABLARD, DO YOU WANT FIVE MINUTES? 24 MR. ABLARD: I WOULD NEED TO RUN DOWN THE HALL. 25 26 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. I WANT YOU TO COME BACK. WE 27 WILL DEAL WITH THE ISSUE OF ISSUES THAT WE DIDN'T DEAL 28 WITH.

MS. SCHMAUSS: OKAY. 2 (RECESS) 3 (THE FOLLOWING PROCEEDINGS WERE HELD IN OPEN COURT OUTSIDE THE PRESENCE OF THE JURY:) 5 THE COURT: DID YOU WANT TO HAVE A DISCUSSION ABOUT THE OTHER INFORMATION? 6 7 MR. ABLARD: YES. I THINK THAT-- YES. PUT THEM AWAY 8 HERE. THE INFORMATION THAT TUESDAY'S TESTIMONY WOULD BE 9 AND I WOULD SUGGEST THAT WE BEFOREHAND THAT THE COURT ONLY HAVE TO REQUEST A HEARING PERSON -- AND MAKE SURE IT IS OF 10 11 PERSONAL KNOWLEDGE. BUT WHATEVER. TESTIFY TO A COUPLE OF 12 DIFFERENT THINGS. THE COURT: WE ARE GOING INTO THESE AREAS; RIGHT? 13 MR. ABLARD: RIGHT. YOU JUST LIST THEM. YOU WANT ME 14 TO LIST THEM. 15 THE COURT: NO. MY PREFERENCE IS AS FOLLOWS: SEE IF 16 17 THIS FITS: THAT WITNESS WILL BE HERE ON TUESDAY. MR. ABLARD: YES. 18 THE COURT: AND SHE WILL SAY WHAT SHE HAS TO SAY. 19 20 MR. ABLARD: YES. THE COURT: AND SHE MAY RELATE THAT WHICH SHE SAID 21 TO OFFICER DONLEY. 22 MR. ABLARD: YES. 23 24 THE COURT: IF AFTER THE COURT HEARS THAT, IT IS 25 GOING TO ADMIT THE EVIDENCE, I WILL PERMIT YOU TO RECALL 26 DONLEY TO SAY THAT HE HEARD WHAT HE HEARD AND DID WHAT HE 27 DID. MR. ABLARD: OKAY.

THE COURT: OR DIDN'T. HOW IS THAT? 1 2 MR. ABLARD: THAT'S FINE. THE COURT: IN SEQUENCE. OFFICER DONLEY IS THE HEAD INVESTIGATOR, REMAINS AVAILABLE AS A PING-PONG BALL. BUT I 5 THINK ANYTHING HE HEARS HAS GOT TO HAVE A BASIS. WHAT HE HEARS AND DOES OR DOESN'T DO HAS GOT TO HAVE A BASIS. IT 6 7 IS ADMISSIBLE BEFORE WE EVEN GET INTO WHAT HE HEARS OR DOES OR DOESN'T DO. 8 9 MR. ABLARD: THAT'S TRUE. THE COURT: SO, THAT'S ACCEPTABLE. LET'S DO IT THAT 10 WAY. 11 MR. ABLARD: THAT WILL BE PERFECTLY FINE WITH ME. 12 13 THE COURT: THANK YOU. MS. SCHMAUSS: I HAVE ONE CAVEAT THOUGH. SORRY. 14 JENNIFER IS A PERSONALLY, A WITNESS TODAY. AND SINCE WE 15 ARE NOT GOING TO GET INTO THE FERRERA-CROCKER ALLEGATION 16 UNTIL TUESDAY, I WOULD ASK THAT SHE NOT BE CROSS-EXAMINED 17 18 ABOUT IT UNTIL AND UNLESS THE COURT IS GOING TO ADMIT IT. 19 SHE WILL BE AVAILABLE TOO. SHE IS A GOOD PING-PONG BALL. THE COURT: WELL, THAT WITNESS IS NOT EXCUSED IN ANY 20 EVENT. SO, ALL RIGHT. 21 22 MR. ABLARD: WE CAN WORK SOMETHING OUT. THE COURT: THANK YOU. 23 MR. ABLARD: THANK YOU. 24 THE COURT: THANK YOU. WITH THAT, WE GO TO A NEW 25 WITNESS AND BRING THE JURY IN. 26 27 MR. ABLARD: YES. THE COURT: LET US DO THAT. 28

1	(THE JURY ENTERED THE COURTROOM AND THE
2	FOLLOWING PROCEEDINGS WERE HELD:)
.3	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. THE JURORS HAVE RETURNED AND
4	ARE PROPERLY SEATED. NEXT WITNESS, PLEASE.
5	MR. ABLARD: NEXT WE CALL MR. LOPEZ, STEVE LOPEZ.
6	THE COURT: STEVE LOPEZ.
7	MR. ABLARD: THANK YOU.
8	THE COURT: HAVE A SEAT MR. LOPEZ. DO YOU REMEMBER
9	YOU ARE UNDER THE OATH OF THE OTHER DAY?
10	THE WITNESS: YES, I DO.
11	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. YOU ARE STILL OBLIGATED TO
12	CONFORM TO THAT PROMISE YOU MADE.
13	MR. ABLARD: THANK YOU VERY MUCH, YOUR HONOR.
14	STEVEN LOPEZ,
15	HAVING BEEN PREVIOUSLY SWORN, RESUME THE STAND, WAS
16	EXAMINED, AND TESTIFIED AS FOLLOWS:
17	DIRECT EXAMINATION
18	BY MR. ABLARD:
19	Q MR. LOPEZ, DID YOU EVER SPEAK WITH A DEFENSE
20	INVESTIGATOR, JOHN MARTIN?
21	A JOHN MARTIN, I DON'T RECALL.
22	Q OKAY. AT SOME POINT DID SOMEONE FROM THE
23	DEFENSE, AN INVESTIGATOR, A PERSON, COME TO YOUR PLACE OF
24	WORK AND ATTEMPT TO TALK TO YOU ABOUT THIS CASE?
25	A YES.
26	Q YOU JUST DON'T KNOW HIS NAME?
27	A YES.
28	Q AND AT THAT TIME, YOU TOLD HIM THAT A FRIEND HAD

1	TOLD YOU ON ADVICE OF THEIR COUNSEL NOT TO TALK TO THE
2	DEFENSE INVESTIGATOR; IS THAT TRUE?
,3 ,	A YES.
4	Q NOW, WHO ARE THOSE FRIENDS?
5	A DARIN RIGGS AND JENNIFER RETHORN.
6	Q OKAY. YOU I BELIEVE THAT WE ESTABLISHED
7	INITIALLY THAT YOU HAD TOLD OFFICER BALES YOUR TESTIMONY
8	THE OTHER DAY THAT YOU HAD HEARD MR. RIGGS AND RETHORN
9	YELLING. THAT IS WHEN YOU GOT UP, GOT DRESSED, AND WENT
10	OUT; CORRECT?
11	A NO.
12	Q YOU DID NOT TELL
13	A NO. I DID NOT.
14	Q DID YOU TELL OFFICER KELLY THAT?
15	A I DON'T REMEMBER THE OFFICER'S NAME THAT I WAS
16	TALKING TO AT THE TIME.
17	Q OKAY.
18	A I WAS KIND OF PREOCCUPIED. I DON'T REMEMBER
19	STATING THAT. WHAT I DO REMEMBER STATING WAS THAT I WAS
20	UNAWARE THAT THERE WAS ANYTHING WRONG UNTIL THEY STARTED
21	CALLING AROUND AND LOOKING FOR BRITTANY.
22	Q OKAY. NOW, DID YOU STATE TO AN OFFICER THAT
23	MORNING YOU HAD GOTTEN UP AND APPARENTLY GOTTEN IN THE
24	TRUCK AND LEFT AND DIDN'T COME BACK UNTIL THE POLICE WERE
25	PRESENT?
26	A NO, I DIDN'T. BECAUSE I HAD COME BACK ONCE.
27	Q YOU CAME BACK ONCE?

A YES. THAT'S WHEN I GOT A PICTURE OF BRITTANY

1	FROM DARIN.
2	Q RIGHT. AND YOU GAVE A STATEMENT AND INTERVIEW
3	AND STATEMENT TO THE POLICE ABOUT THIS BUSINESS IN THE
4	HALLWAY WITH MY CLIENT; CORRECT?
5	A YES.
6	Q OKAY. DID YOU PERSONALLY SEE THAT?
7	A NO, I DIDN'T.
8	Q OKAY. WHEN WAS THE LAST YOU SPOKE WITH SOMEONE
9	THIS MORNING ABOUT THAT VERY ISSUE?
10	A YES, I SPOKE TO OFFICER DONLEY.
11	Q OKAY. AND YOU TOLD HIM BASICALLY THAT THAT IS
12	JUST WHAT WAS TOLD YOU?
13	A YES.
14	Q OKAY. AND WHO TOLD YOU THAT?
15	A JENNIFER RETHORN.
16	Q THANK YOU. NOW, AFTER THE DATE OF THIS
17	INCIDENT, OCTOBER 10 OF '93, HAD YOU UP UNTIL THE 20TH OF
18	OCTOBER, 1993, HAD YOU BEEN BACK INTO THE HOUSE?
19	A I BELIEVE ONCE.
20	Q WHEN?
21	A THE NEXT DAY, THE 11TH, I BELIEVE.
22	Q THE 11TH. OKAY. HAD YOU BEENDID YOU GO BACK
23	ON THE 12TH?
24	A I DON'T BELIEVE SO. I BELIEVE I ONLY WENT BACK
25	ONCE. THAT WAS JUST SO I COULD GET CLOTHES FOR WORK.
26	Q OKAY.
27	A UNTIL AFTER THE 20TH WHEN WE MOVED EVERYTHING
28	)   OTTT

1	Q DID YOU GO BACK ON OCTOBER THE 8TH?
2	A NOT THAT I REMEMBER.
3	Q WHEN YOU WERE THERE ON THE 11THWELL, STRIKE
4	THAT.
5	SO, THE PART ABOUT YOU NOT GETTING UP UNTIL YOU HEARD
6	MS. RIGGS AND MR. RETHORN YELLING FOR BRITTANY, IS THAT
7	SOMETHING THAT IS NOT THE WAY IT HAPPENED?
8	A THAT IS CORRECT.
9	Q AND YOU DIDN'T TELL THE POLICE THAT?
LO	A NOT THAT I REMEMBER. I DON'T REMEMBER A LOT OF
L1	WHAT I TOLD THE POLICE SITTING IN THE KITCHEN AT THAT
L2	TIME.
L3	Q NOW, YOU RECALL SPEAKING WITH OFFICER DONLEY ON
4	THE 11TH?
<b>.</b> 5	A YES.
.6	Q OKAY. AND DURING THAT TIME, DID YOU DISCUSS
.7	WITH HIM WHEN YOU HAD ACTUALLY GOTTEN UP?
.8	A YES.
.9	Q DID HE DISCUSS WITH YOU WHAT THE BALES OR
20	KELLY'S REPORT SAID THAT YOU ACTUALLY STATED THAT YOU HAD
21	GOTTEN UP AT A DIFFERENT TIME?
22	A NO, I DIDN'T.
23	Q NO, HE DIDN'T?
24	A NO, HE DIDN'T.
25	MR. ABLARD: OKAY. I HAVE NOTHING FURTHER AT THIS
26	TIME, YOUR HONOR.
27	CROSS-EXAMINATION
8.	BY MS. SCHMAUSS:

1	Q WHEN YOU WERE TELLING OFFICER DONLEY, THIS WOULD
2	HAVE BEEN ON THE 11TH AT YOUR STORE, THRIFTY STORE
3 .	BECOMING WHEN HE CAME TO TALK TO YOU AND YOU MENTIONED THE
4	COMMENT ABOUT JOHNSON FINALLY COMING OUT OF HIS BEDROOM,
5	SITTING DOWN ON THE FLOOR NEXT TO THE CABINETS, BECOMING
6	HYSTERICAL. DO YOU REMEMBER THAT?
7	A UH-HUH.
8	Q AND THEN YOU WENT AND SAID YOU FELT THIS WAS
9	UNUSUAL BECAUSE JOHNSON WAS NOT DISPLAYING EMOTIONS THAT
10	YOU WOULD EXPECT SOMEONE TO SHOW IF SOMEONE WAS MISSING?
11	A YES.
12	Q SO, YOU WERE RETRACING WHAT JENNIFER HAD TOLD
13	YOU ABOUT SEEING JOHNSON IN THE HALLWAY?
14	A YES.
15	Q CORRECT?
16	A YES.
17	Q BUT THE PART ABOUT YOU FELT IT WAS UNUSUAL THAT
18	HE WAS DISPLAYING EMOTIONS THAT YOU WOULDN'T EXPECT
19	SOMEBODY TO SHOW JUST FOR A MISSING CHILD. WAS THAT YOUR
20	OWN?
21	A THAT WAS MY OWN CONCLUSION.
22	Q OKAY. WASN'T SOMETHING YOU WERE REPEATING THAT
23	JENNIFER TOLD YOU?
24	A NO.
25	Q SO, IS THAT THE PURPOSE THAT YOU TOLD OFFICER
26	DONLEY ABOUT THAT BECAUSE YOU WANTED TO GIVE HIM MORE
27	FEELINGS?
28	A YES.

		683
1	Q	YOU KNEW MR. JOHNSON?
2	A	YES.
- 3	Q	YOU HAD BEEN WORKING WITH HIM?
4	· A	UH-HUH.
5	Q	IS THAT A YES?
6	A	YES.
7	Q	FOR ABOUT HOW LONG?
8	A	SEVERAL MONTHS.
9	Q	YOU HAD SOCIALIZED WITH HIM?
10	A	ON OCCASION.
11	Q	YOU WERE AWARE THAT HE REALLY HAD VERY LITTLE
12	RELATIONS	HIP WITH BRITTANY?
13	A	YES.
14	Q	IT WAS WHY YOU SAID WHAT YOU SAID?
15	A	YES.
16	Q	WHEN YOU WENT BACK IN THE HOUSE ON THE 11TH,
17	WERE YOU	ALONE OR WERE YOU WITH SOMEONE ELSE?
18	A	I WAS WITH A FRIEND OF MINE.
19	Q	WHO WAS THAT?
20	A	A FRIEND OF MINE AND DARIN, JEFF BERNAKE
21	(PHONETIC	) <b>.</b>
22	Q	ON THAT DATE ON THE 11TH, DID YOU GO INTO THE
23	DEFENDANT	'S ROOM?
24	A	NO, I JUST WENT INTO MY ROOM.
25	Q	DO YOU RECALL IF THE DEFENDANT'S ROOM WAS OPEN
26	OR CLOSED	?
27	A	I BELIEVE IT WAS CLOSED. BUT I CAN'T BE SURE
28	ABOUT THA	Γ.

1	Q DID YOU GO ANYWHERE NEAR IT?
2	A NO. MY DOOR WAS ACROSS THE HALLWAY A LITTLE
3	BIT.
4	Q DID YOU TRY TO GO AND OBSERVE IT?
5	A NO. I KIND OF WANTED TO SPEND AS LITTLE TIME AS
6	POSSIBLE IN THE HOUSE.
7	Q THAT WAS MY NEXT QUESTION. IT WAS A PARTICULAR
8	REASON THAT YOU ONLY WENT BACK THAT YOU CAN RECALL THAT
9	ONE TIME?
10	A I FEEL I AM KIND OF SUPERSTITIOUS. I FELT KIND
11	OF WEIRD BEING IN THE HOUSE. I JUST ONLY REASON I WENT
12	BACK WAS BECAUSE I HAD TO GET CLOTHES FOR WORK AND OTHER
13	YOU KNOW JUST CLOTHES.
14	Q WHERE DID YOU STAY?
15	A INITIALLY AT MY PARENTS' HOUSE.
16	Q AND THEN IT WAS AFTER THE 20TH THAT YOU DID YOUR
17	FINAL MOVE OUT?
18	A YES.
19	Q DO YOU REMEMBER HOW SOON AFTER THE 20TH?
20	A EXACT DATE, I DON'T KNOW.
21	Q YOU ARE SURE IT WAS AFTER THE 20TH?
22	A YES.
23	Q HOW DO YOU KNOW IT WAS AFTER THE 20TH?
24	A BECAUSE THE FUN WE HAD ALREADY HAD THE
25	FUNERAL. AND I ALREADY BEEN BACK TO WORK. AND I HAD TO
26	WAIT FOR SOME DAYS OFF TO COME UP THAT I COULD GET
27	EVERYTHING OUT. I ALSO HAD TO ARRANGE FOR STORAGE OF A
28	LOT OF MY STUFF.

1	Q YOU STATED I DON'T REMEMBER A LOT OF WHAT I TOLD
2	THE POLICE IN THE KITCHEN. WHY IS THAT?
.3	A NUMBER ONE, IT WAS KIND OF A STRESSFUL SITUATION
4	TO BE UNDER. AND IT'S BEEN A WHILE SINCE THERE'S BEEN
5	OVER TWO YEARS.
6	Q WAS THERE A LOT OF CHAOS IN THAT KITCHEN?
7	A YES.
8	MS. SCHMAUSS: NOTHING FURTHER, YOUR HONOR.
9	MR. ABLARD: BRIEF, YOUR HONOR.
10	REDIRECT EXAMINATION
11	BY MR. ABLARD:
12	Q MR. LOPEZ, WHEN YOU AND YOUR FRIENDS WERE THERE
13	ON THE 11TH, WERE YOU ACCOMPANIED BY A POLICE OFFICER IN
14	THE HOUSE?
15	A NO, WE WEREN'T.
16	Q WAS ANYONE ELSE THERE OTHER THAN YOU AND YOUR
17	FRIENDS?
18	A NO.
19	Q HOW DID YOU GET IN?
20	A HOUSE KEY.
21	Q WAS A SEAL ON THE DOOR OR ANYTHING?
22	A NO.
23	MR. ABLARD: I HAVE NO FURTHER QUESTIONS AT THIS
24	TIME. THANK YOU.
25	THE COURT: YOU MAY STEP DOWN. CALL YOUR NEXT
26	WITNESS.
27	MS. SCHMAUSS: MAY HE BE EXCUSED?
28	THE COURT: I AM NOT EXCUSING ANYBODY UNTIL THE JURY

GOES OUT. THE WAY THIS CASE IS GOING, CALLING AND 1 RECALLING. SO, AFTER ARGUMENT AND INSTRUCTION THEY CAN BE 3. EXCUSED. HE OF COURSE IF FREE TO BE SUBJECT TO RECALL. 5 SO, WHAT'S NEXT FOR US, MR. ABLARD? 6 MR. ABLARD: WELL, I BELIEVE WE WILL CALL MR. RIGGS. 7 THE COURT: THANK YOU. MR. ABLARD: THANK YOU. 8 THE COURT: YOU HAVE TO CALL LOUDLY. I BELIEVE HE IS 10 OUTSIDE. HAVE A SEAT, MR. RIGGS. DO YOU REMEMBER YOUR OATH OF 11 12 THE OTHER DAY? 13 THE WITNESS: YES, I DO. THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. YOU ARE STILL OBLIGATED TO 14 TELL US THE TRUTH. 15 16 MR.ABLARD. 17 MR. ABLARD: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR. 18 DARIN RIGGS, 19 HAVING BEEN PREVIOUSLY SWORN, RESUMED THE STAND, WAS 20 EXAMINED AND TESTIFIED AS FOLLOWS: 21 DIRECT EXAMINATION 22 BY MR. ABLARD: 23 MR. RIGGS, WERE YOU IN THAT HOUSE ON THE 11TH OF OCTOBER? 24 25 THE 11TH?  ${\tt A}$ 0 I'M SORRY, OF '93? 26 27 A YES. 28 0 AND WE COVERED THIS BRIEFLY. I DON'T WANT TO GO

1	THROUGH THE SAME THING. I AM JUST TRYING TO RECOLLECT HOW
2	MANY TIMES FROM THE 10TH TO THE 20TH WERE YOU IN THAT
. 3	HOUSE?
4	A I DON'T KNOW. THAT WAS TWO YEAR SAGO. I WAS
5	I HAD STOPPED BY TO GET THE MAIL, GET SOME CLEAN CLOTHES,
6	REMOVE VALUABLES OUT. BUT JUST BECAUSE THE ADDRESS WAS IN
7	THE PAPER. BUT OTHER THAN.
8	Q SO, YOU DON'T KNOW?
9	A NO.
10	Q OKAY. THOSE TIMES THAT WELL, THE WAS
11	MR. LOPEZ WAS NOT PRESENT; WAS HE?
12	A WHEN MISS
13	Q WHEN YOU STATED MR. JOHNSON CAME OUT OF HIS
14	ROOM; CORRECT?
15	A NO.
16	MS. SCHMAUSS: I WOULD OBJECT AS VAGUE. WAS HE
17	PRESENT WHEN HE STATED OR WAS HE PRESENT
18	MR. ABLARD: I WILL REPHRASE IT.
19	THE COURT: THANK YOU, MR. ABLARD.
20	MR. ABLARD: THANK YOU, JUDGE.
21	THE COURT: OTHERWISE YOU CONFUSE ME.
22	Q BY MR. ABLARD: I CONFUSE MYSELF ON THAT ONE.
23	SO, HERE WE GO: DO YOU KNOW THAT AT THE TIME MR. JOHNSON
24	CAME OUT OF HIS ROOM, WAS MR. LOPEZ AT THE HOUSE OR NOT?
25	A I BELIEVE HE HAD ALREADY BEEN OR WAS OUT THE
26	FRONT DOOR AT LEAST TO GO INTO HIS VEHICLE.
27	Q DID YOU TELL MR. LOPEZ THAT MR. JOHNSON WAS AT
2.8	THE HALLWAY RECOMING HYCTERICAL AT ANY TIMES

1	A OVER TWO YEARS, YEAH, I AM SURE I HAVE MENTIONED
2	IT.
3	Q OKAY. HOW ABOUT SAY ON THE 10TH OR 11TH OF
4	1993, DID YOU DISCUSS THAT WITH HIM?
5	A WITH THE GRIEVING I WAS DOING, AT THE TIME, I
6	DON'T REMEMBER MOST OF MY CONVERSATIONS THROUGH THE WEEK
7	OR SO.
8	Q OKAY. WERE YOU EVER PRESENT WHEN RETHORN WAS
9	DISCUSSING THAT ISSUE WITH MR. LOPEZ?
10	A DURING THAT WEEK OR SO, WE WERE PRETTY MUCH SIDE
L1	BY SIDE. BECAUSE WE WERE GRIEVING. BUT AGAIN, I DON'T
L2	REMEMBER CONVERSATIONS.
L3	Q OKAY. WHEN DID MR. LOPEZ LEAVE THE RESIDENCE
L4	THAT MORNING TO START LOOKING?
L5	A IN THE MORNING OF THE 10TH?
L6	Q RIGHT. WHEN?
L7	A TIME WISE, I DON'T KNOW. I WOULD ASSUME RIGHT
L8	AFTER 9.
L9	Q OKAY. RIGHT AFTER 9 HE LEFT. DID HE JUST, DID
20	HE MERELY DRIVE OFF IN HIS CAR AND LOOK, JUST GET HIS
21	KEYS, DRIVE OFF, AND LOOK AROUND THE NEIGHBORHOOD OR DID
22	SOMETHING TRANSPIRE FIRST?
23	MS. SCHMAUSS: I AM GOING TO OBJECT. HOW IS HE GOING
24	TO KNOW WHAT HE DID ONCE HE LEFT MR. RIGGS?
25	Q BY MR. ABLARD: OKAY. DO YOU KNOW WHEN HE
26	LEFT?
27	A WHEN I MEAN, YEAH. I KNOW HE LEFT THE HOUSE AT
28	ONE POINT.

1	O WHEN?
	~
2	A AGAIN, TIME WHEN I WAS SEARCHING FOR MY DAUGHTER
.3	IS IRRELEVANT. I DON'T KNOW.
4	Q WHAT WAS GOING ON WHEN HE LEFT THE HOUSE? WHAT
5	ARE YOU DOING WHEN HE LEFT THE HOUSE?
6	A I WAS SEARCHING. I HAD SEARCHED THE BACKYARD
7	AND I WAS COMING IN THROUGH THE FRONT HOUSE, THE FRONT OF
8	THE HOUSE TO SEARCH.
9	Q OKAY. AND DID HE SEARCH THE BACKYARD?
10	A HE HAD SEARCHED THE NORTH SIDE. I SEARCHED THE
11	SOUTH SIDE.
12	Q THEN YOU BOTH CAME BACK IN?
13	A YES.
14	Q AT ONE POINT, THAT'S WHEN HE LEFT?
15	A YES.
16	Q OKAY. DO YOU KNOW WHEN HE CAME BACK?
17	A NO. I HAD ALREADY LEFT FOR THE HOSPITAL.
18	Q SO, HE CAME BACK SOMETIME AFTER YOU HAD LEFT FOR
19	THE HOSPITAL?
20	A DO YOU MEAN BACK INTO THE HOUSE?
21	Q YES, SIR.
22	A CORRECT, AFTER AND HE HAD DRIVEN BY AT ONE
23	POINT. AND I HANDED HIM A PHOTO.
24	Q NOW, I BELIEVE WE HAD COVERED THIS LAST TIME.
25	BUT DID YOU EVER TELL OFFICER BALES THAT WHEN YOU WERE
26	AWOKEN BY BRITTANY THE FIRST TIME, 7, 7:30-ISH THAT YOU
27	YELLED ABOUT HER NOT KNOCKING AND JUST SENT HER AWAY?
2,	TO THE VOIL THE TRITTE TONYT DEALLY DEMEMBED

1	THE INTERVIEW WITH OFFICER BALES AT THE HOSPITAL. BECAUSE
2	OF JUST BEING INFORMED THAT OUR DAUGHTER WAS KILLED.
3	Q OKAY. NOW, DID YOU EVER DISCUSS WITH JENNIFER
4	RETHORN WHETHER OR NOT SOME OR ALL OF THE DOORS WERE
5	LOCKED IN THE HOUSE WHEN SHE FIRST GOT UP?
6	MS. SCHMAUSS: OBJECTION. VAGUE AS TO TIME WHEN HE
7	DISCUSSED IT.
8	THE COURT: HE SAID "EVER."
9	A AGAIN, OVER THE TWO YEARS, I AM SURE WE HAVE
10	DISCUSSED IT. WE HAVE DISCUSSED THE CASE NUMEROUS TIMES.
11	Q WHEN IS THE LAST TIME YOU-ALL DISCUSSED THE
12	CASE?
13	A WE HAVE DISCUSSED IT TODAY.
14	Q OKAY?
15	A THAT ONE TOPIC, WE HAVEN'T DISCUSSED IN A WHILE.
16	BUT.
17	Q YOU DISCUSSED OTHER FACETS OF THE CASE TODAY?
18	A YEAH.
19	Q YOU-ALL KNEW YOU WERE GOING TO BE WITNESSES
20	TODAY?
21	A YEAH. IF YOU ARE TRYING TO IMPLY THAT WE
22	COLLABORATED OUR STORIES, NO, WE DID NOT.
23	Q DID JENNIFER EVER GO OUTSIDE, JENNFIER RETHORN,
24	DURING THIS PERIOD OF SEARCH?
25	A AT ONE POINT SHE CAME OUT TO THE FRONT YARD TO
26	ASK ME IF I FELT IT HAD BEEN LONG ENOUGH TIME TO SEARCH TO
27	CALL 911. BUT THAT WAS BARELY OUTSIDE THE FRONT DOOR.
28	Q AND DID MR. JOHNSON COME OUT WITH HER?

1	A I AM NOT SURE WHERE HE WAS AT THE TIME.
2	Q UH-HUH. DID YOU EVER TELL AN OFFICER THAT
3 ,,	JENNIFER NEVER CAME OUTDOORS?
4	A I DON'T REMEMBER.
5	Q DID YOU EVER TELL JENNIFER THAT YOU SAW MY
6	CLIENT GO IN A COUPLE OF HOUSES DURING THIS SEARCH PERIOD?
7	A YES.
8	Q OKAY. WHEN IN THE SEARCH PERIOD WAS HE OUT
9	SEARCHING?
.0	A I BELIEVE BEFORE THE POLICE WERE CALLED, BUT I
.1	CAN'T
.2	Q SO, YOU REMEMBER THAT?
.3	A I SAID I BELIEVE. IT IS NOT A HUNDRED-PERCENT
.4	FACT OR I AM NOT A HUNDRED-PERCENT SURE.
.5	Q YOU EVEN MENTIONED BEFORE YOU HAVE A EXACT
.6	RECOLLECTION THAT ON THE FINAL WALK THROUGH WITH YOUR
.7	LANDLORD THAT LITTLE HOOK-AND-EYE LOCK WAS STILL ON
.8	MR. JOHNSON'S DOOR?
.9	A ALMOST A HUNDRED-PERCENT SURE IT WAS BECAUSE WE
0.0	DISCUSSED IT WITH THE LANDLORD ABOUT REMOVING IT.
21	Q SO, HE SAID HE WAS GOING TO REMOVE IT OR YOU
2	WERE
23	A I ASKED HIM IF HE WANTED IT REMOVED. AND HE
24	SAID DON'T WORRY ABOUT IT.
25	Q OKAY. SO, HE DIDN'T IMPLY HE WAS GOING TO REMOVE
26	IT. HE SAID DON'T WORRY ABOUT IT?
27	A RIGHT.
8	Q BUT YOU SPECIFICALLY RECOLLECT ASKING THE

1	LANDLORD THAT QUESTION?
2	A I DON'T KNOW IF IT WAS THOSE EXACT WORDS. BUT
3,	Q OF COURSE NOT. BUT
4	A AND THAT
5	Q THAT ISSUE?
6	A YES.
7	Q AND DO YOU HAVE A PERIOD OF TIME THAT YOU CAN
8	GIVE US AS TO HOW LONG MR. JOHNSON WAS "OUT SEARCHING"?
9	A NO.
LO	MS. SCHMAUSS: YOUR HONOR, I AM GOING TO OBJECT TO
L1	THE CONCLUSION THAT HE WAS OUT SEARCHING.
L2	Q BY MR. ABLARD: DO YOU HAVE ANY I'LL REPHRASE.
L3	DO YOU HAVE A TIME-LENGTH ESTIMATE AS TO HOW LONG
L4	MR. JOHNSON WAS GOING TO THE NEIGHBOR'S HOUSE DURING THAT
L5	SEARCH PERIOD?
L6	A NO, I DON'T KNOW HOW LONG HE WAS OUTSIDE. I WAS
L7	GOING IN AND OUT MYSELF.
L8	MR. ABLARD: OKAY. YOUR HONOR, MAY WE APPROACH?
L9	(SIDE BAR; NOT REPORTED)
20	THE COURT: LADIES AND GENTLEMEN, WE ARE GOING TO
21	TAKE OUR NOON RECESS. DON'T DISCUSS THE CASE. DON'T FORM
22	ANY OPINIONS OR CONCLUSIONS. LEAVE YOUR NOTEBOOKS
23	BEHIND. WEAR YOUR I NOTICE MANY OF YOU ARE NOT WEARING
24	YOUR JUROR BADGES. IS THERE SOME SIGNIFICANCE TO THAT? I
25	WILL INQUIRE OF YOU LATER. HAVE A NICE LUNCH. 1:30,
26	PLEASE.
27	(NOON RECESS)

	693
1	RANCHO CUCAMONGA, CALIFORNIA; THURSDAY, NOVEMBER 2, 1995
2	1:35 P.M.
3	DEPARTMENT 4 HON. ROBERT E. LAW, JUDGE
4	•
5	APPEARANCES: SET FORTH ON THE TITLE PAGE.
6	
7	(GAIL GREENLEE, C-8647, OFFICIAL REPORTER.)
8	<b></b> ###
9	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. THE JURY HAVE RETURNED. ALL
10	RIGHT. WE SHALL CONTINUE.
11	MR. ABLARD: THANK YOU.
12	DARIN RIGGS,
13	HAVING BEEN PREVIOUSLY SWORN, RESUMED THE STAND, WAS
14	EXAMINED AND TESTIFIED AS FOLLOWS:
15	DIRECT EXAMINATION, RESUMED
16	BY MR. ABLARD:
17	Q MR. RIGGS, WAS THERE A POINT THAT YOU WERE, I
18	BELIEVE, OUTSIDE THAT YOU EARLIER SAID THAT MY CLIENT TOLD
19	MS. RETHORN THAT SHE COULDN'T COME IN OR TO GO AWAY FROM
20	HIS ROOM?
21	A I'M SORRY. EXCUSE ME. I DIDN'T CATCH THAT.
22	Q WAS THERE AT ANY TIME THAT YOU WERE OUTSIDE
23	AROUND IN THE YARD THAT YOU HEARD MY CLIENT TELL
24	MS. RETHORN OR YELLED TO HER GO AWAY, YOU CAN'T COME IN,
25	ANYTHING LIKE THAT?
26	A NOT THAT I CAN REMEMBER THAT I HEARD PERSONALLY,
27	NO.
28	Q OKAY. DID MS. RETHORN TELL YOU THAT?

1	A I AM SURE WE DISCUSSED IT AT TIMES, YES.
2	Q DID SHE TELL YOU THAT THAT DAY?
3	A AGAIN, I DON'T REMEMBER THE CONVERSATIONS OF
4	THAT DAY OR OVER THE TWO YEARS THEY HAVE ALL BLENDED
5	TOGETHER.
6	Q OKAY. NOW, DID YOU HAVE AN INSURANCE POLICY ON
7	BRITTANY?
8	A YES, I DID.
9	Q OKAY. AND DID, WHEN DID YOU GET THAT POLICY?
10	A IT WAS AN UNDERWRITER ON MYSELF WHEN I HAD
11	PURCHASED IT, MY TRUCK, AT THE TIME.
12	Q WHAT WAS THAT?
13	A I HAD PURCHASED THE TRUCK PROBABLY LATE '90,
14	MAYBE EARLY '91.
15	Q OKAY. AND THIS WAS A LITTLE LIFE POLICY ON
16	BRITTANY?
17	A YEAH. JUST AN UNDERWRITER.
18	Q FOR HOW MUCH?
19	A A THOUSAND DOLLARS.
20	Q DID YOU EVER TELL JENNIFER ABOUT THAT?
21	A NO. WE HAD, I BECAUSE IT WAS AN UNDERWRITER,
22	FORGOTTEN THAT SHE WAS EVEN COVERED ON IT.
23	Q OKAY. AND AT SOME POINT, YOU TOLD HER ABOUT IT?
24	A I DON'T KNOW IF I TOLD HER PERSONALLY OR IF SHE
25	CAUGHT WIND FROM OTHER PEOPLE. OR I BELIVE I TOLD HER
26	PERSONALLY, THOUGH.
27	Q OKAY. WAS SHE UPSET WITH YOU AT ALL FOR NOT
28	TELLING HER THAT YOU HAD THIS LITTLE POLICY ON BRITTANY?

1 MS. SCHMAUSS: OBJECTION, CALLS FOR SPECULATION. Q BY MR. ABLARD: WELL, WHAT IS HER DEMEANOR--IF I 2 3 MAY REPHRASE. WHAT WAS HER DEMEANOR WHEN YOU TOLD HER ABOUT THAT 5 YOU HAD THIS LITTLE POLICY ON BRITTANY? SHE WASN'T HAPPY THAT I DIDN'T INFORM HER ABOUT 6 Α 7 TT. 8 DID SHE TELL YOU HOW SHE FOUND OUT ABOUT IT? 9 MS. SCHMAUSS: OBJECTION; CALLS FOR HEARSAY. 10 THE COURT: CALLS FOR YES OR NO. YOU MAY ANSWER. I AM TRYING TO THINK. I DON'T REMEMBER HOW. IF 11 Δ 12 SHE HAD INFORMED ME HOW SHE DISCOVERED IT OR NOT. Q BY MR. ABLARD: WHAT COMPANY WAS THAT POLICY 13 14 THROUGH? A J.C. PENNY LIFE. 15 IN '90, '91? 16 0 THAT'S THE TIME I PURCHASED THE TRUCK. I AM NOT 17 SURE WHEN I GOT, I GOT THE INSURANCE AFTER THAT AT SOME 18 POINT. 19 O AT SOME POINT. WHEN AT SOME POINT? 20 I WOULD SPECULATE PROBABLY SIX MONTHS TO A YEAR 21 AFTER THAT. 22 23 0 AND HAVE YOU PUT IN A CLAIM FOR THAT MONEY? 24 A YES, I DID. 25 Q YOU RECEIVE IT? 26  ${f A}$ YES, I DID. HAD THE POLICE EVER ASKED YOU IF YOU HAD ANY 27 0 INSURANCE ON BRITTANY? 28

1	A I DON'T REMEMBER IF THEY DID OR NOT.
2	Q DID ANYONE ELSE EVER ASK YOU IF YOU HAD ANY
3	INSURANCE ON BRITTANY LIKE ANYONE FROM THE FUNERAL HOME?
4	MS. SCHMAUSS: OBJECTION, IRRELEVANT. FUNERAL HOME.
5	THE COURT: RELEVANCE?
6	MR. ABLARD: WELL, WE ARE TALKING ABOUT CREDIBILITY,
7	YOUR HONOR.
8	MS. SCHMAUSS: IT IS IRRELEVANT.
9	THE COURT: SUSTAINED.
10	MR. ABLARD: THANK YOU. I HAVE NOTHING FURTHER AT
11	THIS TIME.
12	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT.
13	CROSS-EXAMINATION
14	BY MS. SCHMAUSS:
15	Q OKAY. I AM A LITTLE CONFUSED. MR. RIGGS, WHEN
16	YOU ARE TALKING ABOUT AN UNDERWRITER ON YOUR TRUCK?
17	A NO. BRITTANY WAS THE UNDERWRITER ON THE
18	INSURANCE. THE TRUCK I HAD PURCHASED AND I HAD REALLY
19	HIGH FINANCING. SO, MY GRANDMOTHER PAID OFF THE LOAN.
20	AND I WAS PAYING HER BACK. BUT BECAUSE SHE DIDN'T WANT TO
21	END UP WITH A TRUCK IF SOMETHING ACCIDENTALLY HAPPENED
22	WITH ME, WE ENDED UP AGREEING THAT I PUT LIFE INSURANCE.
23	BUT BECAUSE I HAD A CHILD, SHE WAS AUTOMATICALLY ON THE
24	INSURANCE.
25	Q SO, THIS IS NOT SOMETHING THAT YOU WENT OUT AND
26	SAID OKAY NOW GOING TO TAKE INSURANCE OUT ON MY BABY
27	DAUGHTER'S LIFE?
ا ہ	A NO THIS WAS SOMETHING THAT YOU GET THE

INSURANCE AND THEY ADDED ON BRITTANY FOR ME. 1 Q OKAY. SO, YOU DON'T HAVE TO PAY ANY PREMIUM 3 FOR BRITTANY? A I DON'T REMEMBER IF I PAID A PREMIUM OR NOT. IF IT WAS, IT WAS WELL UNDER \$20. 6 O OKAY. SO, THE REASON YOU GOT THE INSURANCE 7 WAS-- I AM STILL MISSING THE CONNECTION BETWEEN THE TRUCK AND THE INSURANCE? 9 MY GRANDMOTHER HAD REFINANCED THE LOAN OR SHE HAD LOANED ME THE MONEY FOR THE TRUCK. AND IF SOMETHING 10 WERE TO ACCIDENTALLY HAPPEN TO ME, SHE WANTED TO HAVE THE 11 12 TRUCK PAID OFF THROUGH MY INSURANCE. SHE WAS THE OR SHE WAS THE RECIPIENT OF THE INSURANCE. 13 14 O OKAY. SO, GRANDMA WAS THE BENEFACTOR OF YOUR 1.5 LIFE INSURANCE? 16 A CORRECT. AND YOU GOT SOME SORT OF A -- I DON'T KNOW WHAT 17 0 THE LEGAL TERM IS -- ADD-ON FOR BRITTANY? 18 19 A RIGHT. Q INCLUDED? 20 21 Α CORRECT. MS. SCHMAUSS: I HAVE NOTHING FURTHER, YOUR HONOR. 22 23 MR. ABLARD: I HAVE NOTHING AT THIS TIME. THE COURT: YOU MAY STEP DOWN. NEXT WITNESS, 24 25 PLEASE. MR. ABLARD: YES. IF I MAY CALL JENNIFER RETHORN, 26 27 PLEASE. 28 MS. SCHMAUSS: SHE IS UP IN THE OFFICE. SO WE HAVE

	698
1	TO PHONE.
2	THE COURT: DO YOU REMEMBER YOUR OATH OF THE OTHER
. 3	DAY?
4	THE WITNESS: YES, I DO.
5	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. THANK YOU.
6	MR. ABLARD: THANK YOU VERY MUCH, YOUR HONOR.
7	THE COURT: YOU MAY INQUIRE.
8	
9	JENNIFER RETHORN,
10	HAVING BEEN PREVIOUSLY SWORN, RESUMED THE STAND, WAS
11	EXAMINED AND TESTIFIED AS FOLLOWS:
12	DIRECT EXAMINATION
13	BY MR. ABLARD:
14	Q MA'AM, DID YOU-ALL HAVE AN INSURANCE POLICY ON
15	BRITTANY?
16	A NO.
17	Q DID DARIN?
18	A LATER, I FOUND OUT THAT OFF OF DARIN'S POLICY, I
19	DON'T KNOW ANYTHING REALLY ABOUT IT.
20	Q OKAY. HOW DID YOU FIND OUT THAT THERE WAS
21	INSURANCE ON BRITTANY?
22	A FROM A CONVERSATION I HAD WITH OFFICER DONLEY.
23	Q OKAY. AND WHEN WAS THAT CONVERSATION?
24	A I REALLY IT WAS MONTHS AND MONTHS AFTER.
25	Q OKAY. WHEN DID YOUWHEN WAS IT, IN '94?
26	A YES.
27	Q AROUND SUMMER MAYBE?
28	A I REALLY DON'T KNOW.
	1

1	Q OKAY. WERE YOU UPSET WITH MR. RIGGS FOR NOT
2	INFORMING YOU OF THIS LITTLE POLICY?
3	MS. SCHMAUSS: OBJECTION; IRRELEVANT.
4	MR. ABLARD: WELL, MR. RIGGS TESTIFIED AS TO THAT
5	POINT. AND I THINK IT IS QUITE RELEVANT.
6	THE COURT: WHAT WAS THE QUESTION?
7	MR. ABLARD: PARDON?
8	THE COURT: WHY IS IT RELEVANT?
9	MR. ABLARD: UNDER 780 OF THE EVIDENCE CODE,
10	CREDIBILITY.
11	MS. SCHMAUSS: I DON'T SEE HOW IT GOES TO CREDIBILITY
12	AT ALL.
13	THE COURT: SUSTAINED.
14	Q BY MR. ABLARD: DID YOU ASK MR. RIGGS WHY HE
15	HADN'T TOLD YOU
16	MS. SCHMAUSS: OBJECTION; IRRELEVANT.
17	THE COURT: OVERRULED.
18	A I ASKED HIM WHY HE HAD IT. HE EXPLAINED IT. AND
19	IT IS UNDERSTANDABLE NOW. BECAUSE IT WAS AN UNDERWRITTEN
20	POLICY OF HIS.
21	Q WAS IT UNDERSTANDABLE TO YOU AT THE TIME?
22	MS. SCHMAUSS: OBJECTION; IRRELEVANT.
23	THE COURT: THAT'S ALL RIGHT. YOU CAN ANSWER IT.
24	A I UNDERSTOOD IT AFTER IT WAS EXPLAINED TO ME.
25	Q OKAY. WHEN WAS IT EXPLAINED TO YOU?
26	A SHORTLY AFTER I SPOKE TO OFFICER DONLEY.
27	Q OKAY. SO, OFFICER DONELY TELLS YOU THAT DARIN
28	HAD A POLICY?

		700
1	A	UH-HUH.
2	Q	CORRECT?
3	A	CORRECT.
4	Q	AND THEN YOU APPROACH MR. RIGGS ABOUT THAT;
5	CORRECT?	
6	A	IT WAS AT A COUNSELING SESSION.
7	Q	YOU APPROACHED MR. RIGGS ABOUT THAT; CORRECT?
8	A	YES.
9	Q	OKAY. AND HOW LONG AFTER YOU HAD SPOKEN TO
10	OFFICER DO	ONLEY DID YOU SPEAK TO MR. RIGGS ABOUT THIS?
11	A	WE WERE IN COUNSELING. WE HAD A COUNSELING
12	APPOINTMEN	NT THAT NIGHT.
13	· Q	SO, IT WAS JUST THAT NIGHT?
14	A	YES.
15	Q	OKAY. THAT MONEY HAS BEEN COLLECTED?
16	A	I REALLY DON'T KNOW. IT IS DARIN'S BUSINESS,
17	NOT MINE.	I AM NOT A PART OF THAT.
18	Q	DID YOU EVER TELL ANYBODY THAT YOU WERE SHOCKED
19	AND VERY (	JPSET THAT HE HAD THIS POLICY AND YOU DIDN'T TELL
20	YOU ABOUT	IT?
21	A	AT FIRST, YES.
22	Q	AND WHEN YOU SAY AT FIRST, WAS THIS BEFORE OR
23	AFTER YOU	J APPROACHED MR. RIGGS ABOUT IT THE FIRST TIME?
24	A	I WAS UPSET WHEN I HEARD THE PHONE CALL. AND
25	PEOPLE THA	AT WERE AROUND ME WHEN I GOT THE CALL, I GOT THE
26	CALL AT WO	DRK.
27	Q	BUT THEN LATER THAT NIGHT, IT WAS EXPLAINED?
ച	7\	VEC

			, , ,
1		Q	AND
2		A	PART OF IT, YES.
3		Q	OKAY. AND YOU WERE FINE AFTER THAT?
4		A	WE HAD MORE THAN ONE CONVERSATION ABOUT IT AT
5	COUN	SELIN	J.
6		Q	YOU MEAN DIFFERENT SESSIONS OR JUST THAT NIGHT?
7		A	DIFFERENT SESSIONS.
8		Q	OKAY. SO, YOU HAD MENTIONED IT A LITTLE EARLIEF
9	THAT	ONCE	IT WAS EXPLAINED TO YOU BY MR. RIGGS, THAT YOU
10	WERE	FINE	WITH IT; IS THAT CORRECT?
11		A	YES.
12		Q	AND THE SUBJECT CAME UP ON DIFFERENT SESSIONS
13	THROU	JGH C	DUNSELING?
14		A	A FEW YES.
15		Q	HOW MANY?
16		A .	A FEW, I DON'T I MEAN, WE WERE GOING OFTEN.
17,	AW I	GOI1	NG BY MYSELF. WE WERE MEETING TOGETHER. HE WAS
18	GOING	BY F	HIMSELF. I CAN'T TELL YOU WHAT DAYS WE WENT
19	TOGE	THER A	AND WHAT DAYS WE DIDN'T.
20		Q	EXACTLY. BUT IT WAS A SOURCE OF CONVERSATION?
21		A	YES.
22			IT WAS A TOPIC WAS AN ISSUE TO BE DISCUSSED AT
23	THE (	COUNSI	ELING?
24		A	SOMETIMES, YES.
25		Q	OKAY. NOW, THE MORNING OF THE 10TH, JUST TO
26	RETR <i>I</i>	ACT, V	WE HAD TALKED ABOUT THE FACT THAT YOUR FIRST
27	CONVE	ERSAT	ION I THINK WITH OFFICER BALES WAS THAT THE DOOR,
28	THE I	FRONT	DOOR, WAS LOCKED, THE GARAGE DOOR WAS UNLOCKED,

1	AND THE SLIDER WAS UNLOCKED. DO YOU RECALL THAT?
2	A I HARDLY RECALL EVEN SPEAKING TO OFFICER BALES
3	THIS MORNING.
4	Q OKAY. DO YOU RECALL THAT MORNING LATER ON
5 -	TELLING SOMEONE OR IN AND INTERVIEW AT SOME POINT THAT
6	WHEN YOU FIRST GOT UP, ALL THE DOORS WERE LOCKED?
7	A I REMEMBER TALKING ABOUT THE DOORS BEING LOCKED
8	AND WHAT WERE LOCKED AND WHAT WAS NOT LOCKED.
9	Q OKAY. BUT DID YOU ACTUALLY TELL THEM THAT ALL
10	THE DOORS WERE LOCKED ON THE INSIDE?
11	A I CAN'T RECALL. I WAS DISTRAUGHT. I DON'T KNOW
12	WHAT HAPPENED AT THAT TIME.
13	Q OKAY. YOU TESTIFIED THE OTHER DAY THAT
14	MR. JOHNSON WAS OUTSIDE ONCE FOR A BRIEF PERIOD WHILE YOU
15	WERE OUTSIDE, AND HE WAS OUTSIDE LESS THAN A MINUTE;
16	CORRECT?
17	A CORRECT.
18	Q OKAY. AND WAS THAT YOUR ORIGINAL STATEMENT TO
19	POLICE, DO YOU KNOW?
20	A WHICH, IN I TALKED TO THE POLICE MORE THAN ONCE.
21	SO, I DON'T KNOW WHICH TIME YOU ARE TALKING ABOUT.
22	Q YOU ARE RIGHT.
23	A I WHEN I SPOKE TO THEM.
24	Q HOW ABOUT DURING YOUR INTERVIEW?
25	A ON THE 12TH?
26	MS. SCHMAUSS: VAGUE, WHICH ONE.
27	Q BY MR. ABLARD: YES.
28	MS. SCHMAUSS: WITHDRAWN.

1	A I REMEMBER BITS AND PIECES OF TALKING ABOUT HIM
2	GOING OUTSIDE. CONTENT IS REALLY VAGUE. I CAN'T
3	REMEMBER.
4	Q OKAY. DID YOU EVER TELL AN OFFICER THAT HE
5	NEVER WENT OUTSIDE?
6	A I DON'T REMEMBER.
7	MR. ABLARD: MAY WE APPROACH FOR A SECOND, YOUR
8	HONOR?
9	(SIDE BAR; NOT REPORTED.)
10	MR. ABLARD: WE WILL JUST WAIT FOR OFFICER BALES,
11	JUDGE.
12	THE COURT: WELL, OKAY. DO YOU HAVE ANY FURTHER
13	INQUIRY?
14	MR. ABLARD: NOT RIGHT THIS MINUTE, NO, I DON'T.
15	MS. SCHMAUSS: I HAVE NO CROSS RIGHT THIS MINUTE
16	EITHER.
17	THE COURT: SHE CAN REMAIN. NOT REMAIN?
18	MR. ABLARD: YES, OH, SHE CAN REMAIN.
19	THE COURT: THANK YOU. WAS THERE SOMEONE THAT WE
20	PLAN FOR THIS AFTERNOON ON CALL?
21	MR. ABLARD: JUST BALES AND KELLY. JUST GO BALES
22	THIS AFTERNOON, YOUR HONOR.
23	THE COURT: OKAY. IN ROUTE MEANS WHAT IN MINUTES,
24	BEST JUDGMENT?
25	INVESTIGATOR DONLEY: PROBABLY FIFTEEN OR TWENTY
26	MINUTES PROVIDING HE IS NOT ON A CALL RIGHT NOW. SHE SAID
27	THAT HE COULD BE FREED UP AND SENT HERE ON A CALL; THAT
28	HE'D BE RELEASED. HE WILL BE HERE IN ABOUT FIFTEEN

1	MINUTES TO TWENTY MINUTES.
2	THE COURT: THAT WILL BE THE LAST WITNESS FOR THE
3,	DAY.
4	MR. ABLARD: RIGHT FOR TODAY, JUDGE.
5	THE COURT: THEN ON TUESDAY, YOU WILL HAVE SOME
6	MORE WITNESSES THAT ARE
7	MR. ABLARD: WE WILL HAVE MORE TUESDAY. AND WE WILL
8	BE DONE WITH EVIDENCE TAKING ON TUESDAY FROM OUR SIDE,
9	JUDGE.
10	THE COURT: THANK YOU. I WILL LET YOU FOLKS MEANDER
11	ABOUT WHILE WE WAIT FOR THE NEXT WITNESS WHO IS ON CALL
12	AND HAS BEEN CALLED AND IS IN ROUTE. HE IS NOT GOING TO
13	COME WITH SIRENS OR ANYTHING; IS HE?
14	INVESTIGATOR DONLEY: I HOPE NOT.
15	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. DON'T TALK ABOUT THE CASE.
16	DON'T FORM OPINIONS OR CONCLUSIONS.
17	WE WILL BE RESUMING FOR TODAY FAIRLY SHORTLY.
18	(RECESS)
19	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. THE JURY HAS RETURNED.
20	MR. ABLARD: THANK YOU. I CALL OFFICER BALES.
21	THE COURT: OFFICER, PLEASE HAVE A SEAT. I REMIND
22	YOU YOU ARE STILL UNDER OATH FROM THE OTHER DAY.
23	
24	
25	BRADLEY JOE BALES,
26	HAVING BEEN PREVIOUSLY SWORN, RESUMED THE STAND, WAS
27	EXAMINED AND TESTIFIED AS FOLLOWS:
28	DIRECT EXAMINATION

	/05
1	BY MR. ABLARD:
2	Q MR. BALES, THANK YOU VERY MUCH FOR COMING. JUST
3 ,	A FEW SHORT QUESTIONS. HOW LONG HAVE YOU BEEN A PEACE
4	OFFICER?
5	A ACTUALLY I STARTED AS RESERVE OFFICER IN 1975 TO
6	1979. FULL-TIME POLICE OFFICER SINCE 1979.
7	Q AND OFFICER BALES, I GUESS JUST AN ESTIMATE OF
8	HUNDREDS, HOW MANY REPORTS HAVE YOU TAKEN SINCE YOU
9	STARTED WORKING IN LAW ENFORCEMENT?
10	A LITERALLY HUNDREDS.
11	Q AND DO YOU OFTEN HAVE TO TAKE REPORTS IN
12	SITUATIONS WHERE PEOPLE ARE VERY AGITATED AND UPSET?
13	A THAT IS CORRECT.
14	Q AND WHAT IS YOUR PROCESS FOR DOING A REPORT
15	INTERVIEW AND THEN DO NOTE-TAKING, WOULD YOU JUST EXPLAIN
16	THAT PROCESS TO US?
17	A SOMETIMES THERE'S NOTE-TAKING AND SOMETIMES
18	THERE'S NOT. IT IS ACCORDING TO WHAT THE CRIME WOULD BE.
19	AS A MATTER OF FACT, I WAS ASSIGNED TO THE MONTCLAIR PLAZA
20	FOR APPROXIMATELY THIRTEEN YEARS. I GOT SO ADEPT AT
21	WRITING REPORTS THAT INVOLVED IN THEFT, I REALLY DON'T USE
22	NOTES.
23	Q OKAY. DID YOU USE NOTES IN THIS CASE?
24	A NO, I DID NOT.
25	Q OKAY. NOW, WHEN YOU WERE CALLED TO THE LOCATION
26	AND IN THE ORIGINAL REPORT, YOU SPOKE WITH MR. RIGGS;
27	$C \cap D \cap C \cap C$

28 Α YES.

		700
1	Q Z	AND HOW DID THAT WORK? DID YOU ASK QUESTIONS
2	AND ANSWER	S OR DID YOU ASK FOR A NARRATIVE?
3	A 1	BE BASICALLY A NARRATIVE.
4	Q (	OKAY. AND HE TELLS YOU THE NARRATIVE; CORRECT?
5	A	THAT'S CORRECT.
6	Q (	OKAY. AND THEN WHAT HAPPENED AS FAR AS YOUR
7	REPORT-WRI	TING PROCESS?
8	. A 2	AS SOON AS I HAVE A CHANCE AND I DO A BASIC
9	TAPED REPOR	RT.
10	Q	OKAY. AND HOW LONG AFTER THIS INTERVIEW WITH
11	MR. RIGGS I	OID YOU DO A TAPED REPORT?
12	A	I'D SAY APPROXIMATELY FOUR HOURS.
13	Q (	OKAY. NOW, THIS IS THE REPORT THAT IS SENT, I
14	TAKE IT, TO	THE SERGEANT FOR REVIEW?
15	A	CORRECT.
16	Q	CORRECT?
17	Α	THAT IS CORRECT.
18	Q 2	AND THEN ON TO THE LEAD INVESTIGATOR WHICH IN
19	THIS CASE (	OFFICER DONLEY FOR A FURTHER ACTION; CORRECT?
20	A (	CORRECT.
21	Q	OKAY. AND IS THERE DO YOU BELIEVE THAT THIS
22	ORIGINAL RE	EPORT THAT YOU WROTE IS AN ACCURATE REPORT AS TO
23	WHAT THE PI	COPLE TOLD YOU AT THAT TIME?
24	A .	MES.
25	Q (	OKAY. CAN YOU TELL WITHOUT TAKING NOTES HOW DO
26	YOU KNOW TH	HAT YOU DIDN'T MAKE A MISTAKE?
27	A 5	THAT I DIDN'T MAKE A MISTAKE?
28	Q F	RIGHT. IN OTHER WORDS, THEY TELL YOU SOMETHING

1	AND THAT YOU DON'T GET IT DOWN WRONG FOR THE FINAL REPORT?
2	A WELL, AS FAR AS MAKING MISTAKES, I GUESS WE ALL
3	WILL MAKE MISTAKES. AS FAR AS WHAT THEY TELL ME, I DOUBT
4	THAT I GOT ANYTHING INCORRECT.
5	Q WHY?
6	A I AM A GOOD COP.
7	MR. ABLARD: I HAVE NO FURTHER QUESTIONS.
8	THE COURT: DID YOU WANT TO TOUCH THAT ONE?
9	CROSS-EXAMINATION
10	BY MS. SCHMAUSS:
11	Q WITHOUT DOUBTING THAT YOU ARE A GOOD COP, GOING
12	BACK TO THE MORNING HOURS OF OCTOBER 10, 1993, WOULD YOU
13	AGREE THAT THE SITUATION YOU WALKED INTO WAS FAIRLY
14	CHAOTIC?
15	A MORE EMOTIONAL THAN CHAOTIC.
16	Q OKAY. WAS THERE CRYING, YELLING?
17	A YES.
18	Q AND HOW LONG DO YOU THINK YOU WERE AT THE HOUSE
19	AT EXETER TOTAL BEFORE YOU WERE FREED TO REFLECT UPON WHAT
20	EVERYONE SAID? IS THAT THE FOUR HOURS YOU WERE TALKING
21	ABOUT?
22	A WELL, I HAD COME AND GONE ONCE, YES.
23	Q OKAY. YOU HAD COME AND GONE MEANING YOU WENT TO
24	DOCTOR'S?
25	A YES, TO THE HOSPITAL.
26	Q SO, YOU ARRIVED AT 9393 EXETER SHORTLY AFTER
27	THE DISPATCH AT 9:12; CORRECT YOU WERE THE SECOND
28	OFFICER THERE?

	706
1	A YES.
2	Q SO, YOU GOT THERE SHORTLY BEFORE 9:30?
3	A YES.
4	Q OKAY. AND THEN YOU WENT TO DOCTOR'S AND CAME
5	BACK AND CAME BACK TO THE HOUSE; CORRECT?
6	A YES.
7	Q SO, ABOUT WHAT TIME WAS IT THAT YOU WERE FINALLY
8	ABLE TO SIT IN YOUR CAR AND DICTATE YOUR REPORT?
9	A TWO OR THREE IN THE AFTERNOON, SOMEWHERE IN THAT
10	TIME FRAME.
11	Q OKAY. SO, THAT'S WHEN YOU WERE GUESSTIMATING
12	FOUR HOURS?
13	A YES, IT COULD HAVE BEEN A LITTLE BIT LONGER THAN
14	THAT.
15	Q AND BY A TAPE REPORT, THIS IS YOUR TAPE
16	RECORDING. YOU ARE SAYING AND THEN I SPOKE TO RIGGS AND
17	HE TOLD ME THE FOLLOWING. IT IS NOT A TAPE OF PEOPLE?
18	A NO. IT IS NOT OF PEOPLE, NO.
19	Q DID NOT TAPE-RECORD THESE PEOPLE'S STATEMENTS?
20	A NEVER.
21	Q AND YOU WERE NOT DISCOUNTING THE POSSIBILITY
22	THAT PERHAPS INADVERTENTLY YOU MAY HAVE GOTTEN SOMETHING
23	OUT OF SEQUENCE, SOMETHING OUT OF ORDER?
24	A NO. THAT COULD HAVE HAPPENED.
25	Q AND THE PEOPLE YOU TALK TO, THEY ARE NOT ALLOWED
26	TO PROOFREAD YOUR REPORTS; ARE THEY?
27	A THE PEOPLE THAT I TALK TO?
28	Q CORRECT, THE WITNESSES ARE NOT ALLOWED TO REVIEW

	109
1	THEIR STATEMENTS?
2	A NO.
3	Q YOU DON'T HAVE ANY SORT OF PROCESS WHERE
4	SOMEBODY READS THEIR STATEMENT, MAKES CORRECTIONS, SIGNS
5	TT?
6	A NO.
7	Q THAT DOESN'T HAPPEN?
8	A NO.
9	Q SO, WHEN THE SERGEANT REVIEWS IT, HE DOESN'T
10	KNOW WHAT DARIN RIGGS MIGHT HAVE TOLD YOU; IS THAT
11	CORRECT?
12	A THAT IS CORRECT.
13	Q HE JUST LOOKING IT OVER TO MAKE SURE YOU FILLED
14	IN ALL THE BLANKS?
15	A BASICALLY, YES.
16	MS. SCHMAUSS: THANK YOU. I HAVE NOTHING FURTHER.
17	MR. ABLARD: JUST BRIEFLY.
18	REDIRECT EXAMINATION
19	BY MR. ABLARD:
20	Q AS I TELL YOU THE SEQUENCE OF EVENTS, A
21	PARTICULAR PERSON AND WHAT THEY ARE DOING, DID YOU FIND
22	THAT TO BE IMPORTANT ENOUGH TO MAKE SURE THAT YOU MADE A
23	NOTE, MENTAL NOTE, OF IT SO YOU GET IT DOWN PROPERLY?
24	A YES.
25	MR. ABLARD: I HAVE NO FURTHER QUESTIONS.
26	THE COURT: AT THE TIME YOU WROTE YOUR REPORT, DID
27	YOU KNOW THE LITTLE GIRL WAS DEAD?
28	THE WITNESS: YES.

THE COURT: DID YOU KNOW THAT IT MIGHT BE A HOMICIDE? 1 2 THE WITNESS: A SUSPICIOUS DEATH, YES, SIR. THE COURT: A SUSPICIOUS DEATH, AT LEAST. 3 THE WITNESS: YES. THE COURT: THANK YOU. YOU CAN STEP DOWN. 5 MS. SCHMAUSS: YOUR HONOR, WAIT. I MIGHT HAVE ONE 6 7 MORE IMPORTANT THING. THE COURT: ALL RIGHT YOU MAY. RECROSS-EXAMINATION 10 BY MS. SCHMAUSS: OFFICER BALES, YOU WERE PRESENT DURING THE 11 12 TAPE-RECORDED INTERVIEW OF THE DEFENDANT AT THE POLICE STATION ON THE 10TH? 13 14 A YES. 15 Q AND DIDN'T THAT INTERVIEW GET OVER AT 1607 HOURS, IF YOU RECALL? 16 A THAT SOUNDS GOOD. I AM NOT SURE WHAT TIME IT 17 18 GOT OVER. 19 WELL, WERE YOU ABLE TO TAPE YOUR REPORT INITIAL 20 REPORT BEFORE THAT? 21 I PROBABLY HAD STARTED ON IT, YES. A 22 Q BUT YOU DIDN'T COMPLETE IT? A IT WAS SOMETIME IN THE LATE AFTERNOON. 23 SO, IT COULD HAVE BEEN EVEN MORE THAN FOUR 24 0 HOURS? 25 26 Α YES. Q HARD TO REMEMBER AFTER TWO YEARS? 27 28 Α YES.

MS. SCHMAUSS: THANK YOU. NOTHING FURTHER. 1 2 MR. ABLARD: I HAVE NOTHING. 3 THE COURT: THANK YOU. MR. ABLARD: THANK YOU. WE ARE DONE FOR THE DAY FROM MY PRESENTING OF WITNESSES. 5 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. AND WE ARE NEXT GOING TO 6 7 RETURN ON TUESDAY. LADIES AND GENTLEMEN, YOU HAVE A FOUR-DAY WEEKEND FROM ME. WE WILL RECONVENE FOR SOME MORE 8 TESTIMONY ON TUESDAY NEXT. 9 10 MR. ABLARD: YES. THE COURT: THAT IS BECAUSE I HAVE A PRESET VERY 11 12 COMPLICATED AND DIFFICULT HEARING THAT WILL GO ALL DAY LONG. AND I HAVE WITNESSES COMING FROM ALL OVER THE PLACE 13 14 AND ALL PROFESSIONALS IN A CRIMINAL HEARING PROCEEDING ON 15 MONDAY. AND I JUST HAVE TO ATTEND TO IT. I GOT THEM AT GREAT COSTS TO EVERYBODY FOR THESE PROFESSIONALS TO BE 16 17 HERE. AND SO, WE WILL BE BACK ON TUESDAY AT 9:30. PLEASE REMEMBER DO NOT DISCUSS THE CASE. DO NOT FORM 18 19 OPINIONS OR CONCLUSIONS. I SUSPECT THAT YOU WILL GET THIS 20 CASE WEDNESDAY. SO YOU WILL HAVE WEDNESDAY AND THURSDAY 21 NEXT WEEK. HAVE A NICE FOUR-DAY WEEKEND. 22 (THE JURY EXITED THE COURTROOM, AND THE FOLLOWING PROCEEDINGS WERE HELD:) 23 24 THE COURT: ANYTHING FURTHER WE CAN DO ON THIS CASE? MR. ABLARD: YES, YOUR HONOR. I WANT TO DISCUSS WITH 25 THE COURT THE PROBLEM THAT I ALERTED THE COURT TO A LITTLE 26 27 EARLIER ABOUT NOT GETTING DISCOVERY.

THE COURT: WELL, ALL RIGHT. THE JURORS HAVE

DEPARTED. THE COURT REMAINS IN SESSION.

1

2

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

MR. ABLARD: WE HAVE THIS ISSUE. AND THIS POLICY THAT MR. RIGGS HAD AND DID NOT TELL MRS. RETHORN. THERE IS TESTIMONY THAT THE POLICE TALKED TO MS. RETHORN ABOUT THAT. AND WE DON'T KNOW IF WE HAVE TALKED TO MR. RIGGS ABOUT THAT IN TESTIMONY OR ANYTHING. WE DON'T HAVE ANY REPORT ON THAT. IF THE INSURANCE IN A CASE LIKE THIS PARTICULARLY IF ONE PERSON HAD IT ALBEIT THE AMOUNT, THEY DON'T LET US KNOW ABOUT IT, THEN THAT'S A PROBLEM. SO, IT IS A DUE-PROCESS PROBLEM. IT IS A SIGNIFICANT AMOUNT PROBLEM. THAT IS WHAT HAPPENED HERE. IN A CASE SIMILAR TO THIS, SUCH AS THIS, WHEN THERE IS APPARENTLY NO MOTIVE, IT APPEARS THAT THAT SORT OF INFORMATION REALLY COULD GO TO THE HEART OF A DEFENSE IF PROPERLY HAD IN ONE HAD TIME TO EXPLORE IT. AND THE WAY THAT WE GET THAT IS THROUGH DISCOVERY WHEN ALL THESE THINGS ARE ASKED. THAT WASN'T GIVEN. AND ON THAT GROUNDS, I WOULD REQUEST ONE, A DISMISSAL.

IF THAT IS NOT GRANTED, CERTAIN DISCOVERY ORDERS BE
ISSUED SO WE HAVE AN OPPORTUNITY TO FOLLOW UP ON THE
TESTIMONY. AND WHATEVER THE COURT MAY DEEM APPROPRIATE.

THE COURT: WELL, FIRST ORDER IS DISTRICT ATTORNEY IS ORDERED TO SIT DOWN WITH INVESTIGATING OFFICER AND COMB THROUGH THE MULTITUDE OF REPORTS OR INFORMATION AVAILABLE AND FIND IF THERE ARE ANY REPORTS THAT COULD BE DELIVERED THAT WERE NOT, AND RESPOND TO THAT INQUIRY. AND IF THERE ARE ANY, DELIVER THEM BETWEEN NOW AND 5:00 THIS AFTERNOON.

MS. SCHMAUSS: REGARDING THE LIFE INSURANCE?

1 THE COURT: REGARDING ANY INTERVIEWS OF ABOUT LIFE INSURANCE OR ANY OTHER SUBJECT MATTER THAT WHEREIN MIGHT BE REPORTS OF THAT HAVE NOT BEEN DELIVERED. AND IF THERE AREN'T ANY, THERE AREN'T ANY. SO, WELL, DEAL WITH THAT FIRST. FIRST WE WILL LOOK TO SEE IF THERE ARE. WHAT 5 RESPONSE WE GET, THEN I WILL DEAL WITH THE OTHER PROBLEMS 6 7 LATER. PLEASE DON'T COUNT UPON A DISMISSAL AS A CONSEQUENCE, HOWEVER. 8 9 MS. SCHMAUSS: MAY I STATE FOR THE RECORD THAT TODAY 10 IS THE FIRST TIME I HAVE EVER HEARD ABOUT ANY LIFE 11 INSURANCE. I WAS TOTALLY BROADSIDE. 12 THE COURT: I DIDN'T ASK THAT. I ASKED YOU TO GO 13 THROUGH WITH OFFICER DONLEY AND COMB THROUGH THE REPORTS IN HAND, HISTORY, INTERVIEWS, AND EVERYTHING THAT WHETHER 14 YOUR OFFICE SHOULD HAVE BEEN INFORMED OF THE INTERVIEWS OF 15 THE WITNESSES IN THE CASE AND THE REPORTS THEREOF AND 16 DIDN'T GET THEM. I WANT TO KNOW IF THERE ARE ANY. 17 18 INVESTIGATOR DONLEY: NO, YOUR HONOR. THERE IS 19 NOTHING WRITTEN. THE COURT: WELL, THERE WE ARE. I WILL DEAL WITH THE 20 21 NEXT STEP LATER. 22 MR. ABLARD: THANK YOU. THE NEXT THING IS YOUR HONOR, I HAVE BEEN INFORMED BY A COUPLE OF PEOPLE THAT 23 24 SOME OF THE AUDIENCE HAVE BEEN TALKING WITH JURORS DURING BREAKS AND AT LUNCH HOUR. I DON'T KNOW ABOUT WHAT. COULD 25

THE COURT: DO YOU KNOW WHO MIGHT HAVE TALKED TO ANY OF OUR JURORS?

26

27

28

BE JUST CASUAL.

MR. ABLARD: WELL, I HAVE TO FIND OUT WHO. ARE YOU--1 2 I DIDN'T OBSERVE IT. SOMEBODY ELSE OBSERVED IT AND TOLD 3 ME ABOUT IT. SO, WHO OBSERVED IT. THE COURT: WHO WAS? SPECTATOR WIDNER: I WAS AT A SEPARATE TABLE. THE COURT: WHO ARE YOU, MA'AM? 6 7 SPECTATOR WIDNER: MY NAME IS LINDA WIDNER. AND I ASKED THEM IF THEY KNEW HOW TO GET TO TOYS-R-US. THAT WAS 9 IN CHINO HILLS. THE COURT: WELL, ARE YOU RELATED TO ANYONE IN THIS 10 CASE? 11 12 SPECTATOR WIDNER: I AM NOT RELATED. THE COURT: ARE YOU JUST A SPECTATOR? 13 14 SPECTATOR WIDNER: YES. 15 THE COURT: AND YOU HAD A SMALL CONVERSATION WITH A JUROR ABOUT SOMETHING OTHER THAN THE CASE? 16 17 SPECTATOR WIDNER: THAT WAS MY ONLY CONVERSATION. 18 TOYS-R-US. THE COURT: WHICH JUROR WAS IT? 19 20 SPECTATOR WIDNER: SHE WAS AT ANOTHER TABLE TODAY AT 21 LUNCH TIME. THE COURT: DO YOU KNOW WHICH JUROR? 22 SPECTATOR WIDNER: THE TWO BLACK LADIES WERE SITTING 23 24 AT THE NEXT TABLE OVER OUT ON THE PATIO. 25 THE COURT: THANK YOU. ANYTHING ELSE? MR. ABLARD: NO. I WOULD REQUEST THAT WE CONSIDER 26 27 SPEAKING WITH THE JURORS TO SEE IF THAT'S THE ONLY TIE 28 THAT SOMEONE HAS SPOKEN WITH THEM AND --

1	THE COURT: WELL, NO. I AM NOT GOING TO TALK TO
. 2	JURORS ABOUT WHOM THEY TALK TO AS LONG AS THEY ARE NOT
3,	PARTIES OR WITNESSES OR OBVIOUS RELATIVES. JURORS CAN
4	TALK TO A LOT OF PEOPLE DURING THE DAY ABOUT A LOT OF
5	THINGS. ALL I HAVE IS THE PARTY WITH WHO WAS SEEN TALKING
6	TO THEM IS ONLY A SPECTATOR.
7	MR. ABLARD: WELL, SOME PEOPLE ARE JUST HERE AS
8	SPECTATORS. AND OTHERS ARE MAYBE NOT RELATED BUT
9	INVOLVED WITH ONE FAMILY OR ANOTHER.
10	THE COURT: WELL, YOU NEED TO PRESENT THEM WITH A
11	GREAT DEAL MORE THAN I JUST HEARD FOR ME TO BEGIN
12	DISCUSSING THE JURORS' ACTIVITIES.
13	MR. ABLARD: FINE.
14	THE COURT: UNTIL I HEAR SOMETHING THAT HAS A SMELL
15	IF IMPROPRIETY, I DON'T LIKE TO TALK TO THEM ABOUT
16	ANYTHING. THEY HAVE GOT ENOUGH PROBLEMS ON THEIR MINDS ON
17	THIS CASE.
18	MR. ABLARD: I APPRECIATE IT. THANK YOU.
19	THE COURT: OKAY. WHAT ELSE?
20	MR. ABLARD: I GUESS THAT IS ALL OTHER THAN FROM
21	THIS SIDE OTHER THAN TUESDAY.
22	THE COURT: WELL, I AM NOT DONE YET. DO YOU HAVE
23	ANYTHING ELSE?
24	MS. SCHMAUSS: THE ONLY THING WE DO HAVE TIME IF WE
25	COULD GET INTO THE 402-352 ISSUES. WE MIGHT SAVE SOME
26	TIME ON TUESDAY. BECAUSE I KNOW EXACTLY WHAT FERRERA AND
27	CROCKER'S STATEMENTS ARE BECAUSE WE PROVIDED THEM TO THE

DEFENSE.

THE COURT: YOU THINK. 1 MS. SCHMAUSS: I KNOW. 2 THE COURT: THEY MAY HAVE CHANGED. 3 MS. SCHMAUSS: NOT THAT I KNOW OF. THE COURT: WELL, I AM NOT GOING TO HAVE A 402 5 HEARING ABOUT WHAT SOMEONE, WHAT YOU BELIEVE SOMEONE MAY 6 7 SAY UNLESS THAT PERSON IS HERE TO CONFIRM THEY ARE OR NOT GOING TO SAY IT. OTHERWISE, I AM JUST LISTENING TO HOT AIR. IT IS ALL HOT AIR. IT IS ALL HOT AIR, ALL HEARSAY. 9 10 WHAT YOU BELIEVE SOME ONE MAY SAY MAY THEY REPORT WHAT THEY SAY. 11 MS. SCHMAUSS: IT'S ON TAPE. HOW WILL WE HANDLE IT 12 13 ON TUESDAY? YOU WANT ME TO OBJECT AT FIRST QUESTION. THE COURT: GOSH, JUST LIKE ANY LAWYERS DO. 14 MS. SCHMAUSS: YOU DID SAY THAT WE COULD DO A HEARING 15 BECAUSE WE HAVE THE STATEMENT. 16 17 THE COURT: I SAID THAT I WOULD CONSIDER HEARING IF IT SEEMED APPROPRIATE AT THE TIME. I HAVE NOTHING ELSE 18 FOR YOU TO DO OVER THE WEEKEND. THAT IS ON MONDAY 19 MORNING. MR. ABLARD, YOU ARE IN THE HOUSE ARE YOU NOT? 20 21 MR. ABLARD: YES. 22 THE COURT: AND YOU ARE TOO; ARE YOU NOT? MS. SCHMAUSS: YES. 23 THE COURT: THE JURORS WILL NOT BE HERE, BUT YOU WILL 24 25 BOTH BE HERE AT 9:00. 26 MR. ABLARD: YES. 27 THE COURT: YOU WILL HAVE A REALLY NICE TAILOR SET OF 28 INSTRUCTIONS ALL PREPARED. FIRST, SECOND, VOLUNTARY WITH

ALL THE INTENTS AND ALL OF THE DELINEATIONS OF HOW THE 1 JURORS ARE SUPPOSED TO WEED THROUGH THAT. YOU WILL HAVE BY THEN NO DOUBT DISCUSSED IT WITH MR. ABLARD WHAT 3 OBJECTIONS HE MAY HAVE. MR. ABLARD: FINE. THE COURT: AND THEN YOU WILL PRESENT THE FINAL 7 PRODUCT TO ME WITH THOSE AREAS THAT THERE MAY BE SOME 8 OBJECTIONS. MS. SCHMAUSS: HOWEVER, THE INVOLUNTARY WILL NOT BE A 10 PEOPLES' REQUEST. 11 THE COURT: I DIDN'T--MS. SCHMAUSS: CORRECT. BUT I HAVE TO FILL IN THE 12 BLANK. WHAT IS THE MISDEMEANOR CONDUCT? 13 14 THE COURT: FIGURE IT OUT. MS. SCHMAUSS: WELL, I AM NOT GOING TO BECAUSE IT IS 15 NOT MY INSTRUCTION. 16 THE COURT: THAT' S WHY YOU ARE MEETING WITH 17 18 MR. ABLARD. HE HAS THE POSSIBILITY OF FILLING IN THE 19 BLANKS. I WANT YOU PREPARED. 20 MR. ABLARD: THAT'S WHY WE ARE GOING TO MEET HERE. WE ARE GOING TO TALK ABOUT IT. GET IT DOWN. THAT'S WHY 21 22 WE ARE GOING TO DO THAT. THE COURT: AND IT MIGHT BE HELPFUL IF YOU HAVE THE 23 TAPE RECORDER AND THE TAPE FOR US TUESDAY MORNING JUST AS 24 25 A HINT, NOT THAT I WANT TO LISTEN TO THEM BECAUSE YOU ARE IF YOU ASK ME WHAT I WANT TO DO YOU ALWAYS GET THE SAME 26 27 ANSWER.

MS. SCHMAUSS: MR. ABLARD COULD REST.

1 MR. ABLARD: I AM RESTING. 2 MS. SCHMAUSS: I MEAN NOT LEGALLY RESTING. I AM 3 RESTING. WE CAN ARGUE AND INSTRUCT WENDESDAY. THE COURT: WE WILL. 5 MS. SCHMAUSS: UNLESS I HAVE REBUTTAL. THE COURT: WON'T BE ANY REBUTTAL. 6 7 MS. SCHMAUSS: I GUESS NOT. THE COURT: IF YOU CONCLUDE TUESDAY MORNING, BE 9 PREPARED TO ARGUE TUESDAY AFTERNOON. 10 MR. ABLARD: WE WON'T BE DONE TUESDAY MORNING. THE COURT: WELL, IF WE CONCLUDE EARLY IN THE 11 12 AFTERNOON, BE PREPARED TO COMMENCE ARGUMENT. 13 MR. ABLARD: I HAVE GOT TO GET SOME WITNESSES. 14 THE COURT: WE ARE GOING TO HAVE THE INSTRUCTIONS 15 FINALLY IN ORDER BEFORE THE NEXT WEEK. 16 MR. ABLARD: RIGHT. 17 THE COURT: ALL THERE ARE NEW NUMBERS OF WITNESSES THAT HAVE MUCH TO SAY ABOUT ANYTHING IN THIS CASE OR WHOSE 18 TESTIMONY IS WORTH A DISCUSSION TO THE JURY. AND IT IS 19 NOT A COMPLICATED SERIES OF EVENTS OVER A GREAT DEAL OF 20 21 TIME. IT IS WHAT IT IS. IT HASN'T CHANGED SINCE YOU WALKED IN THE DOOR. AND SO, THE CONCEPT OF HOW TURNING TO 22 23 THE JURY QUICKLY AND SAYING YOU KNOW HERE IS WHAT HAPPENED WHO DID WHAT TO WHOM. GOOD LUCK I DON'T THINK YOU HAVE 24 TO BE TOO PREPARED AT GREAT LENGTH. AND THEREFORE, YOU 25 DON'T GET TO. 26 27 MR. ABLARD: THAT'S A NORMAL REGULAR PROPOSITION. I

ALWAYS HAVE TO YOU KNOW YOU HAVE TO PREPARE. I MEAN, I

719 GET TONGUE TIED. 1 2 THE COURT; TODAY IS THURSDAY. DO YOU THINK THAT THE 3 NEXT WITNESS OR TWO IS GOING TO DRASTICALLY CHANGE THE BRITTANY DIED ON OR ABOUT OCTOBER 10, 1993 AND THAT THERE ARE FOUR PEOPLE AT HOME AT OR ABOUT THE TIME SHE DIED, AND 5 THAT AIN'T GONNA CHANGE; IS IT? 6 MR. ABLARD: DOESN'T APPEAR SO. THE COURT: DOESN'T APPEAR SO. SO, GREAT BULK OF 9 WHAT THIS CASE IS ABOUT ISN'T CHANGING. 10 MR. ABLARD: NO. ACTUALLY, IT IS NOT GOING TO 11 CHANGE. 12 THE COURT: AND THE KITCHEN WILL BE ALWAYS IN THE SAME LOCATION AS IT WAS AT THE TIME AS IT IS TO THE HALL 13 14 AS IT IS TO THE BEDROOM. THE HOUSE ISN'T GOING TO CHANGE. 15 NOTHING IS GOING TO CHANGE. MR. ABLARD: SO. 16 THE COURT: SO, YOU HAVE FOUR DAYS TO THINK ABOUT IT. 17 MR. ABLARD: I SORT OF HOPED NOT TO THINK ABOUT IT IN 18 THE NEXT FOUR DAYS. 19 20 THE COURT: SORRY. 21 MR. ABLARD: SO, BUT WE ARE GOING TO TUESDAY AND THEN YOU WILL LISTEN CLOSELY ON WEDNESDAY MORNING. I THINK I 22 WILL BE INSTRUCTING THE JURY BEFORE NOON ON WEDNESDAY. 23 MR. ABLARD; GREAT. DO YOU INSTRUCT BEFORE OR AFTER 24 25 ARGUMENT? THE COURT: AFTER.

MR. ABLARD: SO WEDNESDAY MORNING WILL DO IT. THANK

28

YOU.

26

(PAUSE IN THE PROCEEDINGS.) THE COURT: ON THE RECORD ON JOHNSON. MR. JOHNSON IS AS I INDICATED TO YOUR COUNSEL, MS. SCHMAUSS, WE ARE GOING TO GO THROUGH THE JURY INSTRUCTIONS WE TALKED ABOUT THE OTHER DAY. WE ARE GOING TO GO BACK OVER FILL IN SOME OF THE BLANKS AND LOOK AT THEM AGAIN. YOU CAN BE HERE IF YOU WANT OR IF YOU DON'T WANT TO COME MONDAY, I WILL EXCUSE YOU IF THAT IS YOUR REQUEST. THE DEFENDANT: I RATHER BE EXCUSED FROM MONDAY. THE COURT: YOU ARE EXCUSED FOR MONDAY. YOU WILL CLEARLY HAVE A CHANCE TO BE PRESENT AS THEY AND I DISCUSS THEM WITH MR. ABLARD DEEMS IT IS IMPORTANT TO DISCUSS. THE DEFENDANT: THANK YOU. THE COURT: THANK YOU. (PROCEEDINGS CONTINUED TO MONDAY NOVEMBER 6, 1995.)